

Generalizations of Prime Ideals in Near-rings

B. Elavarasan

Department of Mathematics, School of Science and Humanities
Karunya University, Coimbatore - 641114, Tamilnadu, India.
E-mail: belavarasan@gmail.com

Abstract :

The purpose of this paper is to define the notions of almost prime ideals in near rings and explore various properties of almost prime ideals and their generalizations in near-rings

Keywords : *Near-ring, prime ideal, m -system, weakly prime ideal.*

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, N denotes a zero-symmetric near-ring. For any $x \in N$, $\langle x \rangle$ denote the ideal of N generated by x . For any subsets A, B of N , we denote $(A : B) = \{n \in N : nB \subseteq A\}$. For basic terminology in near-ring we refer to Pilz [7].

Almost prime ideals arise from the study of factorization in Noetherian domains. They were introduced by S.M. Bhatwadekar and P. K. Sharma in [5]. Weakly prime ideals arise from the study of factorization in commutative rings with zero-divisors. They were studied by A. G. Agargun, et al. in [1] and later studied by D.D. Anderson and E. Smith [3].

A proper ideal P of a commutative ring is almost prime if $ab \in P \setminus P^2$ implies $a \in P$ or $b \in P$. A proper ideal P of a ring R is weakly prime if $0 \neq ab \in P$ implies $a \in P$ or $b \in P$. In [6], P. Dheena and B. Elavarasan extended the notion of weakly primes to near-rings (not necessarily commutative). Following [6], a proper ideal P of N to be weakly prime if $\{0\} \neq AB \subseteq P$, A and B are ideals of N , implies $A \subseteq P$ or $B \subseteq P$.

In this paper, we define the notion of almost prime ideal in near-ring (not necessarily commutative). A proper ideal P of N is said to be almost prime if for any ideals A and B of N such that $AB \subseteq P$ and $AB \not\subseteq P^2$, we have $A \subseteq P$ or $B \subseteq P$. As every prime ideal is a weakly prime, and a weakly prime

ideal is an almost prime, weakly prime ideals and almost prime ideals are both generalizations of prime ideals. However, $\{0\}$ is always a weakly prime ideal and hence almost prime. We next give a non-trivial example of an almost prime ideal which is neither prime nor weakly prime.

Example 1.1 Let $N = \{0, a, b, c, d, e, f, 1\}$. Define addition and multiplication in N as follows:

$+$	0	a	b	c	d	e	f	1
0	0	a	b	c	d	e	f	1
a	a	0	c	b	e	d	1	f
b	b	c	0	a	f	1	d	e
c	c	b	a	0	1	f	e	d
d	d	e	f	1	0	a	b	c
e	e	d	1	f	a	0	c	b
f	f	1	d	e	b	c	0	a
1	1	f	e	d	c	b	a	0

\cdot	0	a	b	c	d	e	f	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
a	0	0	0	0	0	a	0	a
b	0	0	b	b	0	0	b	b
c	0	0	b	b	0	a	b	c
d	0	a	0	a	d	d	d	d
e	0	a	0	a	d	e	d	e
f	0	a	b	c	d	d	f	f
1	0	a	b	c	d	e	f	1

Then $(N, +, \cdot)$ is a near-ring (see[4], Library Near-ring (8/3,696)). Here $\{0, b\}$ is an almost prime ideal of N , but not a weakly prime, since $\{0\} \neq \{0, a, b, c\}^2 \subseteq \{0, b\}$. \square

It is easy to verify that for any near-ring N , P is an almost prime ideal of N if and only if $P / \langle P^2 \rangle$ is a weakly prime ideal of $N / \langle P^2 \rangle$. Also, if P is almost prime, then P/I is a prime ideal of N/I for any ideal $I \subseteq P$.

2 Main Results

Theorem 2.1 Let N be a near-ring with identity and P an almost prime ideal of N . If P is not prime, then $P^2 = P$.

