

The Algebra of Generalized Full Terms

Sarawut Phuapong, Sorasak Leeratanavalee¹

Department of Mathematics, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand 50200
e-mail: phuapong.sa@hotmail.com

Department of Mathematics, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand 50200
e-mail: scislrtt@chiangmai.ac.th
or the Centre of Excellence in Mathematics, CHE, Sri Ayuthaya Rd., Bangkok,
Thailand 10400

Abstract

In this paper the algebra of generalized full terms is defined. The well-known connection between hyperidentities of an algebra and identities satisfied by the clone of this algebra is studied in a restricted setting, that of n_i -ary generalized full hyperidentities and identities of the n_i -ary clone of term operations of an algebra induced by generalized full terms.

Keywords: *generalized full hyperidentities, generalized full hypersubstitution, generalized full terms.*

MSC (2010): *20M07, 08B15, 08B25.*

1 Introduction

Let $X := \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ be a countably infinite set of symbols called variables. We often refer to these variables as letters, to X as an alphabet, and also refer to the set $X_n := \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ as an n -element alphabet. Let $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ be an indexed set which is disjoint from X . Each f_i is called an n_i -ary operation symbol, where $n_i \geq 1$ is a natural number. Let τ be a function which assigns to every f_i the number n_i as its arity. The function τ , on the values of τ written as $(n_i)_{i \in I}$ is called a type.

An n -ary term of type τ is defined inductively as follows :

- (i) The variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ are n -ary terms of type τ .

¹Corresponding author.

(ii) If $t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}$ are n_i -ary terms of type τ then $f_i(t_1, \dots, t_{n_i})$ is an n_i -ary term of type τ .

By $W_\tau(X_n)$ we denote the smallest set which contains $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and is closed under finite application of (ii). Then the set $W_\tau(X) := \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} W_\tau(X_n)$ is the set of all terms of type τ . This set can be used as the universe of an algebra of type τ . For every $i \in I$, an n_i -ary operation $\bar{f}_i$ on $W_\tau(X)$ is defined by $\bar{f}_i : W_\tau(X)^{n_i} \rightarrow W_\tau(X)$ with $(t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}) \mapsto f_i(t_1, \dots, t_{n_i})$.

The algebra $\mathcal{F}_\tau(X) := (W_\tau(X); (\bar{f}_i)_{i \in I})$ is called the absolutely free algebra of type τ over the set X . The term algebra $\mathcal{F}_\tau(X)$ is generated by set X and has the property called *absolute freeness* means that for every algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A; (f_i^{\mathcal{A}})_{i \in I}) \in \text{Alg}(\tau)$ and every mapping $f : X \rightarrow A$ there exists a unique homomorphism $\hat{f} : \mathcal{F}_\tau(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ which extends the mapping f such that $\hat{f} \circ \varphi = f$ where $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\tau(X)$ is the embedding of X into $\mathcal{F}_\tau(X)$.

Then for any $m \geq 1$; $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $S^m : W_\tau(X)^{m+1} \rightarrow W_\tau(X)$ inductively by the following steps:

for any term $t \in W_\tau(X)$,

- (i) if $t = x_j, 1 \leq j \leq m$, then $S^m(x_j, t_1, \dots, t_m) := t_j$,
- (ii) if $t = x_j, m < j \in \mathbb{N}$, then $S^m(x_j, t_1, \dots, t_m) := x_j$,
- (iii) if $t = f_i(s_1, \dots, s_{n_i})$, then
 $S^m(t, t_1, \dots, t_m) := f_i(S^m(s_1, t_1, \dots, t_m), \dots, S^m(s_{n_i}, t_1, \dots, t_m))$.

Let P_{n_i} be the set of all permutations on $\{1, 2, \dots, n_i\}$. Generalized full terms of type τ are inductively defined as in the following definition.

Definition 1.1 Let $\tau = (n_i)_{i \in I}$ and let f_i be an n_i -ary operation symbol,

- (i) if $s : \{1, \dots, n_i\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n_i\}$ is a permutation, then $f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)})$ is a generalized full term of type τ ;
- (ii) if $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{n_i}$ are natural numbers and greater than n_i , then $f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})})$ is a generalized full term of type τ where s' is a permutation on $\{j_1, \dots, j_{n_i}\}$;
- (iii) if $t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}$ are generalized full terms of type τ , then $f_i(t_1, \dots, t_{n_i})$ is a generalized full term of type τ .

Let $W_\tau^{GF}(X)$ be the set of all n_i -ary generalized full terms of type τ . By Definition 1.1 the set $W_\tau^{GF}(X)$ is closed under the operation $\bar{f}_i$. Therefore $(W_\tau^{GF}(X); (\bar{f}_i)_{i \in I})$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_\tau(X)$. Then we define a superposition operation S^{n_i} on $W_\tau^{GF}(X)$ by the following steps:

Definition 1.2 Let $s \in P_{n_i}, t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}, p_1, \dots, p_{n_i} \in W_\tau^{GF}(X), j_1, \dots, j_{n_i}$ are natural numbers and greater than n_i and s' is a permutation on $\{j_1, \dots, j_{n_i}\}$, $S^{n_i} : W_\tau^{GF}(X)^{n+1} \rightarrow W_\tau^{GF}(X)$ is defined by

