

On Fixed-point theorems in Intuitionistic Fuzzy metric Space

T. K. Samanta , Sumit Mohinta and Iqbal H. Jebril

Department of Mathematics, Uluberia College, India-711315.
e-mail: mumpu_tapas5@yahoo.co.in
e-mail: sumit.mohinta@yahoo.com
Department of Mathematics, Taibah University, Saudi Arabia.
e-mail: iqbal501@hotmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, first we have established two sets of sufficient conditions for a mapping to have unique fixed point in a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and then we have redefined the contraction mapping in a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and thereafter we have proved the Banach Fixed Point theorem.

Keywords: *Fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy set, intuitionistic fuzzy metric, contraction mapping, contractive sequence, Cauchy sequence.*

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 03E72, Secondary: 47H10, 54H25.

1 Introduction

Fuzzy set theory was first introduced by Zadeh[13] in 1965 to describe the situation in which data are imprecise or vague or uncertain. Thereafter the concept of fuzzy set was generalized as intuitionistic fuzzy set by K. Atanassov[7, 8] in 1984. It has a wide range of application in the field of population dynamics , chaos control , computer programming , medicine , etc.

The concept of fuzzy metric was first introduced by Kramosil and Michalek[10], but using the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy set, Park[6] introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces with the help of continuous t-norms and continuous t-conorms, which is a generalization of fuzzy metric space due to

George and Veeramani[2, 3].

In intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, Mohamad[1] proved Banach Fixed Point theorem. But in his paper[1], the definition of contractive mapping is not natural and to prove the iterative sequence is a Cauchy sequence, he first proved that every iterative sequence is a contractive sequence and then assumed that every contractive sequences are Cauchy. Several authors[9, 11] have tried to established the Banach fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric space by introducing the contraction mapping with the help of the membership function for the fuzzy metric. But these contraction mapping do not bear the intension of the contraction mapping with respect to a fuzzy metric, when a fuzzy metric gives the degree of nearness of two points with respect to a parameter t . Considering this meaning of fuzzy metric, in our paper, we have redefined the notion of contraction mapping in a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and then directly, it has been proved that the every iterative sequence is a Cauchy sequence, that is, we don't need to assume that every contractive sequences are Cauchy sequences. Thereafter we have established the Banach Fixed Point theorem. In this paper, also, we have established another two sets of sufficient conditions for a mapping to have unique fixed point.

2 Preliminaries

We quote some definitions and statements of a few theorems which will be needed in the sequel.

Definition 2.1 [4]. A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous t -norm if $*$ satisfies the following conditions :

- (i) $*$ is commutative and associative;
- (ii) $*$ is continuous;
- (iii) $a * 1 = a \quad \forall a \in [0, 1]$;
- (iv) $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c, b \leq d$ and $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.2 [4]. A binary operation \diamond : $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous t -conorm if \diamond satisfies the following conditions :

- (i) \diamond is commutative and associative;
- (ii) \diamond is continuous;
- (iii) $a \diamond 0 = a \quad \forall a \in [0, 1]$;
- (iv) $a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d$ whenever $a \leq c, b \leq d$ and $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Result 2.3 [5]. (a) For any $r_1, r_2 \in (0, 1)$ with $r_1 > r_2$, there exist $r_3, r_4 \in (0, 1)$ such that $r_1 * r_3 > r_2$ and $r_1 > r_4 \diamond r_2$.

(b) For any $r_5 \in (0, 1)$, there exist $r_6, r_7 \in (0, 1)$ such that $r_6 * r_6 \geq r_5$ and $r_7 \diamond r_7 \leq r_5$.

Definition 2.4 [6] Let $*$ be a continuous t -norm , \diamond be a continuous t -conorm and X be any non-empty set. An **intuitionistic fuzzy metric** or in short **IFM** on X is an object of the form

$A = \{ ((x, y, t), \mu(x, y, t), \nu(x, y, t)) : (x, y, t) \in X^2 \times (0, \infty) \}$ where μ, ν are fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$, μ denotes the degree of nearness and ν denotes the degree of non-nearness of x and y relative to t satisfying the following conditions : for all $x, y, z \in X, s, t > 0$