Proof: suppose that $P \not\subseteq P^2$. We show that P is prime. Let A and B be ideals of N such that $AB \subseteq P$. If $AB \not\subseteq P^2$, then $A \subseteq P$ or $B \subseteq P$. So assume that $AB \subseteq P^2$. Since $P \not\subseteq P^2$, there exist $p \in P$ such that $\langle p \rangle \not\subseteq P^2$, so $(A + \langle p \rangle)(B + N) \not\subseteq P^2$. Suppose $(A + \langle p \rangle)(B + N) \not\subseteq P$. Then there exist $a \in A; b \in B$ and $p_0 \in \langle p \rangle$ and $q_0 \in N$ such that $(a + p_0)(b + q_0) \notin P$ which implies $a(b + q_0) \notin P$, but $a(b + q_0) = a(b + q_0) - ab + ab \in P$ since $AB \subseteq P$, a contradiction. So $(A + \langle p \rangle)(B + N) \subseteq P$ which implies $A \subseteq P$. \square

Remark 2.2 The above theorem shows the relationship between prime and almost prime ideals in near-rings. From the above theorem, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3 *Let N be a near-ring with identity and P an ideal of N . If $P^2 \neq P$, then P is prime if and only if P is almost prime.*

Lemma 2.4 *Let P be a nonzero proper ideal of N and if P is almost prime and $(P^2 : P) \subseteq P$, then P is prime.*

Proof: Suppose that P is not a prime ideal of N . Then there exist $x \notin P$ and $y \notin P$ such that $\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle \subseteq P$. If $\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle \not\subseteq P^2$, we are done. So $\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle \subseteq P^2$. Consider $\langle x \rangle (\langle y \rangle + P) \subseteq P$. If $\langle x \rangle (\langle y \rangle + P) \not\subseteq P^2$, then we have $x \in P$ or $y \in P$, a contradiction. Otherwise $\langle x \rangle (\langle y \rangle + P) \subseteq P^2$. Then $\langle x \rangle P \subseteq P^2$ implies $x \in (P^2 : P) \subseteq P$. \square

The next two theorems give the equivalent conditions for an ideal to be almost prime.

Theorem 2.5 *Let N be a near-ring and P an ideal of N . Then the following are equivalent:*

- i) *For any $a, b, c \in N$ with $a(\langle b \rangle + \langle c \rangle) \subseteq P$, and $a(\langle b \rangle + \langle c \rangle) \not\subseteq P^2$, we have $a \in P$ or $b, c \in P$.*
- ii) *For $x \in N \setminus P$, we have $(P : \langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle) = P \cup (P^2 : \langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle)$ for any $y \in N$.*
- iii) *For $x \in N \setminus P$, we have $(P : \langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle) = P$ or $(P : \langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle) = (P^2 : \langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle)$ for any $y \in N$.*
- iv) *P is almost prime.*

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $t \in (P : \langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle)$ for any $x \in N \setminus P$ and $y \in N$. Then $t(\langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle) \subseteq P$. If $t(\langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle) \subseteq P^2$, then $t \in (P^2 : \langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle)$. Otherwise $t(\langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle) \not\subseteq P^2$. Then $t \in P$ by hypothesis. (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) follows from the fact that if an ideal is the union of two ideals, then it is equal to one of them. (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) Let A and B be ideals of N such that $AB \subseteq P$ and suppose $A \not\subseteq P$ and $B \not\subseteq P$. Then there exist $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ with $a, b \notin P$. Now we claim that $AB \not\subseteq P^2$.

