

Fekete-Szegö Inequalities for Certain Class of Analytic Functions of Complex Order

R. M. EL-Ashwah

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Damietta
New Damietta 34517, Egypt.
e-mail: r_elashwah@yahoo.com

M. K. Aouf

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Mansoura
Mansoura 35516, Egypt.
e-mail: mkaouf127@yahoo.com

F. M. Abdulkarem

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Damietta
New Damietta 34517, Egypt.
e-mail: fammari76@gmail.com

COMMUNICATED BY Prof. Dr. B. A. Frasin

Abstract

In this paper, we obtain Fekete-Szegö inequalities for a certain class of analytic functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, for which $1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^\beta - 1 \right] \prec \varphi(z)$ ($b \neq 0$, complex and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$). Sharp bounds for the Fekete-Szegö functional $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ are obtained.

Keywords and phrases: *Analytic functions, starlike and convex functions, close-to-convex functions, Fekete-Szegö problem, subordination.*

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30A20, 34A40.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions $f(z)$ which are analytic in the open unit disc $U = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$ of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (z \in U). \quad (1.1)$$

Let \mathcal{S} be the family of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, which are univalent. A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ is said to be starlike of order ρ , denote by $\mathcal{S}^*(\rho)$, if and only if

$$Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \rho \quad (0 \leq \rho < 1; z \in U). \quad (1.2)$$

A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ is said to be convex of order ρ , denote by $\mathcal{K}(\rho)$, if and only if

$$Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \rho \quad (0 \leq \rho < 1; z \in U). \quad (1.3)$$

The classes $\mathcal{S}^*(\rho)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\rho)$ were defined by Robertson [20]. From (1.2) and (1.3) it follows that

$$f(z) \in \mathcal{K}(\rho) \Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*(\rho). \quad (1.4)$$

A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ is said to be close-to-convex of order ρ , denote by $\mathcal{C}(\rho)$ if and only if

$$Re \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} \right\} > \rho \quad (0 \leq \rho < 1; g \in \mathcal{K}; z \in U). \quad (1.5)$$

where $\mathcal{C}(0) = \mathcal{C}$ (see Kaplan [6]).

We note that:

$$\mathcal{S}^*(0) = \mathcal{S}^* \text{ and } \mathcal{K}(0) = \mathcal{K}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{S}^* \subset \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}.$$

A classical theorem of Fekete-Szegö [4] states that, for $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ given by (1.1),

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq 1 + 2 \exp \left(\frac{-2\mu}{1-\mu} \right) \quad \text{if } 0 \leq \mu \leq 1, \quad (1.6)$$

holds for any normalized univalent function of the form (1.1) in the open unit disc U and for $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$. This inequality is sharp for each μ (see [4]). The coefficient functional

$$\phi_\mu(f) = a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{1}{6} \left(f'''(0) - \frac{3\mu}{2} [f''(0)] \right), \quad (1.7)$$

on normalized analytic functions in U represents various geometric quantities for example, when $\mu = 1$, $\phi_1(f) = a_3 - a_2^2$, becomes $\mathcal{S}_f(0)/6$, where \mathcal{S}_f denote the Schwarzain derivative $(f''/f')' - (f''/f')^2/2$ of locally univalent functions in U . In literature, there exists a large number of results about inequalities for $\phi_\mu(f)$ corresponding to various subclass \mathcal{S} . The problem of maximising the absolute value of the functional is called the Fekete-Szegö problem (see [4] and [7]), Koepf [8], solved the Fekete-Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions

and the largest real number μ for which $\phi_\mu(f)$ is maximised by the Koebe function $z/(1-z)^2$ is $\mu = 1/3$ (see [8] and [13]), this result was generalized for functions that are close-to-convex.

Given two functions f and g , which are analytic in U , we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in U and write $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$ ($z \in U$). Indeed it is known that $f(z) \prec g(z) \Rightarrow f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$.

In particular, if the function g is univalent in U , the above subordination is equivalent to $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$ (see [15]).