- (i) $\mu(x, y, t) + \nu(x, y, t) \leq 1 \quad \forall (x, y, t) \in X^2 \times (0, \infty)$;
- (ii) $\mu(x, y, t) > 0$;
- (iii) $\mu(x, y, t) = 1$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (iv) $\mu(x, y, t) = \mu(y, x, t)$;
- (v) $\mu(x, y, s) * \mu(y, z, t) \leq \mu(x, z, s + t)$;
- (vi) $\mu(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is continuous;
- (vii) $\nu(x, y, t) > 0$;
- (viii) $\nu(x, y, t) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (ix) $\nu(x, y, t) = \nu(y, x, t)$;
- (x) $\nu(x, y, s) \diamond \mu(y, z, t) \geq \nu(x, z, s + t)$;
- (xi) $\nu(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is continuous.

If A is a **IFM** on X , the pair (X, A) will be called a **intuitionistic fuzzy metric space** or in short **IFMS**.

We further assume that (X, A) is a **IFMS** with the property

- (xii) For all $a \in (0, 1)$, $a * a = a$ and $a \diamond a = a$.

Remark 2.5 [6] In intuitionistic fuzzy metric space X , $\mu(x, y, \cdot)$ is non-decreasing and $\nu(x, y, \cdot)$ is non-increasing for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.6 [2] A sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ in a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space is said to be a **Cauchy sequence** if and only if for each $r \in (0, 1)$ and $t > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 - r$ and $\nu(x_n, x_m, t) < r$ for all $n, m \geq n_0$.

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space is said to converge to $x \in X$ if and only if for each $r \in (0, 1)$ and $t > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu(x_n, x, t) > 1 - r$ and $\nu(x_n, x, t) < r$ for all $n, m \geq n_0$.

Note 2.7 [12] A sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ in an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space is a Cauchy sequence if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, x_{n+p}, t) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(x_n, x_{n+p}, t) = 0.$$

A sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ in an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space converges to $x \in X$ if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, x, t) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(x_n, x, t) = 0.$$

Definition 2.8 [1] An intuitionistic fuzzy metric space (X, A) has the property \spadesuit if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x, y, t) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \nu(x, y, t) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Definition 2.9 [1] Let (X, A) be a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. We will say the mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ is ***t-uniformly continuous*** if for each ε , with $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there exists $0 < r < 1$, such that $\mu(x, y, t) \geq 1 - r$ and $\nu(x, y, t) \leq r$ implies $\mu(f(x), f(y), t) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ and $\nu(f(x), f(y), t) \leq \varepsilon$ for each $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$.

Definition 2.10 [1] Let (X, A) be a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ is ***intuitionistic fuzzy contractive*** if there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\mu(f(x), f(y), t)} - 1 \leq k \left(\frac{1}{\mu(x, y, t)} - 1 \right)$$

$$\text{and } \frac{1}{\nu(f(x), f(y), t)} - 1 \geq \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{\nu(x, y, t)} - 1 \right)$$

for each $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$. k is called the contractive constant of f .

Proposition 2.11 [1] Let (X, A) be a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is fuzzy contractive then f is t -uniformly continuous.

Definition 2.12 [1] Let (X, A) be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. We will say that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is intuitionistic fuzzy contractive if there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\mu(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t)} - 1 \leq k \left(\frac{1}{\mu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1 \right)$$

$$\text{and } \frac{1}{\nu(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t)} - 1 \geq \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{\nu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1 \right)$$

for all $t > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 2.13 [1] Let (X, A) be a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with the property \spadesuit in which intuitionistic fuzzy contractive sequences are Cauchy. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a intuitionistic fuzzy contractive mapping such that k is the contractive constant. Then T has a unique fixed point.

3 Fixed-point theorems

Definition 3.1 Let (X, A) be an **IFMS**, $x \in X$, $r \in (0, 1)$, $t > 0$,
 $B(x, r, t) = \{y \in X / \mu(x, y, t) > 1 - r, \nu(x, y, t) < r\}$.
Then $B(x, r, t)$ is called an **open ball** centered at x of radius r w.r.t. t .

Definition 3.2 Let (X, A) be an **IFMS** and $P \subseteq X$. P is said to be a **closed set** in (X, A) if and only if any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in P converges to $x \in P$ i.e, iff. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, x, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(x_n, x, t) = 0 \Rightarrow x \in P$.

