

# **New $A$ -Generalized Sequence Spaces Defined by Ideal Convergence and a Sequence of Modulus Functions on Multiple Normed Spaces**

**Tanweer Jalal**

Department of Mathematics,  
National Institute of Technology,  
Hazratbal, Srinagar-190006  
tjalal@rediffmail.com

*Received 1 June 2014; Accepted 29 November 2014*

## *Abstract*

*The object of this paper is to introduce a new class of ideal convergent (briefly  $I$ -convergent) sequence spaces using an infinite matrix, sequence of modulus functions and difference operator defined on  $n$ -normed spaces. We study these spaces for some linear topological structures and algebraic properties.*

**Keywords:**  *$I$ -convergence,  $n$ -norm, modulus function, difference sequence space*

## **1 Introduction**

The concept of 2-normed spaces was initially introduced by Gähler [19], in the mid of 1960's, while that of  $n$ -normed spaces can be found in Misiak [1]. Since then, many others have studied this concept and obtained various results, (see Gunawan [7], Gunawan and Mashadi [8, 9]). The notion of ideal-

convergence in 2-normed spaces was introduced and studied in [14, 2 ] and [4]. Later on it was extended to n-normed spaces by Gurdal and Sahiner [15] , Hazarika [3] and Savas [5].

Let  $n$  be a non-negative integer and  $X$  be a real vector space of dimension  $d \geq n$  ( $d$  may be infinite). A real-valued function  $\| \cdot, \dots, \cdot \|$  on  $X^n$  satisfying the following conditions:

(1)  $\| (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \| = 0$  if and only if  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are linearly dependent.

(2)  $\| (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \|$  is invariant under permutation,

(3)  $\| \alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \| = |\alpha| \| x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \|$ , for any  $\alpha \in R$ ,

(4)  $\| (x_1 + \bar{x}, x_2, \dots, x_n) \| \leq \| (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \| + \| (\bar{x}, x_2, \dots, x_n) \|$

is called an n-norm on  $X$  and the pair  $(X, \| \cdot, \dots, \cdot \|)$  is called an n-normed space.

A trivial example of an n-normed space is  $X = R^n$ , equipped with the Euclidean n-norm  $\| (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \|_E = \text{volume of the } n\text{-dimensional parallelepiped spanned by the vectors } x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  which may be given explicitly by the formula

$$\| (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \|_E = \left| \det (x_{ij}) \right| = \text{abs}(\det (\langle x_i, x_j \rangle))$$

Where  $x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{in}) \in R^n$  for each  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ .

The standard  $n$ -norm on  $X$  a real inner product space of dimension  $d \geq n$  is as follows:

$$\| (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \|_S = \left[ \det (\langle x_i, x_j \rangle) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the inner product on  $X$ .

The notion of modulus function was introduced by Nakano [11]. We recall that a modulus  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , which is such that

(i)  $f(x) = 0$ , if and only if  $x = 0$ ;

(ii)  $f(x+y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$ , for all  $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$ ;

(iii)  $f$  is increasing ;

(iv)  $f$  is continuous from right at zero.

It follows from (ii) and (iv) that  $f$  must be continuous everywhere on  $[0, \infty)$ .

For a sequence of modulus function  $F = (f_k)$ , we give the following conditions:

(v)  $\sup_k f_k(x) < \infty$  for all  $x > 0$ ,

(vi)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f_k(x) = 0$  uniformly in  $k \geq 1$ .

We remark that in case  $f = (f_k)$  for all  $k$ , where  $f$  is a modulus, the conditions (v) and (vi) are automatically fulfilled. The modulus function may be bounded or unbounded. For example, if we take  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x+1}$ , then  $f(x)$  is bounded. If

$f(x) = x^p, 0 < p < 1$ , then the modulus  $f(x)$  is unbounded.

The concept of  $I$ -convergence was introduced by Kastyko et al. [18] as a generalization of statistical convergence. A family  $I \subset 2^Y$  of subsets of nonempty set  $Y$  is said to be an ideal in  $Y$  if

(i)  $\Phi \notin I$

(ii) for each  $A, B \in I$ , we have  $A \cup B \in I$

(iii)  $A \in I$  and each  $B \subset A$ , we have  $B \in I$ . While an admissible ideal  $I$  of  $Y$  further satisfies  $\{x\} \in I$  for each  $x \in Y$ .

Given  $I \subset 2^N$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $N$ . A sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in N}$  in  $X$  is said to be  $I$ -convergent to  $x \in X$  if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set  $A(\varepsilon) = \{n \in N : \|x_n - x\| \geq \varepsilon\}$  belongs to  $I$  (see [17]). Savas [6] and Jalal [20] used an Orlicz function to construct  $I$ -convergent sequence spaces.