Let $\varphi(z)$ be an analytic function with positive real part on U satisfies $\varphi(0) = 1$ and $\varphi'(0) > 0$ which maps U onto a region starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis. Let $\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$ be the class of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ for which

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in U), \tag{1.8}$$

and $\mathcal{K}(\varphi)$ be the class of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ for which

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in U). \tag{1.9}$$

The classes of $\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\varphi)$ were introduced and studied by Ma and Minda [14]. The class $\mathcal{S}^*(\rho)$ of starlike functions of order ρ and the class $\mathcal{K}(\rho)$ of convex functions of order ρ ($0 \leq \rho < 1$) are the special cases of $\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\varphi)$, respectively, when $\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\rho)z}{1 - z}$ ($0 \leq \rho < 1$).

For $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. The class $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^b(\varphi)$ consists of all analytic functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying:

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^\beta - 1 \right] \prec \varphi(z). \tag{1.10}$$

We note that for suitable choices of α, β, b and $\varphi(z)$, we obtain the following subclasses:

- (i) $\mathcal{P}_{1,0}^1(\varphi) = \mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{0,1}^1(\varphi) = \mathcal{K}(\varphi)$ (see Ma and Minda [14]);
- (ii) $\mathcal{P}_{1,0}^b(\varphi) = \mathcal{S}_b^*(\varphi)$ ($b \in \mathbb{C}^*$) and $\mathcal{P}_{0,1}^b(\varphi) = \mathcal{C}_b(\varphi)$ ($b \in \mathbb{C}^*$) (see Ravichandran et al. [19]);

- (iii) $\mathcal{P}_{1,0}^1\left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right) = \mathcal{S}[A, B](-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{0,1}^1\left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right) = \mathcal{K}[A, B](-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$ (see Janowski [5]);
- (iv) $\mathcal{P}_{1,0}^1\left(\frac{1+[B+(A-B)(1-\rho)]z}{1+Bz}\right) = \mathcal{S}^*(A, B, \rho)(0 \leq \rho < 1, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$ (see Aouf [1], with $p = 1$);
- (v) $\mathcal{P}_{1,0}^b\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{S}(b)(b \in \mathbb{C}^*)$ (see Nasr and Aouf [17] and Aouf et al. [2]);
- (vi) $\mathcal{P}_{0,1}^b\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{C}(b)(b \in \mathbb{C}^*)$ (see Waitrowski[22] and Nasr and Aouf [16]);
- (vii) $\mathcal{P}_{1,0}^{1-\rho}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{S}^*(\rho)(0 \leq \rho < 1)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{0,1}^{1-\rho}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{K}(\rho)(0 \leq \rho < 1)$ (see Roberston [20]);
- (viii) $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^1(\varphi) = \mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi)$ (see Ravichandran et al. [18]);
- (ix) $\mathcal{P}_{1,0}^{\cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{S}^\lambda(|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2})$ (see Spacek [21]);
- (x) $\mathcal{P}_{1,0}^{(1-\rho) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{S}^\lambda(\rho)(0 \leq \rho < 1, |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2})$ (see Keogh and Merkes [9] and Libera [10, 11]);
- (xi) $\mathcal{P}_{0,1}^{(1-\rho) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{C}^\lambda(\rho)$ ($0 \leq \rho < 1, |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$) (see Chichra [3]).

Also, we note that:

- (i) Putting $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and $b = (1 - \rho) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}$ ($0 \leq \rho < 1; |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{1,1}^{(1-\rho) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}(\varphi) &= \mathcal{P}_\rho^\lambda(\varphi) \\ &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{e^{i\lambda} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) - \rho \cos \lambda - i \sin \lambda}{(1 - \rho) \cos \lambda} \prec \varphi(z) \right. \\ &\quad \left. (0 \leq \rho < 1; |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}; z \in U) \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) Putting $b = (1 - \rho) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}$ ($0 \leq \rho < 1; |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1-\rho) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}(\varphi) &= \mathcal{P}_{\alpha,\beta,\rho}^\lambda(\varphi) \\ &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{e^{i\lambda} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^\beta - \rho \cos \lambda - i \sin \lambda}{(1 - \rho) \cos \lambda} \prec \varphi(z) \right. \\ &\quad \left. (0 \leq \alpha \leq 1; 0 \leq \beta \leq 1; 0 \leq \rho < 1; |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}; z \in U) \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Putting $\beta = 0$ and $b = (1 - \rho) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}$ ($0 \leq \rho < 1; |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha,0}^{(1-\rho) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}(\varphi) &= \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\rho}^\lambda(\varphi) \\ &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{e^{i\lambda} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \rho \cos \lambda - i \sin \lambda}{(1-\rho) \cos \lambda} \prec \varphi(z) \right. \\ &\quad \left. (0 \leq \alpha \leq 1; 0 \leq \rho < 1; |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}; z \in U) \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Putting $\alpha = 0$ and $b = (1 - \rho) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}$ ($0 \leq \rho < 1; |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{0,\beta}^{(1-\rho) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}(\varphi) &= \mathcal{K}_{\beta,\rho}^\lambda(\varphi) \\ &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{e^{i\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^\beta - \rho \cos \lambda - i \sin \lambda}{(1-\rho) \cos \lambda} \prec \varphi(z) \right. \\ &\quad \left. (0 \leq \beta \leq 1; 0 \leq \rho < 1; |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}; z \in U) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, we obtain the Fekete-Szegö inequalities for functions in the class $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^b(\varphi)$.