- (i) $S^{n_i}(f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)}), t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}) := f_i(t_{s(1)}, \dots, t_{s(n_i)});$
- (ii) $S^{n_i}(f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}), t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}) := f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})});$
- (iii) $S^{n_i}(f_i(p_1, \dots, p_{n_i}), t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}) := f_i(S^{n_i}(p_1, t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}), \dots, S^{n_i}(p_{n_i}, t_1, \dots, t_{n_i})).$

Then the algebra $clone_{GF}\tau := (W_\tau^{GF}; S^{n_i})$ of type $\tau = (n_i + 1)$ with $\mathcal{F}_{GS\tau} = \{f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)}) \mid i \in I, s \in P_{n_i}\} \cup \{f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}) \mid j_1, \dots, j_{n_i} > n_i, s' \text{ is a permutation on } \{j_1, \dots, j_{n_i}\}\}$ as a generating system is called the clone of generalized full terms of type τ .

If $\mathcal{A} = (A; (f_i^A)_{i \in I})$ is an algebra of type τ , then for every generalized full term t of type τ induces a term operation t^A on $\mathcal{A}$ via the following steps :

Definition 1.3 Let $s \in P_{n_i}$ and s' be a permutation on $\{j_1, \dots, j_{n_i}\}$ where $j_1, \dots, j_{n_i}$ are natural numbers and greater than n_i . Then an n_i -ary term operation t^A induced by a generalized full term t is defined as follows:

- (i) If $t = f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)})$ then
 $t^A = [f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)})]^A := f_i^A(x_{s(1)}^A, \dots, x_{s(n_i)}^A) := (f_i^A)_s.$
- (ii) If $t = f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})})$ then
 $t^A = [f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})})]^A := f_i^A(x_{s'(j_1)}^A, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}^A) = (f_i^A)_{s'}$ where
 $x_{s'(j_k)}^A := c_{a_k}^{n_i, A}$ is the n_i -ary constant operation on $\mathcal{A}$ with value a_k , and each element from $\mathcal{A}$ is uniquely induced by an element from $X \setminus X_{n_i}$, i.e.
 $f_i^A(x_{s'(j_1)}^A, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}^A) := f_i^A(a_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, a_{s'(j_{n_i})}).$
- (iii) If $t = f_i(t_1, \dots, t_{n_i})$ then $t^A = [f_i(t_1, \dots, t_{n_i})]^A := f_i^A(t_1^A, \dots, t_{n_i}^A)$ where $t_1^A, \dots, t_{n_i}^A$ are n_i -ary term operations which are induced by generalized full terms $t_1, \dots, t_{n_i} \in W_\tau^{GF}(X).$

Here the right hand side of the equation in (iii) means the n_i -ary operation defined by $f_i^A(t_1^A, \dots, t_{n_i}^A)(a_1, \dots, a_{n_i}) := f_i^A(t_1^A(a_1, \dots, a_{n_i}), \dots, t_{n_i}^A(a_1, \dots, a_{n_i}))$ for every $(a_1, \dots, a_{n_i}) \in A^{n_i}$. Let $\mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})$ be the set of all these term operations. On the set $\mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})$ we defined inductively an $(n_i + 1)$ -ary superposition operation $S^{n_i, A}$ by the following steps:

Definition 1.4 Let $s \in P_{n_i}, t_1^A, \dots, t_{n_i}^A, p_1^A, \dots, p_{n_i}^A \in \mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})$ and s' be a permutation on $\{j_1, \dots, j_{n_i}\}$ where $j_1, \dots, j_{n_i}$ are natural numbers and greater than n_i , $S^{n_i, A} : \mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})^{n_i+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})$ is defined by

- (i) $S^{n_i, A}(f_i^A(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)}), t_1^A, \dots, t_{n_i}^A) := f_i^A(t_{s(1)}^A, \dots, t_{s(n_i)}^A);$
- (ii) $S^{n_i, A}(f_i^A(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}), t_1^A, \dots, t_{n_i}^A) := f_i^A(x_{s'(j_1)}^A, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}^A);$
- (iii) $S^{n_i, A}(f_i^A(p_1^A, \dots, p_{n_i}^A), t_1^A, \dots, t_{n_i}^A) := f_i^A(S^{n_i, A}(p_1^A, t_1^A, \dots, t_{n_i}^A), \dots, S^{n_i, A}(p_{n_i}^A, t_1^A, \dots, t_{n_i}^A)).$

This gives the algebra $\mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A}) := (T_{GF}(\mathcal{A}); S^{n_i, A})$ called an n_i -ary generalized full (term) clone of an n_i -ary algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Let τ be a type. An equation of type τ is a pair (s, t) from $W_\tau(X)$; such pair is commonly written as $s \approx t$. An equation $s \approx t$ is an *identity* of an algebra $\mathcal{A}$, denoted by $\mathcal{A} \models s \approx t$ if $s^A = t^A$. The equation means that for every mapping $f : X \rightarrow A$; we have $\hat{f}(s) = \hat{f}(t)$, where $\hat{f}$ is the uniquely determined extension of f .

2 Main Results

Using the new set of variables $\mathcal{X} = (Y_i)_{i \in I}$ indexed by an indexed set I , and an $(n_i + 1)$ -ary operation symbol $\tilde{S}^{n_i}$ we define a new language of type $\tau = (n_i + 1)$ and consider equations formulated in this new language.