The notion of difference sequence spaces was introduced by Kizmaz [10]. It was further generalized by Et and Colak [12]. Later Et. and Esi [13] defined the sequence spaces

$$X(\Delta_m^s) = \{x = (x_k) \in w : (\Delta_m^s x_k) \in X\}$$

where  $m = (m_k)$  is any fixed sequence of nonzero complex numbers and  $s \in N$ ,

then  $\Delta_m^0 x_k = m_k x_k, \Delta_m x = m_k x_k - m_{k+1} x_{k+1}$  are non-negative,

$\Delta_m^s x = (\Delta_m^s x_k) = (\Delta_m^{s-1} x_k - \Delta_m^{s-1} x_{k+1})$  and so that

$$\Delta_m^s x_k = \sum_{i=0}^s (-1)^i \binom{s}{i} m_{k+i} x_{k+i}$$

Taking  $m = s = 1$ , we get the spaces of  $\ell_\infty(\Delta), c(\Delta), c_0(\Delta)$ , introduced and studied by Kizmaz [10].

## 2 Definitions and Inclusion Theorems

In this section, we define new Ideal convergent sequence spaces on  $n$ -normed spaces by using a sequence of modulus functions. We also give inclusion relations between these spaces.

Let  $I$  be an admissible ideal of  $N$ , and let  $p = (p_k)$  be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers for all  $k \in N$ . Let  $A = (a_{nk})$  be an infinite matrix,  $F = (f_k)$  be a sequence of modulus functions and  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  be an  $n$ -normed space. Further  $w(n-X)$  denotes the spaces of  $X$ -valued sequence spaces. For every  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ , and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we define the following sequence spaces:

$$w^I[A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|] =$$

$$\left\{ x = (x_k) \in w(n-X) : \text{for every } \varepsilon > 0, \left\{ n \in N : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \left\| \Delta_m^s x_k - L, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I, L \in X \right\}$$

$$w_0^I[A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|] =$$

$$\left\{ x = (x_k) \in w(n-X) : \text{for every } \varepsilon > 0, \left\{ n \in N : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \left\| \Delta_m^s x_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I \right\},$$

$$w_\infty^I[A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|] =$$

$$\left\{ x = (x_k) \in w(n-X) : \exists K > 0 \text{ s.t. } \left\{ n \in N : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \left\| \Delta_m^s x_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq K \right\} \in I \right\}.$$

The following well known inequality will be used throughout the article. Let  $p = (p_k)$  be any sequence of positive real numbers with  $0 \leq p_k \leq \sup_k p_k = H$ ,  $D = \max\{1, 2^{H-1}\}$ , then

$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \leq D \left( |a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k} \right)$$

for all  $k \in N$  and  $a_k, b_k \in C$ . Also  $|a|^{p_k} \leq \max\{1, |a|^H\}$  for all  $a \in C$ . (see [16])

**Theorem 2.1.** The sequence spaces  $w^I[A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ ,  $w_0^I[A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  and  $w_\infty^I[A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  are linear.

**Proof.** We shall prove the theorem for the space  $w_0^I[A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  only and the others can be proved in a similar manner. Let  $x = (x_k)$  and  $y = (y_k)$  be two elements in  $w_0^I[A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  be scalars in  $\mathfrak{R}$ , so that

$$\left\{ n \in N : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \left\| \Delta_m^s x_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I.$$

and

$$\left\{ n \in N : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \left\| \Delta_m^s y_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I.$$

Since  $\|., \dots, .\|$  is an  $n$ -norm,  $f_k$  is a sequence of modulus functions for all  $k$  and  $\Delta_m^s$  is linear the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \left\| \Delta_m^s (\alpha x_k + \beta y_k), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq D T_{\alpha}^{\sup p_k} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \left\| \Delta_m^s x_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & + D T_{\beta}^{\sup p_k} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \left\| \Delta_m^s y_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \end{aligned}$$

where  $T_{\alpha}$  and  $T_{\beta}$  are positive integers such that that  $|\alpha| \leq T_{\alpha}$  and  $|\beta| \leq T_{\beta}$ .