Let $b_1 \in B$. Then $A(\langle b \rangle + \langle b_1 \rangle) \subseteq P$ which implies $A \subseteq (P : \langle b \rangle + \langle b_1 \rangle)$. Then by assumption, $A(\langle b \rangle + \langle b_1 \rangle) \subseteq P^2$ which gives $Ab_1 \subseteq P^2$. Thus $AB \subseteq P^2$ and hence P is an almost prime ideal of N . (iv) \Rightarrow (i) is clear. \square

Theorem 2.6 *Let N be a near-ring and P an ideal of N . Then the following are equivalent:*

- i) *P is almost prime.*
- ii) *For any ideals I, J of N with $P \subset I$ and $P \subset J$, we have either $IJ \subseteq P^2$ or $IJ \not\subseteq P$.*
- iii) *For any ideals I, J of N with $I \not\subseteq P$ and $J \not\subseteq P$, we have $IJ \subseteq P^2$ or $IJ \not\subseteq P$.*

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) and (iii) \Rightarrow (i) are clear. (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let I and J be ideals of N with $I \not\subseteq P$ and $J \not\subseteq P$. Then there exist $i_1 \in I$ and $j_1 \in J$ such that $i_1, j_1 \notin P$.

Suppose that $\langle i \rangle \langle j \rangle \not\subseteq P^2$ for some $i \in I$ and some $j \in J$. Then $(\langle i \rangle + \langle i_1 \rangle + P)(\langle j \rangle + \langle j_1 \rangle + P) \not\subseteq P^2$ and $P \subset \langle i \rangle + \langle i_1 \rangle + P$; $P \subset \langle j \rangle + \langle j_1 \rangle + P$. By hypothesis, $(\langle i \rangle + \langle i_1 \rangle + P)(\langle j \rangle + \langle j_1 \rangle + P) \not\subseteq P$ which implies $\langle i \rangle (\langle j \rangle + \langle j_1 \rangle + P) + \langle i_1 \rangle (\langle j \rangle + \langle j_1 \rangle + P) \not\subseteq P$. So there exist $i' \in \langle i \rangle$; $i'_1 \in \langle i_1 \rangle$; $j', j'' \in \langle j \rangle$; $j'_1, j''_1 \in \langle j_1 \rangle$ and $p_1, p_2 \in P$ such that $i'(j' + j'_1 + p_1) + i'_1(j'' + j''_1 + p_2) \notin P$. Therefore $i'(j' + j'_1 + p_1) - i'(j' + j'_1) + i'(j' + j'_1) + i'_1(j'' + j''_1 + p_2) - i'_1(j'' + j''_1) + i'_1(j'' + j''_1) \notin P$. But since $i'(j' + j'_1 + p_1) - i'(j' + j'_1) \in P$ and $i'_1(j'' + j''_1 + p_2) - i'_1(j'' + j''_1) \in P$, we have P does not contain either $i'(j' + j'_1)$ or $i'_1(j'' + j''_1)$ which shows that $IJ \not\subseteq P$. \square

Theorem 2.7 *Let N_1 and N_2 be any two near-rings with identity and P a proper ideal of N_1 . Then P is almost prime if and only if $(P \times N_2)$ is an almost prime ideal of $N_1 \times N_2$.*

Proof: Let P be an almost prime ideal of N_1 and let $(A_1 \times B_1)$ and $(A_2 \times B_2)$ be ideals of $N_1 \times N_2$ such that $(A_1 \times B_1)(A_2 \times B_2) \subseteq (P \times N_2)$ and $(A_1 \times B_1)(A_2 \times B_2) \not\subseteq (P \times N_2)^2$. Then $(A_1 A_2 \times B_1 B_2) \subseteq (P \times N_2)$ and $(A_1 A_2 \times B_1 B_2) \not\subseteq (P^2 \times N_2)$, so $A_1 A_2 \subseteq P$ and $A_1 A_2 \not\subseteq P^2$ which implies $A_1 \subseteq P$ or $A_2 \subseteq P$. Conversely, suppose that $(P \times N_2)$ is an almost prime ideal of $N_1 \times N_2$ and let I and J be ideals of N_1 such that $IJ \subseteq P$ and $IJ \not\subseteq P^2$. Then $(I \times N_2)(J \times N_2) \subseteq (P \times N_2)$ and $(I \times N_2)(J \times N_2) \not\subseteq (P \times N_2)^2$. By assumption, we have $(I \times N_2) \subseteq (P \times N_2)$ or $(J \times N_2) \subseteq (P \times N_2)$. So $I \subseteq P$ or $J \subseteq P$. \square