Proposition 2.1 The algebra $clone_{GF\tau}$ satisfies the so-called superassociative law (C)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}^{n_i}(X_0, \tilde{S}^{n_i}(Y_1, X_1, \dots, X_{n_i}), \dots, \tilde{S}^{n_i}(Y_{n_i}, X_1, \dots, X_{n_i})) \approx \\ \tilde{S}^{n_i}(\tilde{S}^{n_i}(X_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_{n_i}), X_1, \dots, X_{n_i}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{S}^{n_i}$ is $(n_i + 1)$ -ary operation symbol and X_i, Y_j are variables.

Proof. We give a proof by induction on the complexity of a generalized full term t which is substituted for X_0 .

Substituting for X_0 a generalized full term $t = f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)})$ for all $s \in P_{n_i}$, then $S^{n_i}(f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)}), S^{n_i}(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}), \dots, S^{n_i}(t_{n_i}, s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}))$

$$\begin{aligned} &= f_i(S^{n_i}(x_{s(1)}, S^{n_i}(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}), \dots, S^{n_i}(t_{n_i}, s_1, \dots, s_{n_i})), \dots, \\ &\quad S^{n_i}(x_{s(n_i)}, S^{n_i}(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}), \dots, S^{n_i}(t_{n_i}, s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}))) \\ &= f_i(S^{n_i}(t_{s(1)}, s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}), \dots, S^{n_i}(t_{s(n_i)}, s_1, \dots, s_{n_i})) \\ &= S^{n_i}(f_i(t_{s(1)}, \dots, t_{s(n_i)}), s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}) \\ &= S^{n_i}(S^{n_i}(f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)}), t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}), s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}). \end{aligned}$$

If we substitute for X_0 a generalized full term $t = f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})})$ where $j_1, \dots, j_{n_i}$ are natural numbers and greater than n_i and s' is a permutation on

$\{j_1, \dots, j_{n_i}\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& S^{n_i}(f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}', \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}'), S^{n_i}(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}), \dots, S^{n_i}(t_{n_i}, s_1, \dots, s_{n_i})) \\
&= f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}', \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}') \\
&= S^{n_i}(f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}', \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}'), s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}) \\
&= S^{n_i}(f_i(S^{n_i}(x_{s'(j_1)}', t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}), \dots, S^{n_i}(x_{s'(j_{n_i})}', t_1, \dots, t_{n_i})), s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}) \\
&= S^{n_i}(S^{n_i}(f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}', \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}'), t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}), s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}).
\end{aligned}$$

If we substitute for X_0 a generalized full term $t = f_i(r_1, \dots, r_{n_i})$ and assume that (C) is satisfied for $r_1, \dots, r_{n_i}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& S^n(f_i(r_1, \dots, r_{n_i}), S^{n_i}(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}), \dots, S^{n_i}(t_{n_i}, s_1, \dots, s_{n_i})) \\
&= f_i(S^{n_i}(r_1, S^{n_i}(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}), \dots, S^{n_i}(t_{n_i}, s_1, \dots, s_{n_i})), \dots, \\
&\quad S^{n_i}(r_{n_i}, S^{n_i}(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}), \dots, S^{n_i}(t_{n_i}, s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}))) \\
&= S^{n_i}(f_i(S^{n_i}(r_1, t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}), \dots, S^{n_i}(r_{n_i}, t_1, \dots, t_{n_i})), s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}) \\
&= S^{n_i}(S^{n_i}(f_i(r_1, \dots, r_{n_i})), t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}), s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}).
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.2 The algebra $\mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})$ satisfies the superassociative law (C).

Proof. We give a proof by induction on the complexity of a term operation t^A on $\mathcal{A}$ which is substituted for X_0 . Substituting for X_0 an n_i -ary term operation t^A of the form $t^A = [f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)})]^A$ for all $s \in P_{n_i}$, then $S^{n_i,A}(f_i^A(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)}), S^{n_i,A}(t_1^A, s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A), \dots, S^{n_i,A}(t_{n_i}^A, s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A))$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= f_i^A(S^{n_i,A}(x_{s(1)}, S^{n_i,A}(t_1^A, s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A), \dots, S^{n_i,A}(t_{n_i}^A, s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A)), \dots, \\
&\quad S^{n_i,A}(x_{s(n_i)}, S^{n_i,A}(t_1^A, s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A), \dots, S^{n_i,A}(t_{n_i}^A, s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A))) \\
&= f_i^A(S^{n_i,A}(t_{s(1)}^A, s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A), \dots, S^{n_i,A}(t_{s(n_i)}^A, s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A)) \\
&= S^{n_i,A}(f_i^A(t_{s(1)}^A, \dots, t_{s(n_i)}^A), s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A) \\
&= S^{n_i,A}(S^{n_i,A}(f_i^A(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)}), t_1^A, \dots, t_{n_i}^A), s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A).
\end{aligned}$$

If we substitute for X_0 an n_i -ary term operation t^A of the form $t^A = [f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}', \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}')]^A$ where $j_1, \dots, j_{n_i}$ are natural numbers and greater than n_i and s' is a permutation on $\{j_1, \dots, j_{n_i}\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& S^{n_i,A}(f_i^A(x_{s'(j_1)}', \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}'), S^{n_i,A}(t_1^A, s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A), \dots, S^{n_i,A}(t_{n_i}^A, s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A)) \\
&= f_i^A(x_{s'(j_1)}'^A, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}'^A) \\
&= S^{n_i,A}(f_i^A(x_{s'(j_1)}', \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}'), s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A) \\
&= S^{n_i,A}(f_i^A(S^{n_i,A}(x_{s'(j_1)}', t_1^A, \dots, t_{n_i}^A), \dots, S^{n_i,A}(x_{s'(j_{n_i})}', t_1^A, \dots, t_{n_i}^A)), s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A) \\
&= S^{n_i,A}(S^{n_i,A}(f_i^A(x_{s'(j_1)}', \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}'), t_1^A, \dots, t_{n_i}^A), s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A).
\end{aligned}$$

