

Some Fixed Point Theorems for a Pair of Asymptotically Regular and Compatible Mappings in Fuzzy 2-Metric Space

Priyanka Nigam and S.S.Pagey

NRI Institute of Information Science and Technology, Bhopal, India.
e-mail:priyanka_nigam01@yahoo.co.in
Institute for Excellence in Higher Education, Bhopal, India.
e-mail:page.drss@rediffmail.com

Abstract

In this paper we use the concept of a pair of asymptotically regular and compatible mappings to prove some common fixed point theorems in a complete fuzzy 2-metric space.

Keywords: *Compatible mapping; fixed point; fuzzy 2-metric space; Pair of asymptotically regular mapping.*

1 Introduction

Fuzzy set was defined by Zadeh [6]. Kramosil and Michalek[7] introduced fuzzy metric space, George and Veeramani[1] modified the notion of fuzzy metric spaces with the help of continuous t-norms. Many researchers have obtained common fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying different types of commutativity conditions. Vasuki [12] proved fixed point theorems for R-weakly commuting mappings. Pant[9,10,11] introduced the new concept reciprocally continuous mappings and established some common fixed point theorems. Balasubramaniam [8], have shown that Rhoades[2] open problem on the existence of contractive definition which generates a fixed point but does not force the mappings to be continuous at the fixed point, posses an affirmative answer. Pant and Jha[11] obtained some analogous results proved by Balasubramaniam.

Rhoades [3] introduced the concept of asymptotic regularity for a pair of maps

and Jungck [5] proposed the concept of compatible mappings. The concept of 2-metric space was initiated by Gahler[14] whose abstract properties were suggested by the area function in Euclidean space. In a paper Sanjay Kumar [13] discussed fuzzy 2-metric space akin to 2-metric spaces introduced by Gahler[14]. This paper presents some common fixed point theorems for a pair of asymptotically regular and compatible mappings in fuzzy 2-metric space.

2 Preliminary Notes

Definition 2.1 [6] A fuzzy set A in X is a function with domain X and values in $[0,1]$.

Definition 2.2 [4] A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous t-norms if $*$ is satisfying conditions:

- 1) $*$ is commutative and associative;
- 2) $*$ is continuous;
- 3) $a*1 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$;
- 4) $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ and $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.3 [1] A 3-tuple $(X, M, *)$ is said to be a fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions, for all $x, y, z \in X, s, t > 0$,

- 1) $M(x, y, t) > 0$;
- 2) $M(x, y, t) = 1$ if and only if $x = y$;
- 3) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$;
- 4) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$;
- 5) $M(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is continuous.

Then M is called a fuzzy metric on X . Then $M(x, y, t)$ denotes the degree of nearness between x and y with respect to t .

Example 2.4 (Induced fuzzy metric [3]) Let (X, d) be a metric space. Denote $a * b = ab$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and let M_d be fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ defined as follows :

$$M_d = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}$$

Then $(X, M_d, *)$ is a fuzzy metric space.

Definition 2.5 A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a continuous t-norm if $([0, 1], \cdot)$ is an abelian topological monoid with unit 1 such that $a * b * c \leq d * e * f$ whenever $a \leq d, b \leq e$ and $c \leq f$ for all $a, b, c, d, e, f \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.6 [13] A triplet $(X, M, *)$ is a **fuzzy 2-metric space** if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is a continuous t-norm, and M is a fuzzy set in $X^3 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- 1) $M(x, y, a, 0) = 0$;
- 2) $M(x, y, a, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ if and only if at least two of them are equal;
- 3) $M(x, y, a, t) = M(y, a, x, t) = M(a, y, x, t)$ (Symmetric);
- 4) $M(x, y, z, r) * M(x, z, a, s) * M(z, y, a, t) \leq M(x, y, a, r+s+t)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $r, s, t > 0$;
- 5) $M(x, y, a, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is left continuous for all $x, y, z, a \in X$ and $r, s, t > 0$.
- 6) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, a, t) = 1$ for all $x, y, a \in X, t > 0$.