Definition 3.3 Let (X, A) be an **IFMS**, $x \in X$, $r \in (0, 1)$, $t > 0$,
 $S(x, r, t) = \{y \in X / \mu(x, y, t) > 1 - r, \nu(x, y, t) < r\}$.
Hence $S(x, r, t)$ is said to be a **closed ball** centered at x of radius r w.r.t. t iff. any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $S(x, r, t)$ converges to y then $y \in S(x, r, t)$.

Theorem 3.4 (Contraction on a closed ball) :- Suppose (X, A) is a complete IFMS with the property \spadesuit , in which IF contractive sequences are Cauchy. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be IF contractive mapping on $S(x_0, r, t)$ with contractive constant k . Moreover, assume that

$$\frac{1}{\mu(x_0, T(x_0), t)} - 1 < (1 - k) \left(\frac{1}{1 - r} - 1 \right)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\nu(x_0, T(x_0), t)} - 1 > \frac{1}{1 - k} \left(\frac{1}{r} - 1 \right).$$

Then T has unique fixed point in $S(x_0, r, t)$.

Proof. Let $x_1 = T(x_0)$, $x_2 = T(x_1) = T^2(x_0)$, \dots , $x_n = T(x_{n-1})$
i.e, $x_n = T^n(x_0)$ for all $n \in N$. Now

$$\frac{1}{\mu(x_0, x_1, t)} - 1 < (1 - k) \left(\frac{1}{1 - r} - 1 \right) = (1 - k) \left(\frac{r}{1 - r} \right)$$

i.e,

$$\frac{1}{\mu(x_0, x_1, t)} < (1 - k) \left(\frac{r}{1 - r} \right) + 1$$

$$= \frac{r - rk + 1 - r}{1 - r} = \frac{1 - rk}{1 - r}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(x_0, x_1, t) > \frac{1 - r}{1 - rk} > 1 - r$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(x_0, x_1, t) > 1 - r. \quad \dots \quad (i)$$

Again,

$$\frac{1}{\nu(x_0, x_1, t)} - 1 > \frac{1}{1 - k} \left(\frac{1 - r}{r} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
i.e., \quad \frac{1}{\nu(x_0, x_1, t)} &> \frac{1-r}{r(1-k)} + 1 \\
&= \frac{1-r+r-rk}{r(1-k)} = \frac{1-rk}{r(1-k)} \\
\Rightarrow \nu(x_0, x_1, t) &< \frac{r(1-k)}{1-rk} < r \\
\Rightarrow \nu(x_0, x_1, t) &< r. \quad \dots \quad (ii)
\end{aligned}$$

(i) and (ii) $\Rightarrow x_1 \in S(x_0, r, t)$.

Assume that $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} \in S(x_0, r, t)$. We show that $x_n \in S(x_0, r, t)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\mu(x_1, x_2, t)} - 1 &= \frac{1}{\mu(T(x_0), T(x_1), t)} - 1 \\
&\leq k \left(\frac{1}{\mu(x_0, x_1, t)} - 1 \right) \\
&< k \left(\frac{1}{1-r} - 1 \right) \quad [since \mu(x_0, x_1, t) > 1-r] \\
\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\mu(x_1, x_2, t)} &< k \left(\frac{1-1+r}{1-r} \right) + 1 = \frac{rk}{1-r} + 1 = \frac{rk+1-r}{1-r} \\
i.e., \quad \frac{1}{\mu(x_1, x_2, t)} &< \frac{r(k-1)+1}{1-r} \\
\Rightarrow \mu(x_1, x_2, t) &> \frac{1-r}{1+r(k-1)} = \frac{1-r}{1-r(1-k)} > 1-r \\
\Rightarrow \mu(x_1, x_2, t) &> 1-r.
\end{aligned}$$

Again,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\nu(x_1, x_2, t)} - 1 &= \frac{1}{\nu(T(x_0), T(x_1), t)} - 1 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{\nu(x_0, x_1, t)} - 1 \right) \\
i.e., \quad \frac{1}{\nu(x_1, x_2, t)} - 1 &\geq \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{\nu(x_0, x_1, t)} - 1 \right) \\
&> \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{r} - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{1-r}{r} \right) \\
\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\nu(x_1, x_2, t)} &> \frac{1-r}{rk} + 1 = \frac{1-r+rk}{rk} = \frac{1-r(1-k)}{rk}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e., } \nu(x_1, x_2, t) &< \frac{kr}{1-r(1-k)} < r \\ \Rightarrow \nu(x_1, x_2, t) &< r. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly it can be shown that ,

$$\mu(x_2, x_3, t) > 1-r, \nu(x_2, x_3, t) < r, \dots, \mu(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) > 1-r$$

and $\nu(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) < r$.