If we substitute for X_0 an n_i -ary term operation t^A of the form

$$t^A = [f_i(r_1, \dots, r_{n_i})]^A$$

and assume that (C) is satisfied for $r_1^A, \dots, r_{n_i}^A$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & S^{n_i, A}(f_i^A(r_1^A, \dots, r_{n_i}^A), S^{n_i, A}(t_1^A, s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A), \dots, S^{n_i, A}(t_{n_i}^A, s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A)) \\ &= f_i^A(S^{n_i, A}(r_1^A, S^{n_i, A}(t_1^A, s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A), \dots, S^{n_i, A}(t_{n_i}^A, s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A)), \dots, \\ & \quad S^{n_i, A}(r_{n_i}^A, S^{n_i, A}(t_1^A, s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A), \dots, S^{n_i, A}(t_{n_i}^A, s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A))) \\ &= S^{n_i, A}(f_i^A(S^{n_i, A}(r_1^A, t_1^A, \dots, t_{n_i}^A), \dots, S^{n_i, A}(r_{n_i}^A, t_1^A, \dots, t_{n_i}^A)), s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A) \\ &= S^{n_i, A}(S^{n_i, A}(f_i^A(r_1^A, \dots, r_{n_i}^A)), t_1^A, \dots, t_{n_i}^A), s_1^A, \dots, s_{n_i}^A). \end{aligned}$$

Let V_τ^{GFC} be the variety of type $\tau = (n_i + 1)$ generated by the identity (C). Both algebras belong to this variety. Now let $\mathcal{F}_{V_\tau^{GFC}}(\{Y_l \mid l \in J\})$ be the free algebra with respect to V_τ^{GFC} , freely generated by an alphabet $\{Y_l \mid l \in J\}$ where $J = \{(i, s) \mid i \in I, s \in P_{n_i}\}$. The operation of $\mathcal{F}_{V_\tau^{GFC}}(\{Y_l \mid l \in J\})$ is denoted by $\tilde{S}^{n_i}$. Then we have:

Theorem 2.3 The algebra $\text{clone}_{GF}\tau$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_{V_\tau^{GFC}}(\{Y_l \mid l \in J\})$ and therefore free with respect to the variety V_τ^{GFC} , and freely generated by the set $\{f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)}) \mid i \in I, s \in P_{n_i}\} \cup \{f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}) \mid j_1, \dots, j_{n_i} > n_i, s' \text{ is a permutation on } \{j_1, \dots, j_{n_i}\}\}$

Proof. We define the mapping $\varphi : W_\tau^{GF}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{V_\tau^{GFC}}(\{Y_l \mid l \in J\})$ inductively as follows:

- (i) $\varphi(f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)})) = y_{(i,s)}$;
- (ii) $\varphi(f_i(t_{s(1)}, \dots, t_{s(n_i)})) = \tilde{S}^{n_i}(y_{(i,s)}, \varphi(t_1), \dots, \varphi(t_{n_i}))$;
- (iii) $\varphi(f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})})) = y_{(i,s')}$ where s' is a permutation on $\{j_1, \dots, j_{n_i}\}$.

Since φ maps the generating system of $\text{clone}_{GF}\tau$ onto the generating system of $\mathcal{F}_{V_\tau^{GFC}}(\{Y_l \mid l \in J\})$, it is surjective. We prove the homomorphism property $\varphi(S^{n_i}(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{n_i})) = \tilde{S}^{n_i}(\varphi(t_0), \varphi(t_1), \dots, \varphi(t_{n_i}))$ by induction on the complexity of the generalized full term t_0 . If $t_0 = f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(S^{n_i}(f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)}), t_1, \dots, t_{n_i})) &= \varphi(f_i(t_{s(1)}, \dots, t_{s(n_i)})) \\ &= \tilde{S}^{n_i}(y_{(i,s)}, \varphi(t_1), \dots, \varphi(t_{n_i})) \\ &= \tilde{S}^{n_i}(\varphi(f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)})), \varphi(t_1), \dots, \varphi(t_{n_i})). \end{aligned}$$

If $t_0 = f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})})$, then $\varphi(S^{n_i}(f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}), t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}))$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \varphi(f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})})) \\ &= y_{(i,s')} \\ &= \tilde{S}^{n_i}(y_{(i,s')}, \varphi(t_1), \dots, \varphi(t_{n_i})) \\ &= \tilde{S}^{n_i}(\varphi(f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})})), \varphi(t_1), \dots, \varphi(t_{n_i})). \end{aligned}$$

