

Certain Subclasses Of Multivalent Analytic Functions Involving Linear Operator

A.A.AL-DOHIMAN

*Al-Jouf University,
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
P.O. Box:762, Al-jouf, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
a_aldohiman@ju.edu.sa*

Abstract

By making use of the principle of subordination between analytic functions and the linear operator, we introduce and investigate some new subclasses of multivalent analytic functions. Such results as inclusion relationships and integral – preserving properties involving these subclasses are proved. Several subordination and superordination results associated with this operator are also derived.

Keywords: Analytic functions; multivalent functions; Hadamard product (or convolution); subordination and superordination between analytic functions.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{A}_p denote the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk

$$\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}.$$

For simplicity, we write

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}.$$

Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_p$, where f is given by (1.1) and g is defined by

$$g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{p+k} z^{p+k} .$$

Then the Hadamard product (or convolution) $f * g$ of the functions f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) := z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} b_{p+k} z^{p+k} =: (g * f)(z). \quad (1.2)$$

Let \mathcal{P} denote the class of functions of the form

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k z^k ,$$

which are analytic and convex in \mathbb{U} and satisfy the condition

$$Re(p(z)) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

For two functions of f and g , analytic in \mathbb{U} , we say that the function f is subordinate to g in \mathbb{U} , and write

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

if there exists a Schwarz function ω , which is analytic in \mathbb{U} with

$$\omega(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\omega(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(\omega(z)) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Indeed, it is known that

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \Rightarrow f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

Furthermore, if the function g is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then we have the following equivalence:

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

For real or complex numbers a, b, c other than $0, -1, -2, \dots$, the hypergeometric series is defined by

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k (b)_k}{(c)_k (1)_k} z^k, \quad (1.3)$$

and $(x)_k$ is Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(x)_k = \frac{\Gamma(x+k)}{\Gamma(x)} = x(x+1)\dots(x+k-1) \text{ for } k = 1, 2, 3\dots x \in \mathbb{C}, (x)_0 = 1. \quad (1.4)$$

We note that the series (1.3) converges absolutely for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ so that it represents an analytic function in \mathbb{U} . Also an incomplete beta function $\phi(a, c; z)$ is related to Gauss hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ as

$$\phi(a, c; z) = z {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z), \quad (1.5)$$

and we note that $\phi(a, c; z) = z/(1-z)^a$, where $\phi(2, 1; z)$ is Koebe function. Using $\phi(a, c; z)$, a convolution operator [2], was defined by Carlson and Shaferr. Furthermore, Hohlov [8] introduced a convolution operator using $z {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$.

K. Al-Shaqsi and M. Darus [1] introduced and investigated the linear operator

$$I_{\mu}^{\lambda} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

defined, in terms of the Hadamard product (or convolution), by

$$I_{\mu}^{\lambda}(a, b, c)f(z) = (f_{\mu}(a, b, c)(z))^{(-1)} * f(z) \quad (\mu \geq 0, \lambda > -1), \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$(f_{\mu}(a, b, c)(z))^{(-1)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+1)_k (c)_k}{(\mu k + 1)(a)_k (b)_k} z^{1+k} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (1.7)$$

The operator $I_{\mu}^{\lambda}(a, b, c)$ is an extension of the K. I. Noor operator [13].

By setting

$$f_{\mu,p}(a, b, c) = (1 - \mu)z^p {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) + \mu z^p (z {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z))' \quad (\mu \geq 0) \quad (1.8)$$

we define a new function $(f_{\mu,p})^{-1}$ in terms of the hadamard product (or convolution)

$$f_{\mu,p}(a, b, c)(z) * (f_{\mu,p}(a, b, c)(z))^{-1} = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{p+\lambda}} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \lambda > -p). \quad (1.9)$$

It is well known that for $(\lambda > -p)$

$$\frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{p+\lambda}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+\lambda)_k}{k!} z^{p+\lambda}. \quad (1.10)$$

We now define the linear operator $I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)$ for $\lambda > -p$ as follows:

$$I_{\mu,p}^\lambda : \mathcal{A}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_p,$$

$$I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)f(z) = (f_{\mu,p}(a, b, c)(z))^{(-1)} * f(z) \quad (1.11)$$

$$(\mu \geq 0, \lambda > -p, z \in \mathbb{U}; f \in \mathcal{A}_p).$$

We can easily find from (1.8), (1.9) and (1.11) that

$$I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(p+\lambda)_k (c)_k}{(1+\mu k)(a)_k (b)_k} a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (1.12)$$