Example 2.7 [13] Let X be the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with 2-metric d defined by,

$$d(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = y, y = z, z = x \text{ and } \{x, y, z\} = \{1, 2, 3\} \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

For each $t \in [0, \infty)$, define $a * b * c = abc$ and

$$M(x, y, z, t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t = 0 \\ \frac{t}{t+d(x, y, z)}, & \text{if } t > 0, \text{ where } x, y, z \in X. \end{cases}$$

Then $(X, M, *)$ is a fuzzy 2-metric space.

Definition 2.8 [13] (a) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $(X, M, *)$ is **Convergent** to $x \in X$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, a, t) = 1$ for each $t > 0$.

(b) A fuzzy 2-metric space, $(X, M, *)$ is called **Cauchy** if $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x_m, a, t) = 1$ for each $t > 0$.

(c) A fuzzy 2-metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be **Complete**.

Definition 2.9 Two self mappings f and g of a fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, M, *)$ are called compatible if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(fgx_n, gfx_n, a, t) = 1$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = x$ for some x in X .

Definition 2.10 A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called asymptotically regular with respect to pair (S, T) if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(Sx_n, Tx_n, a, t) = 1$.

Lemma 2.11 Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy 2-metric space. If there exists $q \in (0, 1)$ such that $M(x, y, a, qt) \geq M(x, y, a, t)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$, then $x = y$.

Proof: If $M(x, y, a, qt) \geq M(x, y, a, t)$, for all $t > 0$ and some constant $0 < q < 1$, then we have, $M(x, y, a, qt) \geq M(x, y, a, \frac{t}{q}) \geq M(x, y, a, \frac{t}{q^2}) \geq \dots \geq M(x, y, a, \frac{t}{q^n})$, for all $t > 0$ and $x, y \in X$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $M(x, y, a, t) = 1$ and thus $x = y$.

3 Main Results

Theorem 3.1 Let P, S and T be self mappings of a complete fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, M, *)$ with t -norm defined by $a * b * c = a.b.c$ where $a, b, c \in [0, 1]$ satisfying:

$$(i) M(Px, Py, a, qt) \geq \alpha M(Sy, Py, a, t) + \beta \min\{M(Tx, Px, a, t), M(Sx, Px, a, t), M(Ty, Py, a, t)\}$$

- for all $x, y, a \in X$, and $q \in (0, 1)$ where $\alpha, \beta > 0, (\alpha + \beta) \geq 1$,
(ii) the pairs (P, S) and (P, T) are Compatible,
(iii) there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ which is asymptotically regular with respect to (P, S) , (S, T) and (P, T) ,
(iv) S and T are Continuous,
Then P, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Let $\{x_n\}$ satisfy (iii). From (i), we have

$$M(Px_n, Px_m, a, qt) \geq \alpha M(Sx_m, Px_m, a, t) + \beta \min\{M(Tx_n, Px_n, a, t), M(Sx_n, Px_n, a, t), M(Tx_m, Px_m, a, t)\}$$

Making $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (iii), we get

$$\geq (\alpha + \beta) \quad (as, (\alpha + \beta) \geq 1)$$

$$\geq 1.$$

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} M(Px_n, Px_m, a, qt) \geq 1.$$

Hence $\{Px_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and so converges to some $z \in X$ (as X is complete).

Also,

$$M(Sx_n, z, a, r + s + t) \geq M(Sx_n, z, Px_n, r) * M(Sx_n, Px_n, a, s) * M(Px_n, z, a, t)$$

Making $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (iii), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(Sx_n, z, a, r + s + t) \geq 1.$$

So, $Sx_n \rightarrow z$. Similarly, $Tx_n \rightarrow z$.