Thus we see that ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x_0, x_n, t) &\geq \mu\left(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{n}\right) * \mu\left(x_1, x_2, \frac{t}{n}\right) * \dots * \mu\left(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{n}\right) \\ &> (1-r) * (1-r) * \dots * (1-r) = 1-r, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e., } \mu(x_0, x_n, t) > 1-r.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(x_0, x_n, t) &\leq \nu\left(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{n}\right) \diamond \nu\left(x_1, x_2, \frac{t}{n}\right) \diamond \dots \diamond \nu\left(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{n}\right) \\ &< r \diamond r \diamond \dots \diamond r = r. \end{aligned}$$

Thus , $\mu(x_0, x_n, t) > 1-r$ and $\nu(x_0, x_n, t) < r$,
 $\Rightarrow x_n \in S(x_0, r, t)$

Hence by the theorem 22[1], T has unique fixed point in $S(x_0, r, t)$.

Note 3.5 It follows from the proof of Theorem 2.13[1] that for any $x \in X$ the sequence of iterates $\{T^n(x)\}$ converges to the fixed point of T.

Lemma 3.6 Let (X, A) be **IFMS** and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be t -uniformly continuous on X . If $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (X, A) then $T(x_n) \rightarrow T(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (X, A) .

Proof. Proof directly follows from the definitions of t -uniformly continuity and convergence of a sequence in a **IFMS**.

Lemma 3.7 Let (X, A) be **IFMS**. If $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$ in (X, A) then $\mu(x_n, y_n, t) \rightarrow \mu(x, y, t)$ and $\nu(x_n, y_n, t) \rightarrow \nu(x, y, t)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $t > 0$ in R .

Proof. We have,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, x, t) = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(x_n, x, t) = 0;$$

$$\text{and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(y_n, y, t) = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(y_n, y, t) = 0.$$

$$\mu(x_n, y_n, t) \geq \mu\left(x_n, x, \frac{t}{2}\right) * \mu\left(x, y_n, \frac{t}{2}\right)$$

$$\geq \mu \left(x_n, x, \frac{t}{2} \right) * \mu \left(x, y, \frac{t}{4} \right) * \mu \left(y, y_n, \frac{t}{4} \right),$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, y_n, t) \geq \mu(x, y, t).$$

$$\mu(x, y, t) \geq \mu \left(x, x_n, \frac{t}{2} \right) * \mu \left(x_n, y, \frac{t}{2} \right)$$

$$\geq \mu \left(x, x_n, \frac{t}{2} \right) * \mu \left(x_n, y_n, \frac{t}{4} \right) * \mu \left(y_n, y, \frac{t}{4} \right),$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(x, y, t) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, y_n, t) \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, y_n, t) = \mu(x, y, t) \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

Similarly, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(x_n, y_n, t) = \nu(x, y, t)$ for all $t > 0$.

Theorem 3.8 (X, A) be a complete **IFMS** with the property \spadesuit in which IF contractive sequences are Cauchy sequences and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a t -uniformly continuous on X . If for some positive integer m , T^m is a IF contractive mapping with k its contractive constant then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let $B = T^m$, n be a arbitrary but fixed positive integer and $x_0 \in X$.

we now show that $B^n T(x_0) \rightarrow B^n(x_0)$ in (X, A) .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mu(B^n T(x_0), B^n(x_0), t)} - 1 &= \frac{1}{\mu(B(B^{n-1} T(x_0)), B(B^{n-1}(x_0)), t)} - 1 \\ &\leq k \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B^{n-1} T(x_0), B^{n-1}(x_0), t)} - 1 \right) \leq \dots \\ &\leq k^n \left(\frac{1}{\mu(T(x_0), x_0, t)} - 1 \right) \\ \Rightarrow 0 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B^n T(x_0), B^n(x_0), t)} - 1 \right) \leq 0 \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B^n T(x_0), B^n(x_0), t) &= 1, \text{ for all } t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(B^n T(x_0), B^n(x_0), t) = 0$, for all $t > 0$.