From (1.12), we note that

$$I_{0,p}^\lambda(a, \lambda+p, a)f(z) = f(z) \quad \text{and} \quad I_{0,p}^1(a, p, a)f(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{p}. \quad (1.13)$$

Also it can easily be verified that

$$z(I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)f(z))' = (\lambda+p)I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c)f(z) - \lambda I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)f(z), \quad (1.14)$$

and

$$z(I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a+1, b, c)f(z))' = aI_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)f(z) - (a-p)I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a+1, b, c)f(z). \quad (1.15)$$

By making use of the principle of subordination between analytic functions, we introduce the subclasses

$S_p^*(\eta; \phi), K_p(\eta; \phi), C_p(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$ and $\mathcal{QC}_p(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$ of the class \mathcal{A}_p (see [3]), which are defined by

$$S_p^*(\eta; \phi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A}_p : \frac{1}{p-\eta} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \eta \right) \prec \phi(z) (\phi \in \mathcal{P}; 0 \leq \eta < p; z \in \mathbb{U}) \right\},$$

$$K_p^*(\eta; \phi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A}_p : \frac{1}{p-\eta} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \eta \right) \prec \phi(z) (\phi \in \mathcal{P}; 0 \leq \eta < p; z \in \mathbb{U}) \right\},$$

$$C_p(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) = \{ f \in \mathcal{A}_p : \exists g \in S_p^*(\eta; \phi) \text{ such that}$$

$$\frac{1}{p-\delta} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - \delta \right) \prec \psi(z) (\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{P}; 0 \leq \eta, \delta < p; z \in \mathbb{U}) \},$$

and

$$\mathcal{QC}_p(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) = \{ f \in \mathcal{A}_p : \exists g \in K_p(\eta; \phi) \text{ such that}$$

$$\frac{1}{p-\delta} \left(\frac{(zf'(z))'}{g'(z)} - \delta \right) \prec \psi(z) (\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{P}; 0 \leq \eta, \delta < p; z \in \mathbb{U}) \}.$$

Indeed, the above-mentioned function classes are generalizations of the general starlike, convex, close - to - convex and quasi - convex functions in analytic function theory [5,7,9,11,12,14,15-18].

Next, by using the operator defined by (1.12), we define the following $K_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \phi), C_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$ and subclasses $S_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \phi), \mathcal{QC}_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$ of the class \mathcal{A}_p :

$$S_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \phi) = \{ f \in \mathcal{A}_p : I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)f(z) \in S_p^*(\eta; \phi) \},$$

$$K_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \phi) = \{ f \in \mathcal{A}_p : I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)f(z) \in K_p(\eta; \phi) \},$$

$$C_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) = \{ f \in \mathcal{A}_p : I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)f(z) \in C_p(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) \},$$

and

$$\mathcal{QC}_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) = \{ f \in \mathcal{A}_p : I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)f(z) \in \mathcal{QC}_p(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) \}.$$

Clearly, we know that

$$f \in K_{\mu,p}^{\lambda}(a, b, c; \eta, \phi) \Leftrightarrow \frac{zf'}{p} \in S_{\mu,p}^{\lambda}(a, b, c; \eta, \phi), \quad (1.16)$$

and

$$f \in \mathcal{QC}_{\mu,p}^{\lambda}(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) \Leftrightarrow \frac{zf'}{p} \in C_{\mu,p}^{\lambda}(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi). \quad (1.17)$$

The main purpose of this paper is to investigate some inclusion relationships and integral - preserving properties of certain subclasses of multivalent analytic functions involving the linear operator $I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda}(a, b, c)$. Several subordination and superordination results involving this operator are also derived.