2. Fekete-Szegö problem

To prove our results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 [9, 12]. *If $p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ is a function with positive real part in U and μ is a complex number, then*

$$|c_2 - \mu c_1^2| \leq 2 \max\{1; |2\mu - 1|\}.$$

The result is sharp for the functions given by

$$p(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2} \quad \text{and} \quad p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

Lemma 2 [14]. *If $p_1(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ is a function with positive real part in U , then*

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| \leq \begin{cases} -4\nu + 2 & \text{if } \nu \leq 0, \\ 2 & \text{if } 0 \leq \nu \leq 1, \\ 4\nu - 2 & \text{if } \nu \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

When $\nu < 0$ or $\nu > 1$, the equality holds if and only if $p_1(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ or one of its rotations. If $0 < \nu < 1$, then the equality holds if and only if $p_2(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}$ or one of its rotations. If $\nu = 0$, the equality holds if and only if

$$p_3(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma\right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma\right) \frac{1-z}{1+z} \quad (0 \leq \gamma \leq 1),$$

or one of its rotations. If $\nu = 1$, the equality holds if and only if

$$\frac{1}{p_4(z)} = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma\right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma\right) \frac{1-z}{1+z} \quad (0 \leq \gamma \leq 1).$$

or one of its rotations. Also the above upper bound is sharp and it can be improved as follows when $0 < \nu < 1$:

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| + \nu |c_1|^2 \leq 2 \quad (0 < \nu \leq \frac{1}{2}),$$

and

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| + (1 - \nu) |c_1|^2 \leq 2 \quad (\frac{1}{2} < \nu < 1).$$

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$.

Theorem 1. *Let $\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$, $B_1 > 0$. If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^b(\varphi)$ and μ is a complex number, then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1 |b|}{2(\alpha + 3\beta)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| -\frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{[(\alpha + 2\beta)^2 - 3(\alpha + 4\beta) + 4\mu(\alpha + 3\beta)]b}{2(\alpha + 2\beta)^2} B_1 \right| \right\}. \quad (1)$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^b(\varphi)$, then there is a Schwarz function $w(z)$ in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ in U and such that

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^\beta - 1 \right] = \varphi(w(z)). \quad (2.2)$$

Define the function $p_1(z)$ by

$$p_1(z) = \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)} = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots \quad (2.3)$$

Since $w(z)$ is a Schwarz function, we see that $Re\ p_1(z) > 0$ and $p_1(0) = 1$. Define

$$p(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^\beta - 1 \right] = 1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \dots \quad (2.4)$$

In view of (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$p(z) = \varphi \left(\frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1} \right). \quad (2.5)$$

Since

$$\frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[c_1z + \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \left(c_3 + \frac{c_1^3}{4} - c_1c_2 \right) z^3 + \dots \right].$$

Therefore, we have

$$\varphi \left(\frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1} \right) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}B_1c_1z + \left[\frac{1}{2}B_1 \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4}B_2c_1^2 \right] z^2 + \dots, \quad (2.6)$$

and from this equation and (2.4), we obtain

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{2}B_1c_1,$$

and

$$b_2 = \frac{1}{2}B_1 \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4}B_2c_1^2.$$

A computation shows that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 1 + a_2z + (2a_3 - a_2^2)z^2 + \dots,$$

and therefore we have

$$\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha = 1 + \alpha a_2z + \left(2\alpha a_3 + \frac{\alpha^2 - 3\alpha}{2} a_2^2 \right) z^2 + \dots$$