Thus, $B^n T(x_0) \rightarrow B^n(x_0)$ in (X, A) .

Again, by the theorem 22[1], we see that B has a unique fixed point x (say),

and from the note [3.5], it follows that $B^n(x_0) \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (X, A) .

Since T is t -uniformly continuous on X , it follows from the above lemma[3.6] that $B^n T(x_0) = T B^n(x_0) \rightarrow T(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (X, A) .

Again since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B^n T(x_0), B^n(x_0), t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(B^n T(x_0), B^n(x_0), t) = 0$, we have by the lemma [3.7],

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(T(x), x, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(T(x), x, t) = 0$, for all $t > 0$,
i.e., $\mu(T(x), x, t) = 1$ and $\nu(T(x), x, t) = 0$, for all $t > 0$.

$\Rightarrow T(x) = x \Rightarrow x$ is a fixed point of T .

If x' is a fixed point of T , i.e., $T(x') = x'$, then $T^m(x') = T^{m-1}(T(x')) = T^{m-1}(x') = \dots = x' \Rightarrow B(x') = x' \Rightarrow x'$ is a fixed point of B .

But x is the unique fixed point of B , therefore $x = x'$ which implies that x is the unique fixed point of T .

Definition 3.9 Let (X, A) be **IFMS** and $T : X \rightarrow X$. T is said to be *TS-IF contractive mapping* if there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$k \mu(T(x), T(y), t) \geq \mu(x, y, t)$$

$$\text{and } \frac{1}{k} \nu(T(x), T(y), t) \leq \nu(x, y, t) \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Theorem 3.10 Let (X, A) be a complete **IFMS** and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be *TS-IF contractive mapping* with k its contraction constant. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and $x_n = T^n(x)$ for all $n \in N$. Now for each $t > 0$,

$$k \mu(x_2, x_1, t) = k \mu(T(x_1), T(x), t)$$

$$\geq \mu(x_1, x, t),$$

$$\text{i.e., } k \mu(x_2, x_1, t) \geq \mu(x_1, x, t),$$

$$\frac{1}{k} \nu(x_2, x_1, t) = \frac{1}{k} \nu(T(x_1), T(x), t)$$

$$\leq \nu(x_1, x, t),$$

$$\text{i.e., } \frac{1}{k} \nu(x_2, x_1, t) \leq \nu(x_1, x, t).$$

Again,

$$k \mu(x_3, x_2, t) = k \mu(T(x_2), T(x_1), t)$$

$$\geq \mu(x_2, x_1, t),$$

$$\Rightarrow k^2 \mu(x_3, x_2, t) \geq k \mu(x_2, x_1, t) \geq \mu(x_1, x, t),$$

$$\text{i.e., } k^2 \mu(x_3, x_2, t) \geq \mu(x_1, x, t).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k} \nu(x_3, x_2, t) &= \frac{1}{k} \nu(T(x_2), T(x_1), t) \\ &\leq \nu(x_2, x_1, t), \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{k^2} \nu(x_3, x_2, t) \leq \frac{1}{k} \nu(x_2, x_1, t) \leq \nu(x_1, x, t),$$

$$\text{i.e., } \frac{1}{k^2} \nu(x_3, x_2, t) \leq \nu(x_1, x, t).$$

By Mathematical induction, we have,

$$k^n \mu(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) \geq \mu(x_1, x, t) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\frac{1}{k^n} \nu(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) \leq \nu(x_1, x, t), \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

We now verify that $\{x_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence in (X, A) . Let $t_1 = \frac{t}{p}$.

$$\mu(x_n, x_{n+p}, t)$$

$$\geq \mu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t_1) * \mu(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t_1) * \cdots * \mu(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p}, t_1)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{k^n} k^n \mu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t_1) \right) * \left(\frac{1}{k^{n+1}} k^{n+1} \mu(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t_1) \right) * \cdots$$

$$* \left(\frac{1}{k^{n+p-1}} k^{n+p-1} \mu(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p}, t_1) \right)$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{k^n} \mu(x_1, x, t_1) \right) * \left(\frac{1}{k^{n+1}} \mu(x_1, x, t_1) \right) * \cdots * \left(\frac{1}{k^{n+p-1}} \mu(x_1, x, t_1) \right)$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{k^n} \mu(x_1, x, t_1) \right) * \cdots * \left(\frac{1}{k^n} \mu(x_1, x, t_1) \right) = \left(\frac{1}{k^n} \mu(x_1, x, t_1) \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{k^n} \mu(x_1, x, t_1) \right) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, x_{n+p}, t) \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, x_{n+p}, t) = 1.$$

In the similar way, we have, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(x_n, x_{n+p}, t) = 0$.