If $t_0 = f_i(r_1, \dots, r_{n_i})$ and assume that $\varphi(S^{n_i}(r_l, t_1, \dots, t_{n_i})) = \tilde{S}^{n_i}(\varphi(r_l), \varphi(t_1), \dots, \varphi(t_{n_i}))$ for all $1 \leq l \leq n_i$. Then $\varphi(S^{n_i}(f_i(r_1, \dots, r_{n_i}), t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}))$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \varphi(f_i(S^{n_i}(r_1, t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}), \dots, S^{n_i}(r_{n_i}, t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}))) \\ &= \tilde{S}^{n_i}(y_{(i, id)}, \varphi(S^{n_i}(r_1, t_1, \dots, t_{n_i})), \dots, \\ &\quad \varphi(S^{n_i}(r_{n_i}, t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}))) \\ &= \tilde{S}^{n_i}(y_{(i, id)}, \tilde{S}^{n_i}(\varphi(r_1), \varphi(t_1), \dots, \varphi(t_{n_i})), \dots, \\ &\quad \tilde{S}^{n_i}(\varphi(r_{n_i}), \varphi(t_1), \dots, \varphi(t_{n_i}))) \\ &= \tilde{S}^{n_i}(\tilde{S}(y_{(i, id)}, \varphi(r_1), \dots, \varphi(r_{n_i})), \varphi(t_1), \dots, \varphi(t_{n_i})) \\ &= \tilde{S}^{n_i}(\varphi(f_i(r_1, \dots, r_{n_i})), \varphi(t_1), \dots, \varphi(t_{n_i})). \end{aligned}$$

Thus φ is a homomorphism. The mapping φ is bijective since $\{y_{(i, s)} \mid i \in I, s \in P_{n_i}\}$ is free independent set. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_{(i, s_1)} = y_{(j, s_2)} &\implies (i, s_1) = (j, s_2) \\ &\implies i = j, s_1 = s_2 \end{aligned}$$

and
$$\begin{aligned} y_{(i, s'_1)} = y_{(j, s'_2)} &\implies (i, s'_1) = (j, s'_2) \\ &\implies i = j, s'_1 = s'_2. \end{aligned}$$

So $f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)}) = f_j(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)})$ and $f_i(x_{s'(1)}, \dots, x_{s'(n_i)}) = f_j(x_{s'(1)}, \dots, x_{s'(n_i)})$. Thus φ is a bijection between the generating sets of $clone_{GF}\tau$ and $\mathcal{F}_{V_\tau^{GFC}}(\{Y_l \mid l \in J\})$ and therefore φ is an isomorphism.

Since the free algebra $clone_{GF}\tau$ is generated by the set $\{f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)}) \mid i \in I, s \in P_{n_i}\} \cup \{f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}) \mid j_1, \dots, j_{n_i} > n_i, s' \text{ is a permutation on } \{j_1, \dots, j_{n_i}\}\}$. Therefore any mapping η from $\mathcal{F}_{GS_\tau}$ into $W_\tau^{GF}(X)$ can be uniquely extended to an endomorphism $\bar{\eta}$ of $clone_{GF}\tau$. The mappings are called generalized full clone substitutions. The set of all generalized full clone substitutions is denoted by $Subst_{GFC}$.

The set $Subst_{GFC}$ with a binary operation $\odot$ defined by $\eta_1 \odot \eta_2 := \bar{\eta}_1 \circ \eta_2$ where $\circ$ is the usual composition of functions and together with $id_{\mathcal{F}_{GS_\tau}}$, the identity mapping on $\mathcal{F}_{GS_\tau}$ we conclude that $(Subst_{GFC}; \odot, id_{\mathcal{F}_{GS_\tau}})$ is a monoid. Next, we give the definition of a generalized full hypersubstitution and a generalized full hyperidentity and introduce some properties about generalized full hyperidentities and generalized full hypersubstitutions.

Definition 2.4 A generalized full hypersubstitution of type τ is mapping σ from the set $\{f_i \mid i \in I\}$ of n_i -ary operation symbols of the type τ to the set $W_\tau^{GF}(X)$ of all n_i -ary generalized full terms of type τ .

Any generalized full hypersubstitution σ induces a mapping $\hat{\sigma}$ defined on the set $W_\tau^{GF}(X)$ of all n_i -ary generalized full terms of type τ , as follows.

Definition 2.5 Let σ be a generalized full hypersubstitution of type τ and $s \in P_{n_i}$ and s' is a permutation on $\{j_1, \dots, j_{n_i}\}$. Then σ induces a mapping $\hat{\sigma} : W_\tau^{GF}(X) \longrightarrow W_\tau^{GF}(X)$ by setting

- (i) $\hat{\sigma}[f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)})] := (\sigma(f_i))_s,$
- (ii) $\hat{\sigma}[f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})})] := (\sigma(f_i))_{s'},$
- (iii) $\hat{\sigma}[f_i(t_1, \dots, t_{n_i})] := S^{n_i}(\sigma(f_i), \hat{\sigma}[t_1], \dots, \hat{\sigma}[t_{n_i}]).$

Let $Hyp_{GF}(\tau)$ be the set of all generalized full hypersubstitutions of type τ . We define a binary operation $\circ_{GF}$ on $Hyp_{GF}(\tau)$ by $\sigma_1 \circ_{GF} \sigma_2 := \hat{\sigma}_1 \circ \sigma_2$ where $\circ$ denotes the usual composition of functions. Together with the hypersubstitution σ_{id} defined by $\sigma_{id}(f_i) := f_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n_i})$, one has a monoid $(Hyp_{GF}(\tau); \circ_{GF}, \sigma_{id})$.