2 Preliminary results

In order to prove our main results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. ([6]): *Let $k, \vartheta \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose also that m is convex and univalent in \mathbb{U} with*

$$m(0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Re}(km(z) + \vartheta) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

If u is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $u(0) = 1$, then the following subordination

$$u(z) + \frac{zu'(z)}{ku(z) + \vartheta} \prec m(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

implies that

$$u(z) \prec m(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Lemma 2. ([10]): *Let h be convex univalent in \mathbb{U} and ζ be analytic in \mathbb{U} with*

$$\operatorname{Re}(\zeta(z)) \geq 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

If q is analytic in \mathbb{U} and $q(0) = h(0)$, then the subordination

$$q(z) + \zeta(z)zq'(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

implies that

$$q(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

3 The main inclusion relationships

In this section, we give several inclusion relationships for multivalent analytic function classes defined in the first section, which are associated with the linear operator $I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)$.

Theorem 1. *Let $0 \leq \eta < p$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ with*

$$Re(\phi(z)) > \max \left\{ 0, -\frac{\lambda + \eta}{p - \eta}, -\frac{Re(b) + \eta}{p - \eta} \right\} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (3.1)$$

Then

$$S_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c; \eta, \phi) \subset S_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \phi) \subset S_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a + 1, b, c; \eta, \phi). \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. First, we prove that

$$S_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c; \eta, \phi) \subset S_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \phi)$$

Let $f \in S_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c; \eta, \phi)$, and suppose that

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{p - \eta} \left(\frac{z(I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)f(z))'}{I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)f(z)} - \eta \right), \quad (3.3)$$

where h is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $h(0) = 1$. Combining (1.14) and (3.3), we find that:

$$(\lambda + p) \frac{I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c)f(z)}{I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)f(z)} = (p - \eta)h(z) + \eta + \lambda. \quad (3.4)$$

Taking the Logarithmical differentiation on the both sides of (3.4) and multiplying the resulting equation by z , we get

$$\frac{1}{(p - \eta)} \left(\frac{z(I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c)f(z))'}{I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c)f(z)} - \eta \right) = h(z) + \frac{zh'(z)}{(p - \eta)h(z) + \eta + \lambda} \prec \phi(z). \quad (3.5)$$

By virtue of (3.1), an application of lemma 2.1 to (3.5) yields $h \prec \phi$, that is $f \in S_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \phi)$. Thus, the first part of Theorem 3.1 holds true.

To prove the second part of Theorem 3.1, we assume that $f \in S_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \phi)$ and suppose that

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{(p - \eta)} \left(\frac{z(I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a + 1, b, c)f(z))'}{I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a + 1, b, c)f(z)} - \eta \right), \quad (3.6)$$

where g is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $g(0) = 1$. By combining (1.15), (3.1) and (3.6) and applying the similar method of proof of the first part, we deduce that $g \prec \phi$, that is $f \in S_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a+1, b, c; \eta, \phi)$. Therefore, the second part of Theorem 3.1 also holds true. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is evidently completed. \square

Theorem 2. *Let $0 \leq \eta < p$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ with (3.1) holds. Then*

$$K_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c; \eta, \phi) \subset K_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \phi) \subset K_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a+1, b, c; \eta, \phi). \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. In view of (1.16) and Theorem 3.1, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &\in K_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c; \eta, \phi) \\ &\Leftrightarrow I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c)f(z) \in K_p(\eta; \phi) \Leftrightarrow \frac{z(I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c)f(z))'}{p} \in S_p^*(\eta; \phi) \\ &\Leftrightarrow I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c)\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{p}\right) \in S_p^*(\eta; \phi) \Leftrightarrow \frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in S_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a+1, b, c; \eta, \phi) \quad (3.8) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in S_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \phi) \Leftrightarrow I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{p}\right) \in S_p^*(\eta; \phi) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \frac{z(I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)f(z))'}{p} \in S_p^*(\eta; \phi) \Leftrightarrow I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)f(z) \in K_p(\eta; \phi) \\ &\Leftrightarrow f(z) \in K_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \phi), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &\in K_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \phi) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in S_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \phi) \Rightarrow \frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in S_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a+1, b, c; \eta, \phi), \quad (3.9) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Leftrightarrow \frac{z(I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a+1, b, c)f(z))'}{p} \in S_p^*(\eta; \phi) \Leftrightarrow I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a+1, b, c)f(z) \in K_p(\eta; \phi) \\ &\Leftrightarrow f(z) \in K_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a+1, b, c; \eta, \phi). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.8) and (3.9), we deduce that the assertion (3.7) of Theorem 3.2 holds. \square