Similarly, we have

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = 1 + 2a_2z + (6a_3 - 4a_2^2)z^2 + \dots,$$

and therefore we have

$$\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)^\beta = 1 + 2\beta a_2z + (6\beta a_3 + 2(\beta^2 - 3\beta)a_2^2)z^2 + \dots$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)^\beta \\ &= 1 + (\alpha + 2\beta)a_2z + \left[2(\alpha + 3\beta)a_3 + \frac{(\alpha + 2\beta)^2 - 3(\alpha + 4\beta)}{2}a_2^2\right]z^2 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Then, from (2.4), we see that

$$bb_1 = (\alpha + 2\beta)a_2, \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$bb_2 = 2(\alpha + 3\beta)a_3 + \frac{(\alpha + 2\beta)^2 - 3(\alpha + 4\beta)}{2}a_2^2, \quad (2.9)$$

or, equivalently, we have

$$a_2 = \frac{B_1c_1b}{2(\alpha + 2\beta)},$$

and

$$a_3 = \frac{b}{2(\alpha + 3\beta)} \left[\frac{B_1c_2}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \left(B_1 - B_2 + \frac{[(\alpha + 2\beta)^2 - 3(\alpha + 4\beta)]b}{2(\alpha + 2\beta)^2} B_1^2 \right) c_1^2 \right].$$

Therefore

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{B_1b}{4(\alpha + 3\beta)}(c_2 - \nu c_1^2), \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{[(\alpha + 2\beta)^2 - 3(\alpha + 4\beta) + 4\mu(\alpha + 3\beta)]b}{2(\alpha + 2\beta)^2} B_1 \right]. \quad (2.11)$$

Our result now follows by an application of Lemma 1. The result is sharp for the functions

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)^\beta - 1 \right] = \varphi(z^2), \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^\beta - 1 \right] = \varphi(z). \tag{2.13}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Putting $b = 1$ in Theorem 1, we improve the result obtained by Ravichandran et al. [18, Theorem 2.2], for the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi)$ and μ is a complex number, then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{2(\alpha + 3\beta)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| -\frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{[(\alpha + 2\beta)^2 - 3(\alpha + 4\beta)] + 4\mu(\alpha + 3\beta)}{2(\alpha + 2\beta)^2} B_1 \right| \right\}.$$

The result is sharp.

By using Lemma 2, we can obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$, ($B_i > 0, i \in \mathbb{N}, b > 0$). If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^b$, then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{b}{2(\alpha + 3\beta)} \left\{ B_2 - \frac{\gamma}{2(\alpha + 2\beta)^2} B_1^2 \right\} & \text{if } \mu \leq \sigma_1, \\ \frac{B_1 b}{2(\alpha + 3\beta)} & \text{if } \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2, \\ \frac{b}{2(\alpha + 3\beta)} \left\{ -B_2 + \frac{\gamma}{2(\alpha + 2\beta)^2} B_1^2 \right\} & \text{if } \mu \geq \sigma_2, \end{cases} \tag{2.14}$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{2(\alpha + 2\beta)^2(B_2 - B_1) - [(\alpha + 2\beta)^2 - 3(\alpha + 4\beta)]bB_1^2}{4(\alpha + 3\beta)bB_1^2}, \tag{2.15}$$

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{2(\alpha + 2\beta)^2(B_2 + B_1) - [(\alpha + 2\beta)^2 - 3(\alpha + 4\beta)]bB_1^2}{4(\alpha + 3\beta)bB_1^2}, \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$\gamma = [(\alpha + 2\beta)^2 - 3(\alpha + 4\beta) + 4\mu(\alpha + 3\beta)]b. \tag{2.17}$$

The result is sharp.

To show that the bounds are sharp, we define the functions $K_{\varphi n}(z)$ ($n \geq 2$) by