Now from (iv), we have

$$SPx_n \rightarrow Sz, S^2x_n = SSx_n \rightarrow Sz, STx_n \rightarrow Sz.$$

$$TPx_n \rightarrow Tz, T^2x_n = TTx_n \rightarrow Tz, TSx_n \rightarrow Tz.$$

Also, from (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(PSx_n, Sz, a, r+s+t) &\geq M(PSx_n, Sz, SPx_n, r) * M(PSx_n, SPx_n, a, s) \\ &\quad * M(SPx_n, Sz, a, t) \\ &= 1 * 1 * 1 \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

So, $PSx_n \rightarrow Sz$. Similarly, $PTx_n \rightarrow Tz$. Also from (i) put $x = Sx_n$ and $y = Tx_n$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} M(PSx_n, PTx_n, a, qt) &\geq \alpha M(STx_n, PTx_n, a, t) + \beta \min\{M(TSx_n, PSx_n, a, t), \\ &\quad M(S^2x_n, PSx_n, a, t), M(T^2x_n, PTx_n, a, t)\} \end{aligned}$$

Making $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} M(Sz, Tz, a, qt) &\geq \alpha M(Sz, Tz, a, t) + \beta \min\{M(Tz, Sz, a, t), \\ &\quad M(Sz, Sz, a, t), M(Tz, Tz, a, t)\} \\ &= (\alpha + \beta)M(Sz, Tz, a, t) \quad \text{as, } (\alpha + \beta) \geq 1 \\ &\geq M(Sz, Tz, a, t) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow Sz = Tz$. Again from (i) put $x = Tx_n$ and $y = z$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} M(PTx_n, Pz, a, qt) &\geq \alpha M(Sz, Pz, a, t) + \beta \min\{M(T^2x_n, PTx_n, a, t) \\ &\quad , M(STx_n, PTx_n, a, t), M(Tz, Pz, a, t), \end{aligned}$$

Making $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} M(Tz, Pz, a, qt) &\geq \alpha M(Tz, Pz, a, t) + \beta \min\{M(Tz, Tz, a, t) \\ &\quad , M(Sz, Tz, a, t), M(Tz, Pz, a, t)\} \\ &= (\alpha + \beta)M(Tz, Pz, a, t) \\ &= M(Tz, Pz, a, t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow Tz = Pz = Sz.$$

Also from (i) put $x = Pz$ and $y = z$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} M(PPz, Pz, a, qt) &\geq \alpha M(Sz, Pz, a, t) + \beta \min\{M(TPz, P^2z, a, t), \\ &M(SPz, P^2z, a, t), M(Tz, Pz, a, t)\} \\ &= \alpha M(Sz, Sz, a, t) + \beta \min\{M(TPz, PTz, a, t) \\ &, M(SPz, PSz, a, t), M(Tz, Tz, a, t)\} \\ &= (\alpha + \beta) \quad (\text{From(ii) and } (\alpha + \beta) \geq 1.) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $PPz = PSz = Pz = u$ (say). And

$$\begin{aligned} M(Su, u, a, r + s + t) &= M(SPz, u, a, r + s + t) \\ &\geq M(SPz, PSz, a, s) * M(SPz, PSz, u, r) * M(PSz, u, a, t) \\ &= 1 \quad (\text{From(ii)}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $Su = u$. Similarly $Tu = u$. Thus $Pu = Su = Tu = u$, i.e. u is the common fixed point of P, S and T .

To prove the uniqueness of u , let v be another common fixed point of P, S and T . Then from (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(Pu, Pv, a, qt) &\geq \alpha M(Sv, Pv, a, t) + \beta \min\{M(Tu, Pu, a, t) \\ &, M(Su, Pu, a, t), M(Tv, Pv, a, t)\} \\ &\geq (\alpha + \beta) \quad (as, (\alpha + \beta) \geq 1.) \\ &\geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $u = v$. This completes the proof of the Theorem (3.1).