Theorem 2.8 *Let N_1 and N_2 be any two near-rings with identity. Then an ideal of $N_1 \times N_2$ is almost prime if and only if it has one of the following three forms.*

- i) $(I \times N_2)$, where I is an almost prime ideal of N_1 .
- ii) $(N_1 \times J)$, where J is an almost prime ideal of N_2 .
- iii) $(I \times J)$, where I is an idempotent ideal of N_1 and J is an idempotent ideal of N_2 .

Proof: Let P be an almost prime ideal of $N_1 \times N_2$. If P is of the form $(I \times N_2)$ or of the form $(N_1 \times J)$, where I and J are proper ideals of N_1 and N_2 , respectively, then we can quote Theorem 2.7. Let $P = (I \times J)$ with I and J are the proper ideals of N_1 and N_2 , respectively. We now claim that I is idempotent. Suppose $a \in I - I^2$. Then $(\langle a \rangle \times \{0\}) \subseteq P$ and $(\langle a \rangle \times \{0\}) \not\subseteq P^2$. This implies that either $(\langle a \rangle \times N_2) \subseteq P$ or $(N_1 \times \{0\}) \subseteq P$. If $(\langle a \rangle \times N_2) \subseteq P$, then $1 \in J$ and if $(N_1 \times \{0\}) \subseteq P$, then $1 \in I$. This contradicts I and J being

proper ideals. So $I - I^2$ is empty and hence I is idempotent. Similarly J is idempotent. So $P = (I \times J)$ is idempotent and hence P is almost prime. \square

Corollary 2.9 *Let N_1 and N_2 be any two near-rings with identity and P a ideal of $N_1 \times N_2$. If P is not prime, then P is almost prime if and only if $P^2 = P$.*

Proof: It is clear from Theorem 2.1, 2.7 and 2.8. \square

Lemma 2.10 *Let N_1 and N_2 be two near-rings with identity. If every proper ideal of N_1 and N_2 is a product of almost prime ideals, then every proper ideal of $N_1 \times N_2$ is a product of almost prime ideals.*

Proof: Let I and J be a proper ideals of N_1 and N_2 , respectively, where $I = A_1 \dots A_n$ and $J = B_1 \dots B_m$ with each A_i and B_j almost prime. If the proper ideal of $N_1 \times N_2$ is of the form $I \times N_2$, then we can write $I \times N_2 = A_1 \dots A_n \times N_2 = (A_1 \times N_2) \dots (A_n \times N_2)$ which is a product of almost prime ideals by Theorem 2.7. Now if the proper ideals is of the form $N_1 \times J$, then in a similar way we get that it is a product of almost prime ideals. If the proper ideals is of the form $(I \times J)$, then we can also write it as $A_1 \dots A_n \times B_1 \dots B_m = (A_1 \dots A_n \times N_2)(N_1 \times B_1 \dots B_m) = (A_1 \times N_2) \dots (A_n \times N_2)(N_1 \times B_1) \dots (N_1 \times B_m)$. Thus we get a product of almost prime ideals. \square

Lemma 2.11 *Let P be an almost prime ideal of N and if \overline{A} is an ideal of N/I with $\overline{A} \overline{B} = \{0\}$ for some non zero ideal \overline{B} of N/P . Then either $A \subseteq P$ or $PB \subseteq P^2$.*

Proof: Assume that $A \not\subseteq P$ and let $x \in P$. Then $(\langle x \rangle + A) \not\subseteq P$ and $(\langle x \rangle + A)B \subseteq P$ which implies $(\langle x \rangle + A)B \subseteq P^2$ as P is almost prime. Thus $\langle x \rangle B \subseteq P^2$ and hence $PB \subseteq P^2$. \square