From the above inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ n \in N : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \left\| \Delta_m^s (\alpha x_k + \beta y_k), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \\ & \subseteq \left\{ n \in N : D T_{\alpha}^{\sup p_k} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \left\| \Delta_m^s x_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ n \in N : D T_{\beta}^{\sup p_k} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \left\| \Delta_m^s y_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\}. \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

Since both the sets on the right hand of the relation (1) belong to  $I$  so the set on the left hand side of the inclusion relation belongs to  $I$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $F = (f_k)$  and  $G = (g_k)$  be sequences of moduli and  $h = \inf p_k > 0$ . Then

- (i)  $w^I [A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|., \dots, .\|] \cap w^I [A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|., \dots, .\|] \subseteq w^I [A, \Delta_m^s, F + G, p, \|., \dots, .\|]$
- (ii)  $w_0^I [A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|., \dots, .\|] \cap w_0^I [A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|., \dots, .\|] \subseteq w_0^I [A, \Delta_m^s, F + G, p, \|., \dots, .\|].$

**Proof.(i)** Let  $x = (x_k) \in w^I [A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|] \cap w^I [A, \Delta_m^s, G, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ .

Then by the following inequality the result follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ (f_k + g_k) \left( \|\Delta_m^s x_k - L, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq D \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \|\Delta_m^s x_k - L, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \quad + D \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ g_k \left( \|\Delta_m^s x_k - L, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \right) \right]^{p_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ n \in N : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ (f_k + g_k) \left( \|\Delta_m^s x_k - L, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \\ & \subseteq \left\{ n \in N : D \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \|\Delta_m^s x_k - L, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ n \in N : D \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ g_k \left( \|\Delta_m^s x_k - L, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since both the sets on the right hand belong to  $I$  so the set on the left hand side of the inclusion relation belongs to  $I$ . This completes the proof of the Theorem (i).  
(ii) can be proved similarly.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** The inclusions  $X [A, \Delta_m^{s-1}, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|] \subseteq X [A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  are strict for  $s \geq 1$ . In general  $X [A, \Delta_m^j, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|] \subseteq X [A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  for  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s-1$  and the inclusions are strict, where  $X = w_0^I, w^I$  and  $w_\infty^I$ .

**Proof.** We give the proof for  $w_0^I [A, \Delta_m^{s-1}, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  only, others can be proved by a similar argument. Let  $x = (x_k)$  be any element in the space  $w_0^I [A, \Delta_m^{s-1}, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given, then

$$\left\{ n \in N : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \|\Delta_m^s x_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I.$$

Since  $f_k$  is a modulus function for all  $k$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \left\| \Delta_m^s x_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \left\| \Delta_m^{s-1} x_k - \Delta_m^{s-1} x_{k+1}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\
 &\leq D \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \left\| \Delta_m^{s-1} x_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} + \\
 &D \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \left\| \Delta_m^{s-1} x_{k+1}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k}. \text{ Hence the proof.} \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

The inclusion is strict follows from the following example.

**Example 2.4.** Let  $F(x) = x$ , for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $p_k = 1$ , for all  $k \in N$  and sequence  $A = (C, 1)$  that is the Cesaro matrix. Consider a sequence  $x = (x_k) = (k^s)$ . Then  $x = (x_k)$  belongs to  $w_0^I [A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  but does not belong to  $w_0^I [A, \Delta_m^{s-1}, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ , because  $\Delta_m^s x_k = 0$  and  $\Delta_m^{s-1} x_k = (-1)^{s-1} (s-1)!$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $X$  be a sequence space. Then  $X$  is called solid if  $(\alpha_k x_k) \in X$  whenever  $(x_k) \in X$  for all  $(\alpha_k)$  for all sequences of scalars  $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$  for all  $k \in N$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** The sequence spaces  $w_0^I [A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  and

$w_\infty^I [A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  are solid.

**Proof.** We give the proof for  $w_0^I [A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  only. Let  $x = (x_k) \in w_0^I [A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  and  $\alpha = (\alpha_k)$  be a sequence of scalars such that  $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$  for all  $k \in N$ . Then for given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\{ n \in N : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \left\| \Delta_m^s (\alpha_k x_k), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \\
 & \subseteq \left\{ n \in N : E \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \left\| \Delta_m^s x_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $E = \max \{1, |\alpha_k|^H\}$ . Hence  $(\alpha_k x_k) \in w_0^I [A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ . Thus the space

$w_0^I [A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  is solid. This completes the proof. □

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_E)$  and  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_S)$  be standard and Euclid  $n$ -normed space spaces respectively, then

$$\begin{aligned} & w^I \left[ A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_E \right] \cap w^I \left[ A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_S \right] \\ & \subseteq w^I \left[ A, \Delta_m^s, F, p, \left( \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_E + \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_S \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** The following inequality that gives us the desired inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \left( \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_E + \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_S \right) + \left( \Delta_m^s x_k - L, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq D \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \left\| \Delta_m^s x_k - L, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\|_E \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \quad + D \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ f_k \left( \left\| \Delta_m^s x_k - L, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\|_S \right) \right]^{p_k}. \end{aligned}$$

□

### 3 Conclusion

We introduce a new class of  $I$ -convergent sequence spaces using an infinite matrix, sequence of modulus functions and difference operator defined on  $n$ -normed spaces and proved their several algebraic and topological properties.