Theorem 3.2 Let P, S and T be self mappings of a complete fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, M, *)$ with t -norm defined by $a * b * c = \min\{a, b, c\}$ where $a, b, c \in [0, 1]$ satisfying:

$$(i) M(Px, Py, a, qt) \geq \alpha M(Sy, Py, a, t) + \beta \min\{M(Tx, Px, a, t), M(Sx, Px, a, t), M(Ty, Py, a, t)\}$$

for all $x, y, a \in X$, and $q \in (0, 1)$, where $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $(\alpha + \beta) \geq 1$,
(ii) the pairs (P, S) and (P, T) are Compatible,
(iii) there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ which is asymptotically regular with respect to (P, S) and (P, T) ,
(iv) S and T are Continuous,
Then P, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: The proof of this theorem follows from Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.3 Let P, S and T be self mappings of a complete fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, M, *)$ with t -norm defined by $a * b * c = a.b.c$ where $a, b, c \in [0, 1]$ satisfying:

(i) $M(Px, Py, a, qt) \geq r\{\min[M(Sx, Px, a, t), M(Tx, Px, a, t), M(Sy, Py, a, t), M(Ty, Py, a, t)]\}$

for all $x, y, a \in X$, and $q \in (0, 1)$, where $r : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous function such that $r(t) > t$ for $0 \leq t < 1$ and $r(t) = 1$ for $t = 1$,

(ii) the pairs (P, S) and (P, T) are Compatible,
(iii) there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ which is asymptotically regular with respect to (P, S) and (P, T) ,
(iv) S and T are Continuous,
Then P, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Let $\{x_n\}$ satisfy (iii). From (i), we have
 $M(Px_n, Px_m, a, qt) \geq r\{\min[M(Sx_n, Px_n, a, t), M(Tx_n, Px_n, a, t), M(Sx_m, Px_m, a, t)$

$$, M(Tx_m, Px_m, a, t)]\}$$

Making $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (iii), we get
 $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} M(Px_n, Px_m, a, qt) \geq r(1)$.

$$\geq 1.$$

Hence $\{Px_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and so converges to some $z \in X$ (as X is complete).

Also,

$$M(Sx_n, z, a, r + s + t) \geq M(Sx_n, z, Px_n, r) * M(Sx_n, Px_n, a, s) * M(Px_n, z, a, t)$$

Making $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (iii), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(Sx_n, z, a, r + s + t) \geq 1.$$

So, $Sx_n \rightarrow z$. Similarly, $Tx_n \rightarrow z$.

Now from (iv), we have

$$SPx_n \rightarrow Sz, S^2x_n = SSx_n \rightarrow Sz, STx_n \rightarrow Sz.$$

$$TPx_n \rightarrow Tz, T^2x_n = TTx_n \rightarrow Tz, TSx_n \rightarrow Tz.$$

Also, from (ii) we have

$$M(PSx_n, Sz, a, r + s + t) \geq M(PSx_n, Sz, SPx_n, r) * M(PSx_n, SPx_n, a, s)$$

$$* M(SPx_n, Sz, a, t)$$

$$= 1 * 1 * 1$$

$$= 1$$

So, $PSx_n \rightarrow Sz$. Similarly, $PTx_n \rightarrow Tz$. Also from (i) put $x = Sx_n$ and $y = Tx_n$ we get

$$M(PSx_n, PTx_n, a, qt) \geq r\{\min[M(S^2x_n, PSx_n, a, t), M(TSx_n, PSx_n, a, t),$$

$$M(STx_n, PTx_n, a, t), M(T^2x_n, PTx_n, a, t)]\}$$

Making $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$M(Sz, Tz, a, qt) \geq r\{\min[M(Sz, Sz, a, t), M(Tz, Sz, a, t)$$

$$, M(Sz, Tz, a, t), M(Tz, Tz, a, t)]\}$$

$$= r\{\min[1, M(Tz, Sz, a, t), M(Sz, Tz, a, t), 1]\}$$

$$= M(Sz, Tz, a, t)$$

$\Rightarrow Sz = Tz$. Again from (i) put $x = Tx_n$ and $y = z$ we get

$$M(PTx_n, Pz, a, qt) \geq r\{\min[M(STx_n, PTx_n, a, t), M(T^2x_n$$

$$, PTx_n, a, t), M(Sz, Pz, a, t), M(Tz, Pz, a, t)]\}$$

Making $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$M(Tz, Pz, a, qt) \geq r\{\min[M(Tz, Tz, a, t), M(Tz, Tz, a, t), M(Tz, Pz, a, t)$$