Let M be any submonoid of $Hyp_{GF}(\tau)$. If $\mathcal{A} = (A; (f_i^A)_{i \in I})$ is an n_i -ary algebra, then an identity $s \approx t$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be an M -strong full hyperidentity in $\mathcal{A}$ if $\hat{\sigma}[s] \approx \hat{\sigma}[t]$ is an identity in $\mathcal{A}$ for every generalized full hypersubstitution $\sigma \in M$. In the special case that M is all of $Hyp_{GF}(\tau)$, an M -strong full hyperidentity is usually called a strong full hyperidentity. An identity is an M -strong full hyperidentity of a variety V if it is an M -strong full hyperidentity of every algebra in V . A variety in which each of its identities holds as an M -strong full hyperidentity is called an M -full strongly solid variety, or a GF -strongly solid variety in the special case $M = Hyp_{GF}(\tau)$.

Proposition 2.6 The monoids $(Subst_{GF}; \odot, id)$ and $(Hyp_{GF}(\tau); \circ_{GF}, \sigma_{id})$ are isomorphic.

Proof. Firstly, we define a mapping $\psi : Subst_{GF} \longrightarrow Hyp_{GF}(\tau)$ by $\psi(\eta) := \eta \circ \sigma_{id}$. Then $\eta \circ \sigma_{id}$ is a generalized full hypersubstitution, so ψ is a well-defined mapping between $Subst_{GF}$ and $Hyp_{GF}(\tau)$. The mapping ψ is surjective, since any generalized full hypersubstitution σ can be obtained as $\psi(\eta)$ for $\eta = \sigma \circ \sigma^{-1}$. The mapping ψ is also injective, since $\psi(\eta_1) = \psi(\eta_2) \implies \eta_1 \circ \sigma_{id} = \eta_2 \circ \sigma_{id} \implies \eta_1 = \eta_2$ since σ_{id} is a bijection.

Next, to show that ψ is a homomorphism, we first verify the following addition property:

$$(\eta \circ \sigma_{id})^\wedge [t] = \bar{\eta}(t) \quad (*)$$

when $\bar{\eta}$ is the unique extension of η .

For a generalized full term $t = f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)})$ where $s \in P_{n_i}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta \circ \sigma_{id})^\wedge [f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)})] &= (\eta \circ \sigma_{id}(f_i))_s \\ &= \eta(f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)})) \\ &= \bar{\eta}(f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)})). \end{aligned}$$

For a generalized full term $t = f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})})$ where s' is a permutation on $\{j_1, \dots, j_{n_i}\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta \circ \sigma_{id})^\wedge [f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})})] &= (\eta \circ \sigma_{id}(f_i))_{s'} \\ &= \eta(f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})})) \\ &= \bar{\eta}(f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})})). \end{aligned}$$

For a generalized full term $t = f_i(t_1, \dots, t_{n_i})$ and assume that $(\eta \circ \sigma_{id})^\wedge[t_l] = \bar{\eta}(t_l)$ for all $1 \leq l \leq n_i$ and $t_1, \dots, t_{n_i} \in W_\tau^{GF}(X)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\eta \circ \sigma_{id})^\wedge[f_i(t_1, \dots, t_{n_i})] \\ &= S^{n_i}((\eta \circ \sigma_{id})(f_i), (\eta \circ \sigma_{id})^\wedge[t_1], \dots, (\eta \circ \sigma_{id})^\wedge[t_{n_i}]) \\ &= S^{n_i}(\eta(f_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n_i})), \bar{\eta}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\eta}(t_{n_i})) \\ &= S^{n_i}(\bar{\eta}(f_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n_i})), \bar{\eta}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\eta}(t_{n_i})) \\ &= \bar{\eta}(S^{n_i}(f_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n_i}), t_1, \dots, t_{n_i})) \\ &= \bar{\eta}(f_i(t_1, \dots, t_{n_i})) . \end{aligned}$$

For the homomorphism property of ψ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\eta_1) \circ_{GF} \psi(\eta_2) &= (\eta_1 \circ \sigma_{id}) \circ_{GF} (\eta_2 \circ \sigma_{id}) \\ &= (\eta_1 \circ \sigma_{id})^\wedge \circ (\eta_2 \circ \sigma_{id}) \\ &= \bar{\eta}_1 \circ (\eta_2 \circ \sigma_{id}) && \text{by property } (*) \\ &= (\bar{\eta}_1 \circ \eta_2) \circ \sigma_{id} && \text{by associativity} \\ &= (\eta_1 \odot \eta_2) \circ \sigma_{id} && \text{by the definition of } \odot \\ &= \psi(\eta_1 \odot \eta_2). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be any n_i -ary algebra. We define a mapping $g : \{f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)}) \mid i \in I, s \in P_{n_i}\} \cup \{f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}) \mid j_1, \dots, j_{n_i} > n_i, s' \text{ is a permutation on } \{j_1, \dots, j_{n_i}\}\} \longrightarrow \{f_i^A \mid i \in I\}$ by letting

$$\begin{aligned} g(f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)})) &= (f_i^A)_s, \\ g(f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})})) &= f_i^A(x_{s'(j_1)}^A, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}^A) = (f_i^A)_{s'} \end{aligned}$$

where $x_{s'(j_k)}^A := c_{a_k}^{n_i, A}$ is the n_i -ary constant operation on $\mathcal{A}$ with value a_k , and each element from $\mathcal{A}$ is uniquely induced by an element from $X \setminus X_{n_i}$, i.e. $f_i^A(x_{s'(j_1)}^A, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}^A) =: f_i^A(a_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, a_{s'(j_{n_i})})$.