Hence $\{x_n\}_n$ is a cauchy sequence in (X, A) .

So, $\exists y \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (X, A) .

Now,

$$k \mu(T(x_n), T(y), t) \geq \mu(x_n, y, t)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{i.e., } \mu (T(x_n), T(y), t) \geq \frac{1}{k} \mu (x_n, y, t) \\
& \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu (T(x_n), T(y), t) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \mu (x_n, y, t) = \frac{1}{k} > 1 \\
& \Rightarrow 1 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu (T(x_n), T(x), t) \leq 1 \\
& \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu (T(x_n), T(x), t) = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Again,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nu (T(x_n), T(y), t) \leq k \nu (x_n, y, t) \\
& \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu (T(x_n), T(y), t) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k \nu (x_n, y, t) = 0 \\
& \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu (T(x_n), T(x), t) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu (T(x_n), T(y), t) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu (T(x_n), T(y), t) = 0, \text{ for all } t > 0. \\
& \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_n) = T(y) \text{ in } (X, A) \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = T(y) \text{ in } (X, A).
\end{aligned}$$

i.e., $y = T(y)$

$\Rightarrow y$ is a fixed point of T .

To prove the uniqueness, assume $T(z) = z$ for some $z \in X$. Then for $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mu (y, z, t) = \mu (T(y), T(z), t) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{k} \mu (y, z, t) \\
& = \frac{1}{k} \mu (T(y), T(z), t) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{k^2} \mu (y, z, t) \geq \dots \\
& \geq \frac{1}{k^n} \mu (y, z, t) \longrightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \\
& \implies 1 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^n} \mu (y, z, t) \leq \mu (y, z, t) \leq 1. \\
& \Rightarrow \mu (y, z, t) = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nu (y, z, t) = \nu (T(y), T(z), t) \\
& \leq k \nu (y, z, t) \\
& = k \nu (T(y), T(z), t) \\
& \leq k^2 \nu (y, z, t) \leq \dots \\
& \leq k^n \nu (y, z, t) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \\
& \implies 0 \leq \nu (y, z, t) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k^n \nu (y, z, t) < 0.
\end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \nu (y, z, t) = 0 \Rightarrow y = z$.

This completes the proof.

4 Open Problem

One can further try to prove the Kannan's fixed point theorem and Chatterjee's fixed point theorem with the help of TS-IF contractive mapping and also with respect to the contractive mapping of [1].

References

- [1] Abdul Mohamad, *Fixed-point theorems in Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 34 (2007) 1689–1695.
- [2] A. George, P. Veeramani, *On Some result in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst Vol. 64 (1994) 395–399.
- [3] A. George, P. Veeramani, *On Some results of analysis for fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst Vol. 90 (1997) 365–368.
- [4] B. Schweizer , A. Sklar, *Statistical metric space*, Pacific journal of mathematics 10 (1960) 314–334.
- [5] E. P. Klement, R. Mesiar, E. Pap, *Triangular norms* , Kluwer , Dordrecht (2000).
- [6] Jin Han Park, *Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 22 (2004) 1039–1046.
- [7] K. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets* , In : Sgurev V. editor. VII ITKR's Session, Sofia June, 1983 (Central Sci. and Technol Library, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1984).
- [8] K. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets* , Fuzzy Sets and Systems Vol.20 , 1986, pp 87–96
- [9] M. Grabiec, *Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces* , Fuzzy Sets and Systems 27 (1988) 385–389.
- [10] O. Kramosil, J. Michalek, *Fuzzy metric and statisticalmetric spaces*, Kybernetica 11 (1975) 326–334.
- [11] R. Vasuki, P. Veeramani, *Fixed point theorems and Cauchy sequences in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst Vol. 135 (2003) 415–417.
- [12] T. K. Samanta and Iqbal H. Jebril, *Finite dimentional intuitionistic fuzzy normed linear space*, Int. J. Open Problems Compt. Math., Vol 2, No. 4 (2009) 574–591.
- [13] L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Information and control 8 (1965) 338–353.