Theorem 3. Let $0 \leq \eta < p$, $0 \leq \delta < p$ and $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{P}$ with (3.1) holds. Then

$$C_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) \subset C_{\mu,p}^{\lambda}(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) \subset C_{\mu,p}^{\lambda}(a + 1, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. Let $f \in C_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$. Then, by definition, we know that

$$\frac{1}{p - \delta} \left(\frac{z(I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c)f(z))'}{I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c)g(z)} - \delta \right) \prec \psi(z) \quad (z \in U) \quad (3.11)$$

with $g(z) \in S_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c; \eta, \phi)$, Moreover, by Theorem 3.1, we know that $g \in S_{\mu,p}^{\lambda}(a, b, c; \eta, \phi)$, which implies that

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{p - \eta} \left(\frac{z(I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda}(a, b, c)g(z))'}{I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda}(a, b, c)g(z)} - \eta \right) \prec \phi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (3.12)$$

We now suppose that

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{p - \delta} \left(\frac{z(I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda}(a, b, c)f(z))'}{I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda}(a, b, c)f(z)} - \delta \right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (3.13)$$

where p is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$. Combining (1.14) and (3.13), we find that

$$[p(z)(p - \delta) + \delta]I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda}(a, b, c)g(z) = (\lambda + p)I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c)f(z) - \lambda I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda}(a, b, c)f(z). \quad (3.14)$$

Differentiating both sides of (3.14) with respect to z and multiplying the resulting equation by z , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [p(z)(p - \delta) + \delta][q(z)(p - \eta) + \eta + \lambda] + z(p - \eta)p'(z) \\ & = (\lambda + p) \frac{z(I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c)f(z))'}{I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda}(a, b, c)g(z)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

In view of (1.14), (3.12) and (3.15), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p - \delta} \left(\frac{z(I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c)f(z))'}{I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c)g(z)} - \delta \right) = \\ & p(z) + \frac{zp(z)'}{(p - \eta)q(z) + \eta + \lambda} \prec \psi(z) \quad (z \in U). \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

By noting that (3.1) holds and

$$q(z) \prec \phi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

we know that

$$\operatorname{Re}((p - \eta)q(z) + \eta + \lambda) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Thus, an application of lemma 2.2 to (3.16) yields

$$p(z) \prec \psi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

that is $f \in C_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$, which implies that

$$C_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) \subset C_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi). \quad (3.17)$$

By means of (1.15) and (3.1), and using the similar arguments of the details above, we get

$$C_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) \subset C_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a + 1, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi). \quad (3.18)$$

Combining (3.17) and (3.18), we readily deduce that the assertion (3.10) of Theorem 3.3 holds. \square

Theorem 4. *Let $0 \leq \eta < p$, $0 \leq \delta < p$ and $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{P}$ with (3.1) holds. Then*

$$\mathcal{QC}_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) \subset \mathcal{QC}_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) \subset \mathcal{QC}_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a+1, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi).$$

Proof. By virtue of (1.17) and Theorem 3.3, and by similarly applying the method of proof Theorem 3.2, we conclude that the assertion of Theorem 3.4 holds. \square

4 A set of integral – preserving properties

In this section, we derive some integral - preserving properties involving certain integral operators.

Theorem 5. *Let $f \in S_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \phi)$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ and*

$$\operatorname{Re}(\sigma + \eta\xi + (p - \eta)\xi\phi(z)) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \sigma \in \mathbb{C}; \xi \in \mathbb{C}; \xi \in \mathbb{C}/\{0\}). \quad (4.1)$$

Then the function $\mathcal{K}_\xi^\sigma(f) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c)\mathcal{K}_\xi^\sigma(f) &= I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c)\mathcal{K}_\xi^\sigma(f)(z) \\ &= \left(\frac{\sigma + p\xi}{z^\sigma} \int_0^z t^{\sigma-1} (I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c)f(t))^\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \xi \neq 0) \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

belongs the class $S_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c;\eta,\phi)$.