$$, M(Tz, Pz, a, t)]\}$$

$$= r\{\min[1, 1, M(Tz, Pz, a, t), M(Tz, Pz, a, t)]\}$$

$$= M(Tz, Pz, a, t)$$

$$\Rightarrow Tz = Pz = Sz.$$

Also from (i) put $x = Pz$ and $y = z$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} M(PPz, Pz, a, qt) &\geq r\{\min[M(SPz, P^2z, a, t), M(TPz, P^2z, a, t), \\ &\quad , M(Sz, Pz, a, t), M(Tz, Pz, a, t)]\} \\ &= r\{\min[M(SPz, PSz, a, t), M(TPz, PTz, a, t), M(Sz, Sz, a, t), \\ &\quad M(Pz, Pz, a, t)]\} \\ &= r\{\min[1, 1, 1, 1]\} \quad (\text{From(ii)}) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $PPz = PSz = Pz = u$ (say). And

$$\begin{aligned} M(Su, u, a, r + s + t) &= M(SPz, u, a, r + s + t) \\ &\geq M(SPz, PSz, a, s) * M(SPz, PSz, u, r) * M(PSz, u, a, t) \\ &= 1 \quad (\text{From(ii)}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $Su = u$. Similarly $Tu = u$. Thus $Pu = Su = Tu = u$, i.e. u is the common fixed point of P, S and T .

To prove the uniqueness of u , let v be another common fixed point of P, S and T . Then from (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(Pu, Pv, a, qt) &\geq r\{\min[M(Su, Pu, a, t), M(Tu, Pu, a, t), M(Sv, Pv, a, t), \\ &\quad , M(Tv, Pv, a, t)]\} \\ &= r\{\min[M(Pu, Pu, a, t), M(Pu, Pu, a, t), M(Pv, Pv, a, t), \\ &\quad , M(Pv, Pv, a, t)]\} \\ &= r\{\min[1, 1, 1, 1]\} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $u = v$. This completes the proof of the Theorem (3.1).

Theorem 3.4 Let P, S and T be self mappings of a complete fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, M, *)$ with t -norm defined by $a * b * c = \min\{a, b, c\}$ where $a, b, c \in$

$[0, 1]$ satisfying:

$$(i) M(Px, Py, a, qt) \geq r \{ \min [M(Sx, Px, a, t), M(Tx, Px, a, t), M(Sy, Py, a, t), M(Ty, Py, a, t)] \}$$

for all $x, y, a \in X$, and $q \in (0, 1)$, where $r : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous function such that $r(t) > t$ for $0 \leq t < 1$ and $r(t) = 1$ for $t = 1$,

(ii) the pairs (P, S) and (P, T) are Compatible,

(iii) there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ which is asymptotically regular with respect to (P, S) and (P, T) ,

(iv) S and T are Continuous,

Then P, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: The proof follows from Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.5 Let P, S and T be self mappings of a complete fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, M, *)$ with t -norm defined by $a * b * c = a.b.c$ where $a, b, c \in [0, 1]$ satisfying:

$$(i) M(Px, Py, a, qt) \geq \min \{ M(Sx, Px, a, t), M(Tx, Px, a, t), M(Sy, Py, a, t), M(Ty, Py, a, t), M(Sy, Ty, a, t) \}$$

for all $x, y, a \in X$, and $q \in (0, 1)$,

(ii) the pairs (P, S) and (P, T) are Compatible,

(iii) there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ which is asymptotically regular with respect to $(P, S), (S, T)$ and (P, T) ,

(iv) S and T are Continuous,

Then P, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Proof: Let $\{x_n\}$ satisfy (iii). From (i), we have

$$M(Px_n, Px_m, a, qt) \geq \min \{ M(Sx_n, Px_n, a, t), M(Tx_n, Px_n, a, t), M(Sx_m, Px_m, a, t), M(Tx_m, Px_m, a, t), M(Sx_m, Tx_m, a, t) \}$$

Making $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (iii), we get

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} M(Px_n, Px_m, a, qt) \geq 1.$$

Hence $\{Px_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and so converges to some $z \in X$ (as X is complete).