Since $\text{clone}_{GF\tau}$ is free with respect to the variety V_τ^{GFC} and since $\mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})$ is an element of this variety, this mapping g has unique extension to a surjective homomorphism $\bar{g}$. It is clear that the mapping $\bar{g}$ assigns to each generalized full term $t \in W_\tau^{GF}(X)$ the induced generalized full term operation t^A . We denote by $\text{Id}^{GF}(\mathcal{A})$ the set of all identities $s \approx t$ in $\mathcal{A}$ with $s, t \in W_\tau^{GF}(X)$. Such identities are called generalized full identities. Then we have

Theorem 2.7 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an algebra of type τ and let $s \approx t \in \text{Id}^{GF}(\mathcal{A})$. Then $s \approx t$ is a generalized full hyperidentities in $\mathcal{A}$ iff $s \approx t$ is an identities in $\mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. Firstly, we assume that $s \approx t$ is a generalized full hyperidentity of $\mathcal{A}$. This means that for every $\sigma \in \text{Hyp}_{GF}(\tau)$ we have $\hat{\sigma}[s] \approx \hat{\sigma}[t] \in \text{Id}^{GF}(\mathcal{A})$; i.e. $\hat{\sigma}[s]^A = \hat{\sigma}[t]^A$ and thus $\bar{g}(\hat{\sigma}[s]) = \bar{g}(\hat{\sigma}[t])$. To show that $s \approx t$ holds in $\mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})$, we will show that $\bar{v}(s) = \bar{v}(t)$ for every valuation $v : \{f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)}) \mid i \in I, s \in P_{n_i}\} \cup \{f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}) \mid j_1, \dots, j_{n_i} > n_i, s' \text{ is a permutation on } \{j_1, \dots, j_{n_i}\}\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})$. Since $\bar{g}$ is surjective, there exists a generalized full clone substitution η_v such that $v = \bar{g} \circ \eta_v$, using the axiom of choice. Then $\eta_v \circ \sigma_{id}$ is a generalized full hypersubstitution, which we shall denote by σ_v .

Then we have

$$\bar{v}(s) = (\bar{g} \circ \bar{\eta}_v)(s) = (\bar{g} \circ (\eta_v \circ \sigma_{id})^\wedge)(s) = \bar{g}(\hat{\sigma}_v[s]).$$

Similarly, we have $\bar{v} = \bar{g}(\hat{\sigma}_v[t])$. By our assumption we have $\bar{g}(\hat{\sigma}_v[s]) = \bar{g}(\hat{\sigma}_v[t])$, we get $\bar{v}(s) = \bar{v}(t)$ as required.

Conversely, let $s \approx t \in Id\mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})$, so that $s, t \in W_\tau^{GF}(X)$ and for every valuation mapping v we have $\bar{v}(s) = \bar{v}(t)$. Let $\sigma \in Hyp_{GF}(\tau)$. By the surjectivity from Proposition 2.6 there is a generalized full clone substitution η_σ such that $\eta_\sigma \circ \sigma_{id} = \sigma$. We take v to be the valuation $\bar{g} \circ \bar{\eta}_\sigma$. Then

$$\hat{\sigma}[s]^\mathcal{A} = \bar{g}(\hat{\sigma}[s]) = (\bar{g} \circ (\eta_\sigma \circ \sigma_{id})^\wedge)(s) = (\bar{g} \circ \bar{\eta}_\sigma)(s) = \bar{v}(s).$$

Similarly, we have $\hat{\sigma}[t]^\mathcal{A} = \bar{v}(t)$, and from our assumption that $\bar{v}(s) = \bar{v}(t)$, we get the desired equality.

Let $\mathcal{L}(\tau)$ be the lattice of all varieties of type τ . For a variety V of type τ we can form the variety $SGF_{n_i}^A(V)$ of type τ , determined by all n_i -ary generalized full identities of V .

Corollary 2.8 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an algebra of type τ . Then the variety $SGF_{n_i}^A(V(\mathcal{A}))$ is GF -strongly solid iff $\mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})$ is free with respect to itself, freely generated by the set $\{f^A \mid i \in I\}$, meaning that every mapping from $\{f^A \mid i \in I\}$ to $\mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})$ can be extended to an endomorphism of $\mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. For the converse direction we use Theorem 2.7 and we will show that $SGF_{n_i}^A(V(\mathcal{A}))$ is GF -strongly solid iff every identity $s \approx t \in IdSGF_{n_i}^A(V(\mathcal{A}))$ is also identity in $\mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})$. Suppose that $\mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})$ is free with respect to itself, freely generated by the set $\{f^A \mid i \in I\}$. Let $s \approx t \in IdSGF_{n_i}^A(V(\mathcal{A}))$. Then $\bar{g}(s) = \bar{g}(t)$. To show that $s \approx t$ is an identity in $\mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})$, we will show that $\bar{v}(s) = \bar{v}(t)$ for any valuation mapping $v : \mathcal{F}_{GS_\tau} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})$. For any valuation mapping v , we define a mapping $\alpha_v : \{f^A \mid i \in I\} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_v((f_i^A)_s) &= v(f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)})) \text{ and} \\ \alpha_v((f_i^A)_{s'}) &= v(f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})})). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(f_i^A)_s = (f_l^A)_s \implies i = l$