Proof. Let $f \in S_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c;\eta,\phi)$ and suppose that

$$\mathbb{M}(z) = \frac{1}{p-\eta} \left(\frac{z(I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c)\mathcal{K}_\xi^\sigma(f))'(z)}{I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c)\mathcal{K}_\xi^\sigma(f)(z)} - \eta \right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (4.3)$$

Combining (4.2) and (4.3), we have

$$\sigma + \eta\xi + (p-\eta)\xi\mathbb{M}(z) = (\sigma + p\xi) \left(\frac{I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c)f(z)}{I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c)\mathcal{K}_\xi^\sigma(f)} \right)^\xi. \quad (4.4)$$

Making use of (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}(z) + \frac{z\mathbb{M}'(z)}{\sigma + \eta\xi + (p-\eta)\xi\mathbb{M}(z)} &= \\ \frac{1}{p-\eta} \left(\frac{z(I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c)f(z))'}{I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c)f(z)} - \eta \right) &\prec \phi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Since (4.1) holds, an application of lemma 2.1 to (4.5) yields

$$\mathbb{M}(z) \prec \phi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

that is $\mathcal{K}_\xi^\sigma(f) \in S_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c;\eta,\phi)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1. \square

Theorem 6. Let $f \in K_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c;\eta,\phi)$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ and (4.1) holds. Then the function $\mathcal{K}_\xi^\sigma(f) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ defined by (4.2) belong to the class $K_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c;\eta,\phi)$.

Proof. By virtue of (1.16) and Theorem 4.1, we conclude that the assertion of Theorem 4.2 holds. \square

Theorem 7. Let $f \in C_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c;\eta,\delta;\phi,\psi)$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ and (4.1) holds. Then the function $\mathcal{K}_\xi^\sigma(f) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ defined by (4.2) belongs to the class $C_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c;\eta,\delta;\phi,\psi)$.

Proof. Let $f \in C_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$. Then, by definition, we know that there exists a function $g \in S_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \phi)$. such that

$$\frac{1}{p-\eta} \left(\frac{z(I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)f(z))'}{I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)g(z)} - \eta \right) \prec \psi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

holds. Since $g \in S_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \phi)$, by Theorem 4.1, we easily find that $\mathcal{K}_\xi^\sigma(g) \in S_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \phi)$, which implies that

$$\mathbb{D}(z) = \frac{1}{p-\eta} \left(\frac{z((I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)\mathcal{K}_\xi^\sigma(g)(z))')}{I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)\mathcal{K}_\xi^\sigma g(z)} - \eta \right) \prec \phi(z). \quad (4.6)$$

We now set

$$\mathbb{K}(z) = \frac{1}{p-\delta} \left(\frac{z((I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)\mathcal{K}_\xi^\sigma(g)(z))')}{I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)\mathcal{K}_\xi^\sigma g(z)} - \delta \right), \quad (4.7)$$

where \mathbb{K} is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\mathbb{K}(0) = 1$. From (4.2) and (4.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \xi[(p-\delta)\mathbb{K}(z) + \delta]I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)\mathcal{K}_\xi^\sigma(g)(z) + \\ \delta I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)\mathcal{K}_\xi^\sigma(f)(z) = (\delta + p\xi)I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)f(z). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Combining (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(p-\delta)z\mathbb{K}'(z) + [(p-\delta)\mathbb{K}(z) + \delta][(p-\eta)\xi\mathbb{D}(z) + \delta + \eta\xi] = \\ (\delta + p\xi) \frac{z(I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)f(z))'}{I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)\mathcal{K}_\xi^\sigma(g)(z)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

By virtue of (1.14), (4.6) and (4.9), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p-\delta} \left(\frac{z(I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)f(z))'}{I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)g(z)} - \delta \right) = \\ \mathbb{K}(z) + \frac{z\mathbb{K}'(z)}{(p-\eta)\xi\mathbb{D}(z) + \delta + \eta\xi} \prec \psi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

The remainder of the proof of Theorem 4.3 is similar to that of Theorem 3.3. We therefore choose to omit the analogous details involved. We thus find that

$$\mathbb{K}(z) \prec \psi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

which implies that $\mathcal{K}_\xi^\sigma(f) \in C_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$. The proof of Theorem 4.3 is thus completed. \square

Theorem 8. Let $f \in \mathcal{QC}_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ and (4.1) holds. Then the function $\mathcal{K}_\xi^\sigma(f) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ defined by (4.2) belongs to the class $\mathcal{QC}_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$.

Proof. In view of (1.17) and Theorem 4.3, we deduce that the assertion of Theorem 4.4 holds.