### 4 Open Problems

In this paper we have introduced a new class of ideal convergent (briefly  $I$ -convergent) sequence spaces using an infinite matrix, sequence of modulus functions and difference operator defined on  $n$ -normed spaces. Some linear topological structures and algebraic properties for these spaces has also been discussed. In the sequel the next step will be to:

- introduce some new classes of ideal convergent sequence spaces using an infinite matrix, Orlicz functions and difference operator defined on  $n$ -normed spaces.

- further some linear topological structures and algebraic properties for these spaces can also been discussed also.



## References

- [1] A. Misiak,  $n$ -inner product spaces, Math. Nachr., 1989, Vol.140, pp. 299-329.
- [2] A.Saihner, Gurdal, M, Soltan, S, Gunawan, H., Ideal convergent sequences in 2-normed spaces, J. Math., 2007, Vol. 11, pp. 1477-1484.
- [3] B.Hazarika, On paranormed ideal convergent generalized difference summable sequence spaces defined over  $n$ -normed spaces, Math. Anal.,2011, Vol.17, Article ID 317423, 17 pages, 2011. doi:10.5402/2011/317423.
- [4] E. Savas, On some new sequence spaces in 2-normed spaces using ideal convergence and an Orlicz function, J. Ineq. Appl., 2010, pp. 1-9.
- [5] E.Savas, Some new double defined by Orlicz function in  $n$ -normed spaces, J. Inequal. Appl., 2011, pp.1-9.
- [6] E.Savas,  $\Delta^m$ -strongly summable sequence spaces in 2-normed spaces defined by ideal convergence and an Orlicz function, Appl. Math. Comput., 2010, Vol. 217, pp. 271-276.
- [7] H. Gunawan, The spaces of  $p$ -summable sequences and its natural  $n$ -norm, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., 2001, Vol. 64, pp. 137-147.
- [8] H. Gunawan, M. Mashadi, On  $n$ -normed spaces, Int.J. Math. Sci., 2001, Vol. 27, Issue 10, pp. 631-639.
- [9] H. Gunawan, M, Mashadi, On finite dimensional 2-normed spaces, Soochow J. Math. 2001, Vol. 27, Issue 3, pp.147-169.
- [10] H. Kizmaz, On certain sequence spaces, Canad. Math. Bull., 1981, Vol. 24, Issue 2, pp.169-176.
- [11] H. Nakano, Concave modulars, J.Math. Soc. Japan, 1953, Vol. 5, pp.29-49.
- [12] M. Et, A. Esi, On Kothe-Toeplitz duals of generalized difference sequence spaces, Bull. Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc., 2000, Vol. 23, pp. 1-8.
- [13] M. Et, R. Colak, On generalized difference sequence spaces, Soochow J. Math., 1985, Vol. 21, Issue 4, pp. 147-169.
- [14] M. Gurdal, A. Sahiner, Ideal convergence in  $n$ -normed spaces and some new sequence spaces via  $n$ -norm, J. Funda. Sci., 2008, Vol. 4, pp. 233-244.
- [15] M. Gurdal, A. Sahiner, Ideal convergence in  $n$ -normed spaces and some new sequence spaces via  $n$ -norm, J. Funda. Sci., 2008, Vol. 4, pp. 233-244.
- [16] Maddox, I.J., Elements of Functional Analysis, Cambridge University Press, London, UK, 1970.
- [17] P.Kostyrko, M. Macaj, T. Salat, T. M. Slezziak,  $I$ -convergence and extremal  $I$ -limit points, Math. Slovaca, 2005, Vol. 55, pp.443-464.
- [18] P. Kostyrko, T. Salat, W. Wilczycki, On  $I$ -convergence, Real Analysis, exchange, 2000-2001, Vol. 26, Issue 2, pp. 669-686.
- [19] S. Gahler, Linear 2-normierte Rume. Math. Nachr., 1965, Vol. 28, pp.1-43.

- [20] T. Jalal, Some new  $I$  – convergent sequence spaces defined by using sequence of modulus functions in  $n$  – normed spaces, Int. J. Math. Archives, 2014, Vol.5 , Issue 9, pp. 202-209.