Theorem 2.12 *Let N be a near-ring with unique maximal ideal M and suppose P is an ideal of N with $M^2 \subseteq P \subseteq M$. Then P is almost prime if and only if $M^2 = P^2$.*

Proof: Let P be an almost prime ideal of N and for any $x, y \in M$, we have $\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle \subseteq M^2 \subseteq P$. Now we claim that $\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle \subseteq P^2$. If not, then as P is almost prime, we have $x \in P$ or $y \in P$. Let $x \in P$. Then $y \notin P$, since otherwise $\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle \subseteq P^2$. Since $\langle y \rangle^2 \subseteq M^2 \subseteq P$ and by Lemma 2.11, we have $\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle \in P \langle y \rangle \subseteq P^2$. This shows that $M^2 \subseteq P^2$. Remaining parts are trivial. \square

A subset M of N is called almost m -system if $M \cap A \neq \phi$ and $M \cap B \neq \phi$ for any ideals A, B of N , then either $AB \cap M \neq \phi$ or $AB \subseteq (N \setminus M)^2$. It is easy to verify that an ideal $P \subset N$ is almost prime if and only if $N \setminus P$ is m -system. A well known result that, if M is non-void m -system of N and I is an ideal

of N with $I \cap M = \phi$, then there exists a prime ideal $P \neq N$ containing I with $P \cap M = \phi$. The similar result does not hold for almost m -system M . For example, let $N = Z_{16}$, $I = \{\bar{0}, \bar{8}\}$ and $M = \{\bar{1}, \bar{4}\}$. Then M is an almost m -system and I is an ideal of N with respect to $I \cap M = \phi$, but there exists no almost prime ideal P containing I with $P \cap M = \phi$.

A proper ideal P of N is said to be almost semi prime if for any ideal A of N such that $A^2 \subseteq P$ and $A^2 \not\subseteq P^2$, we have $A \subseteq P$. Clearly every almost prime ideal is almost semi prime, but the converse need not be true in general as in Z_{16} , $\{\bar{0}, \bar{8}\}$ is almost semiprime ideal, but not almost prime. A subset S of N is called almost n -system if $S \cap A \neq \phi$ for any ideal A of N , then either $A^2 \cap S \neq \phi$ or $A^2 \subseteq (N \setminus S)^2$. It is clear that an ideal P of N is almost semi prime if and only if $N \setminus P$ is almost n -system.

3 Open Problem

It is well known that, if S is a n -system of N and let $s \in S$. Then there is some m -system M of N with $s \in M \subseteq S$. Does the similar result holds for almost n -system?

Acknowledgments: The author express their sincere thanks to the referee for his/her valuable comments and suggestions which improve the paper a lot.

References

- [1] A. G. Agargun, D. D. Anderson and S. Valdes-Leon, *Factorization in commutative rings with zero divisors III*, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, **31(1)**(2001), 1 - 20.
- [2] D. D. Anderson, Malik Bataineh, *Generalizations of prime ideals*, Comm. Algebra, **36(2)**(2008), 686 - 696.
- [3] D.D. Anderson and E. Smith, *t Weakly Prime ideals*, Houston J. Math., **29(4)**(2003), 831 - 840.
- [4] F. Binder and C. Nobauer, *Table of all near-rings with identity Upto order 15*, <http://verdi.algebra.uni-linz.ac.at/Sonata/encyclo/>(14 June 2003).
- [5] M. S. Bhatwadekar and P.K. Sharma, *Unique factorization and birth of almost primes*, Comm. Algebra, **33**(2005), 43 - 49.

- [6] P. Dheena and B. Elavarasan, *Weakly prime ideals in near-rings*, submitted.
- [7] G. Pilz, *Near-Rings*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.