By similarly applying the methods of proof of Theorems 4.1- 4.4, we get the following integral - preserving properties. \square

Colorally 1. Let $f \in S_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \phi)$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ and

$$Re(\phi(z)) > \max \left\{ 0, -\frac{Re(v) + \eta}{p - \eta} \right\} (z \in \mathbb{U}; Re(v) > -p) . \quad (4.11)$$

Then the integral operator $F_v(f)$ defined by

$$F_v(f) = F_v(f)(z) = \frac{v + p}{z^v} \int_0^z t^{v-1} f(t) dt \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; Re(v) > -p) \quad (4.12)$$

belongs to the class $S_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \phi)$.

Colorally 2. Let $f \in K_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta; \phi)$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ and (4.11) holds. Then the integral operator $F_v(f)$ defined by (4.12) belongs to the class $K_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \phi)$.

Colorally 3. Let $f \in C_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ and (4.11) holds. Then the integral operator $F_v(f)$ defined by (4.12) belongs to the class $C_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$.

Colorally 4. Let $f \in \mathcal{QC}_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ and (4.11) holds. Then the integral operator $F_v(f)$ defined by (4.12) belongs to the class $\mathcal{QC}_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c; \eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$.

5 Subordination and Superordination results

In this section, we drive some subordination and superordination results associated with the operator $I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a, b, c)$. By similarly applying the methods of proof of the results obtained by Cho and Srivastava [4], we get the following subordination and superordination results. Here, we choose to omit the details involved.

Colorally 5. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_p$ and $\lambda > -p$. If

$$Re \left(1 + \frac{z\varphi''(z)}{\varphi'(z)} \right) > -\varrho \left(z \in \mathbb{U}; \varphi(z) = \frac{I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c)g(z)}{z^p} \right),$$

where

$$\varrho = \frac{1 + (p + \lambda)^2 - |1 - (p + \lambda)^2|}{4(p + \lambda)}, \quad (5.1)$$

then the subordination relationship

$$\frac{I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c)f(z)}{z^p} \prec \frac{I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c)g(z)}{z^p} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

implies that

$$\frac{I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda}(a, b, c)f(z)}{z^p} \prec \frac{I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda}(a, b, c)g(z)}{z^p} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Furthermore, the function $\frac{I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda}(a,b,c)g(z)}{z^p}$ is the best dominant.

Denote by \mathcal{Q} the set of all functions f that are analytic and injective on $\overline{\mathbb{U}} - E(f)$, where

$$E(f) = \{\varepsilon \in \partial\mathbb{U} : \lim_{z \rightarrow \varepsilon} f(z) = \infty\},$$

and such that $f'(\varepsilon) \neq 0$ for $\varepsilon \in \partial\mathbb{U} - E(f)$. If f is subordinate to \mathcal{F} , then \mathcal{F} is superordinate to f .

We now derive the following superordination results.

Colorally 6. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_p$ and $\lambda > -p$. If

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{z\varphi''(z)}{\varphi'(z)} \right) > -\varrho \left(z \in \mathbb{U}; \varphi(z) = \frac{I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c)g(z)}{z^p} \right),$$

where ϱ is given by (5.1), also let the function $I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c)f(z)/z^p$ be univalent in \mathbb{U} and $I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda}(a, b, c)f(z)/z^p \in \mathcal{Q}$, then the subordination relationship

$$\frac{I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c)g(z)}{z^p} \prec \frac{I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a, b, c)f(z)}{z^p} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

implies that

$$\frac{I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda}(a, b, c)g(z)}{z^p} \prec \frac{I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda}(a, b, c)f(z)}{z^p} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Furthermore, the function $I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c)g(z)/z^p$ is the best subordinant.

Combining the above - mentioned subordination and superordination results involving the operator $I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c)$ we get following 'sandwich - type' results.