$$\begin{aligned} &\implies f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)}) = f_l(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)}) \\ &\implies v(f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)})) = v(f_l(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)})) \\ &\implies \alpha_v((f_i^A)_s) = \alpha_v((f_l^A)_s) \end{aligned}$$

and $(f_i^A)_{s'} = (f_l^A)_{s'} \implies i = l$

$$\begin{aligned} &\implies f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}) = f_l(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}) \\ &\implies v(f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})})) = v(f_l(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})})) \\ &\implies \alpha_v((f_i^A)_{s'}) = \alpha_v((f_l^A)_{s'}) \end{aligned}$$

the mapping α_v is well defined. Since the set $\mathcal{F}_{GS_\tau}$ generates the algebra $clone_{GF}\tau$, the mapping v can be uniquely extended to $\bar{v}$ on the set $W_\tau^{GF}(X)$. Then we have $\bar{g}(s) = \bar{g}(t) \implies \bar{\alpha}_v(\bar{g}(s)) = \bar{\alpha}_v(\bar{g}(t)) \implies \bar{v}(s) = \bar{v}(t)$. Thus $s \approx t \in Id\mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})$. Assume that $SGF_{n_i}^A(V(\mathcal{A}))$ is GF -strongly solid. We will show that any mapping $\alpha : \{f^A \mid i \in I\} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})$ can be extended to an endomorphism of $\mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})$. We consider the mapping $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\alpha} \circ \bar{g} : W_\tau^{GF}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{GF}(\mathcal{A})$

with $\bar{\alpha}(t^A) = \overline{\alpha \circ g}(t)$, which is a valuation of terms. Then for any terms $s, t \in W_\tau^{GF}(X)$, it follows from $s^A = t^A$ that $\bar{g}(s) = \bar{g}(t)$ and thus $\bar{\alpha}(s^A) = \bar{\alpha}(\bar{g}(s)) = \bar{\alpha}(\bar{g}(t)) = \bar{\alpha}(t^A)$, since $\bar{\alpha} \circ \bar{g}$ is a valuation and every identity of $SGF_{n_i}^A(V(\mathcal{A}))$ is a $clone_{GF\tau}$ -identity. This shows that $\bar{\alpha}$ is well defined. It is also an endomorphism since $\bar{\alpha}(S^{n_i, A}(s^A, t_1^A, \dots, t_{n_i}^A)) = \bar{\alpha}(\bar{g}(S^{n_i}(s, t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}))) = (\bar{\alpha} \circ \bar{g})(S^{n_i}(s, t_1, \dots, t_{n_i})) = S^{n_i, A}(\bar{\alpha} \circ \bar{g}(s), \bar{\alpha} \circ \bar{g}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha} \circ \bar{g}(t_{n_i})) = S^{n_i, A}(\bar{\alpha}(s^A), \bar{\alpha}(t_1^A), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_{n_i}^A))$ using the fact that $\bar{\alpha} \circ \bar{g}$ is the homomorphism extending the valuation $\alpha \circ g$ define on the generating set of the free algebra $clone_{GF\tau}$. Finally, $\bar{\alpha}$ extends α since $\bar{\alpha}((f_i^A)_s) = \overline{\alpha \circ g}(f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)})) = (\alpha \circ g)(f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)})) = \alpha(g(f_i(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(n_i)}))) = \alpha((f_i^A)_s)$ and $\bar{\alpha}((f_i^A)_{s'}) = \overline{\alpha \circ g}(f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}))(\alpha \circ g)(f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})})) = \alpha(g(f_i(x_{s'(j_1)}, \dots, x_{s'(j_{n_i})}))) = \alpha((f_i^A)_{s'})$ for each $i \in I$.

3 Open Problem

Problem: Let V be a variety of type τ and $Id^{GF}V := W_\tau^{GF}(X)^2 \cap Id V$ be the set of all identities of V consisting of n_i -ary generalized full terms. Prove that $Id^{GF}V$ is a congruence on $clone_{GF\tau}$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This work was supported by the Higher Education Commission, and the authors were supported by CHE Ph. D. Scholarship, the Graduate School and the Faculty of Science of Chiang Mai University, Thailand. The corresponding author is also (partially) supported by the Centre of Excellence in Mathematics, the Commission on Higher Education, Thailand.

References

- [1] K. Denecke, S.L. Wismath, *Hyperidentities and Clones*, Gordon and Breach Scientific Publishers, (2000).
- [2] K. Denecke, P. Jampachon, S.L. Wismath, Clones of n -ary algebras, *Journal of Applied Algebra and Discrete Structures*, Vol.1, No.2, (2003), pp.141-150.
- [3] S. Leeratanavalee, Submonoids of Generalized Hypersubstitutions, *Demonstratio Mathematica*, Vol.XL, No.1, (2007), pp.13-22.
- [4] S. Leeratanavalee, K. Denecke, Generalized Hypersubstitutions and Strongly Solid Varieties, *General Algebra and Applications*, Proc. of the "59 th Workshop on General Algebra", "15 th Conference for Young Algebraists Potsdam 2000", Shaker Verlag, (2000), pp.135-145.

- [5] S. Phuapong, S. Leeratanavalee, The Depth of Generalized Full Terms and Generalized Full Hypersubstitutions, preprint 2010.