Also,

$$M(Sx_n, z, a, r + s + t) \geq M(Sx_n, z, Px_n, r) * M(Sx_n, Px_n, a, s) * M(Px_n, z, a, t)$$

Making $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (iii), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(Sx_n, z, a, r + s + t) \geq 1.$$

So, $Sx_n \rightarrow z$. Similarly, $Tx_n \rightarrow z$.

Now from (iv), we have

$$SPx_n \rightarrow Sz, S^2x_n = SSx_n \rightarrow Sz, STx_n \rightarrow Sz.$$

$$TPx_n \rightarrow Tz, T^2x_n = TTx_n \rightarrow Tz, TSx_n \rightarrow Tz.$$

Also, from (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(PSx_n, Sz, a, r+s+t) &\geq M(PSx_n, Sz, SPx_n, r) * M(PSx_n, SPx_n, a, s) \\ &\quad * M(SPx_n, Sz, a, t) \\ &= 1 * 1 * 1 \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

So, $PSx_n \rightarrow Sz$. Similarly, $PTx_n \rightarrow Tz$. Also from (i) put $x = Sx_n$ and $y = Tx_n$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} M(PSx_n, PTx_n, a, qt) &\geq \min\{M(S^2x_n, PSx_n, a, t), M(TSx_n, PSx_n, a, t), \\ &\quad M(STx_n, PTx_n, a, t), M(T^2x_n, PTx_n, a, t), M(STx_n, T^2x_n, a, t)\} \end{aligned}$$

Making $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} M(Sz, Tz, a, qt) &\geq \min\{M(Sz, Sz, a, t), M(Tz, Sz, a, t), M(Sz, Tz, a, t), M(Tz, Tz, a, t) \\ &\quad , M(Sz, Tz, a, t)\} \\ &= \min\{1, M(Tz, Sz, a, t), M(Sz, Tz, a, t), 1, M(Sz, Tz, a, t)\} \\ &= M(Sz, Tz, a, t) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow Sz = Tz$. Again from (i) put $x = Tx_n$ and $y = z$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} M(PTx_n, Pz, a, qt) &\geq \min\{M(STx_n, PTx_n, a, t), M(T^2x_n, PTx_n, a, t), M(Sz, Pz, a, t), \\ &\quad M(Tz, Pz, a, t), M(Sz, Tz, a, t)\} \end{aligned}$$

Making $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} M(Tz, Pz, a, qt) &\geq \min\{M(Tz, Tz, a, t), M(Tz, Tz, a, t), M(Tz, Pz, a, t), M(Tz, Pz, a, t) \\ &\quad , M(Tz, Tz, a, t)\} \\ &= \min\{1, 1, M(Tz, Pz, a, t), M(Tz, Pz, a, t), 1\} \\ &= M(Tz, Pz, a, t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow Tz = Pz = Sz.$$

Also from (i) put $x = Pz$ and $y = z$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} M(PPz, Pz, a, qt) &\geq \min\{M(SPz, P^2z, a, t), M(TPz, P^2z, a, t), M(Sz, Pz, a, t), \\ &M(Tz, Pz, a, t), M(Sz, Tz, a, t)\} \\ &= \min\{M(SPz, PSz, a, t), M(TPz, PTz, a, t), M(Sz, Sz, a, t), \\ &M(Pz, Pz, a, t), M(Sz, Sz, a, t)\} \\ &= \min\{1, 1, 1, 1, 1\} \quad (\text{From(ii)}) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $PPz = PSz = Pz = u$ (say). And

$$\begin{aligned} M(Su, u, a, r + s + t) &= M(SPz, u, a, r + s + t) \\ &\geq M(SPz, PSz, a, s) * M(SPz, PSz, u, r) * M(PSz, u, a, t) \\ &= 1 \quad (\text{From(ii)}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $Su = u$. Similarly $Tu = u$. Thus $Pu = Su = Tu = u$, i.e. u is the common fixed point of P, S and T .