Colorally 7. Let $f, g_k \in \mathcal{A}_p$ ($k = 1, 2$) and $\lambda > -p$. If

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{z\varphi_k''(z)}{\varphi_k'(z)} \right) > -\varrho \quad \left(z \in \mathbb{U}; \varphi_k(z) = \frac{I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a,b,c)g_k(z)}{z^p} \quad (k = 1, 2) \right),$$

where ϱ is given by (5.1), also let the function $I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a,b,c)f(z)/z^p$ be univalent in \mathbb{U} and $I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c)f(z)/z^p \in \mathcal{Q}$, then the subordination chain

$$\frac{I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a,b,c)g_1(z)}{z^p} \prec \frac{I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a,b,c)f(z)}{z^p} \prec \frac{I_{\mu,p}^{\lambda+1}(a,b,c)g_2(z)}{z^p} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

implies that

$$\frac{I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c)g_1(z)}{z^p} \prec \frac{I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c)f(z)}{z^p} \prec \frac{I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c)g_2(z)}{z^p} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Furthermore, the function $I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c)g_1(z)/z^p$ and $I_{\mu,p}^\lambda(a,b,c)g_2(z)/z^p$ are, respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant.

References

- [1] K. AL - Shaqsi and M. Darus, "On integral operator defined by convolution involving hypergeometric functions", International journal of mathematics and mathematical sciences, vol. 2008, 11page.
- [2] B .C. Carlson and D. B. Shaffer, "Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions", SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, Vol. 15, no. 4, 1984, pp. 737-745.
- [3] N.E. Cho, O.S. Kwon, and H.M. Srivastava, "Inclusion relationships and argument properties for certain subclasses of multivalent functions associated with a family of linear operators", J. Math. Anal. Appl. 292 (2004), pp. 470-483.

- [4] N.E. Cho and H.M. Srivastava, "*A class of nonlinear integral operators preserving subordination and superordination*", Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 18 (2007), pp. 95-107.
- [5] Choi, M. Saigo, and H.M. Srivastava, "*Some inclusion properties of a certain family of integral operators*", J. Math. Anal. Appl. 276 (2002), pp. 432-445.
- [6] P. Eenigenburg, S.S. Miller, P.T. Mocanu, and M.O. Reade, "*On a Briot-Bouquet differential subordination*", in General Mathematics 3, International Series of Numerical Mathematics, Vol. 64, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983, pp. 339-348; see also Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 29 (1984), pp. 567-573.
- [7] C.-Y. Gao, S.-M. Yuan, and H. M. Srivastava, "*Some functional inequalities and inclusion relationships associated with certain families of integral operators*", Comput. Math. Appl. 49 (2005), pp. 1787-1795.
- [8] J. E. Hohlov, "*Operators and operations on the class of univalent functions*", Izvestiya Vysshikh Uchebnykh Zavedenit Matematika, no. 10 (197), 1978 (Russian), pp. 83-89.
- [9] W.C. Ma and D. Minda, "*A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions*", in Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis, Tianjin, 1992; in Conference Proceedings and Lecture Notes in Analysis, I, International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994, pp. 157-169.
- [10] S . S. Miller and P. T. Mocanou, "*Differential subordinations and univalent functions*", Michigan Math. J. 28 (1981), pp. 157-171.
- [11] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh, "*Starlike and convex functions of complex order involving the Dziok-Srivastava operator*", Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 18 (2007), pp. 419-425.
- [12] K.I. Noor, "*On quasi-convex functions and related topics*", Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 10 (1987), pp. 241-258.
- [13] K . I . Noor , "*Integral operators defined by convolution with hypergeometric functions*", Applied Mathematics and Computation, Vol. 182, no. 2, 2006, pp. 1872-1881.
- [14] S. Owa, M. Nunokawa, H. Saitoh, and H.M. Srivastava, "*Close-to-*

- convexity, starlikeness, and convexity of certain analytic functions*", Appl. Math. Lett. 15 (2002), pp. 63-69.
- [15] H.M. Srivastava and A.Y. Lashin, "*Some applications of the Briot - Bouquet differential subordination*", J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 6 (2005), Article 41, pp. 1-7 (electronic).
- [16] H .M . Srivastava and S. Owa (eds.), "*Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*", World Scientific, Singapore, 1992.
- [17] Z. G .Wang, C. Y. Gao, and S . M .Yuan, "*On certain subclasses of close-to-convex and quasi-convex functions with respect to k-symmetric points*", J. Math. Anal. Appl. 322 (2006), pp. 97-106.
- [18] Z. G. Wang, Y. P. Jiang, and H.M. Srivastava, "*Some subclasses of multivalent analytic functions involving the Dziok-Srivastava operator*", Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 19 (2008), pp. 129-146.