To prove the uniqueness of u , let v be another common fixed point of P, S and T . Then from (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(Pu, Pv, a, qt) &\geq \min\{M(Su, Pu, a, t), M(Tu, Pu, a, t), M(Sv, Pv, a, t), M(Tv, Pv, a, t) \\ &, M(Sv, Tv, a, t)\} \\ &= \min\{M(Pu, Pu, a, t), M(Pu, Pu, a, t), M(Pv, Pv, a, t), M(Pv, Pv, a, t) \\ &, M(Pv, Pv, a, t)\} \\ &= \min\{1, 1, 1, 1, 1\} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $u = v$. This completes the proof of the Theorem (3.1).

Theorem 3.6 Let P, S and T be self mappings of a complete fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, M, *)$ with t -norm defined by $a * b * c = \min\{a, b, c\}$ where $a, b, c \in$

$[0, 1]$ satisfying:

$$(i) M(Px, Py, a, qt) \geq \min\{M(Sx, Px, a, t), M(Tx, Px, a, t), M(Sy, Py, a, t), M(Ty, Py, a, t), M(Sy, Ty, a, t)\}$$

for all $x, y, a \in X$, and $q \in (0, 1)$,

(ii) the pairs (P, S) and (P, T) are Compatible,

(iii) there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ which is asymptotically regular with respect to $(P, S), (S, T)$ and (P, T) ,

(iv) S and T are Continuous,

Then P, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Proof: The proof follows from Theorem 3.5.

4 Open Problem

Question 1. Are the above mentioned theorems true in an intuitionistic fuzzy 2-metric space?.

References

- [1] A. George, P. Veeramani, On some results in Fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **64**(1994), 395 - 399.
- [2] B. E. Rhoades, Contractive definitions and continuity, *Contemporary Math.*, **72**(1988), 233-245.
- [3] B. E. Rhoades, S. Seesa, M. S. Khan and M. D. Khan, Some fixed point theorems for Hardy-Rogers type mappings, *International Journal Math Math Sci*, **7**(1984), 75-84.
- [4] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, Statistical metric spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **10**(1960), 313-334.
- [5] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points(2), *International. j. Math. Sci.*, (1988), 285-288.
- [6] L. A. Zadeh, Inform and Control, *Fuzzy sets*, **8** (1965), 338-353.
- [7] O. Kramosil and J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and Statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetika.*, **11**(1975), 326-334.

- [8] P. Balasubramaniam, S. Murlisankar, R. P. Pant, Common fixed points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space, *J.Fuzzy Math.*, **10(2)** (2002), 379-384.
- [9] R. P. Pant, Common fixed points of four mappings, *Bull, Cal. Math. Soc.* **90**(1998), 281-286.
- [10] R. P. Pant, Common fixed point theorems for contractive maps, *J.Math. Anal. Appl.* **226**(1998), 251-258.
- [11] R. P. Pant, K. Jha, A remark on common fixed points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space, *J. Fuzzy Math.*, **12(2)** (2004), 433-437.
- [12] R. Vasuki, Common fixed points for R-weakly commuting maps in fuzzy metric spaces, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **30**(1999), 419-423.
- [13] Sanjay Kumar, Common fixed point theorem in fuzzy 2-metric spaces, *Uni. Din. Bacau. Studii Si Cercetiri Sciintifice, Seria: Mathematica Nr*, **18** (2008), 111-116.
- [14] S. Gahler, 2-metriche reume und ihre tapologische structure , *J. Math. Nauhr.*, **26**(1963/64), 115 - 148.