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\left(\frac{zK'_{\varphi n}(z)}{K_{\varphi n}(z)} \right)^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{zK''_{\varphi n}(z)}{K'_{\varphi n}(z)} \right)^\beta - 1 \right] = \varphi(z^{n-1}), \quad K_{\varphi n}(0) = 0 = K'_{\varphi n}(0) - 1,$$

and the functions $F_\eta(z)$ and $G_\eta(z)$ ($0 \leq \eta \leq 1$) by

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\left(\frac{zF'_\eta(z)}{F_\eta(z)} \right)^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{zF''_\eta(z)}{F'_\eta(z)} \right)^\beta - 1 \right] = \varphi \left(\frac{z(z+\eta)}{1+\eta z} \right), \quad F_\eta(0) = 0 = F'_\eta(0) - 1,$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\left(\frac{zG'_\eta(z)}{G_\eta(z)} \right)^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{zG''_\eta(z)}{G'_\eta(z)} \right)^\beta - 1 \right] = \varphi \left(-\frac{z(z+\eta)}{1+\eta z} \right), \quad G_\eta(0) = 0 = G'_\eta(0) - 1.$$

Clearly the functions $K_{\varphi n}(z)$, $F_\eta(z)$ and $G_\eta(z) \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^b$. Also we write $K_\varphi = K_{\varphi 2}$.

If $\mu < \sigma_1$ or $\mu > \sigma_2$, then the equality holds if and only if f is K_φ or one of its rotations. When $\sigma_1 < \mu < \sigma_2$, then the equality holds if f is $K_{\varphi 3}$ or one of its rotations. If $\mu = \sigma_1$, then the equality holds if and only if f is F_η or one of its rotations. If $\mu = \sigma_2$, then the equality holds if and only if f is G_η or one of its rotations. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Remark 1. Putting $b = 1$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the result obtained by Ravichandran et al. [18, Theorem 2.1].

Using arguments similar to those in the proof of Theorem 2, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3. Let $\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$ ($B_i > 0, i \in \mathbb{N}, b > 0$) and

$$\sigma_3 = \frac{2(\alpha + 2\beta)^2 B_2 - [(\alpha + 2\beta)^2 - 3(\alpha + 4\beta)] bB_1^2}{4(\alpha + 3\beta)bB_1^2}. \quad (2.18)$$

If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^b$, then we have

(i) If $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{(\alpha + 2\beta)^2}{4(\alpha + 3\beta)bB_1^2} \left\{ 2(B_1 - B_2) + \mu \frac{4(\alpha + 3\beta)bB_1^2}{(\alpha + 2\beta)^2} + \right. \\ \left. \frac{[(\alpha + 2\beta)^2 - 3(\alpha + 4\beta)] bB_1^2}{(\alpha + 2\beta)^2} \right\} |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{bB_1}{2(\alpha + 3\beta)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

(ii) If $\sigma_3 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{(\alpha + 2\beta)^2}{4(\alpha + 3\beta)bB_1^2} \left\{ 2(B_1 + B_2) - \mu \frac{4(\alpha + 3\beta)bB_1^2}{(\alpha + 2\beta)^2} - \right. \\ \left. \frac{[(\alpha + 2\beta)^2 - 3(\alpha + 4\beta)] bB_1^2}{(\alpha + 2\beta)^2} \right\} |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{bB_1}{2(\alpha + 3\beta)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where σ_1 and σ_2 given by (2.15) and (2.16).

Remark 2. Putting $b = 1$ in Theorem 3, we obtain the result obtained by Ravichandran et al. [18, Theorem 2.1].

Remark 3. Specializing the parameters α , β and b , we obtain results corresponding to the classes $\mathcal{P}_\rho^\lambda(\varphi)$, $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha,\beta,\rho}^\lambda(\varphi)$, $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\rho}^\lambda(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\beta,\rho}^\lambda(\varphi)$, mentioned in the introduction.

3. Open problems

The authors suggest to introduce different operator on the function to define different classes and obtain different results.

References

- [1] M. K. Aouf, *A generalization of multivalent functions with negative coefficients*, J. Korean Math. Soc., 25 (1988), no. 1, 53-66.
- [2] M. K. Aouf, S. Owa and M. Obradović, *Certain classes of analytic functions of complex order and type beta*, Rend. di Mat.11, Roma (1991), 691–714.
- [3] P. N. Chichra, *Regular functions $f(z)$ for which $zf'(z)$ is α -spirallike*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 49 (1975), 151-160.
- [4] M. Fekete and G. Szegö, *Eine bemerkung uber ungerade schlichte funktionen*, J. London Math. Soc., 8 (1933), 85-89.
- [5] W. Janowski, *Some extremal problem for certain families of analytic functions I*, Ann. Polon. Math., 28 (1973), 297-326.
- [6] W. Kaplan, *Close-to-convex schlicht functions*, Michigan Math. J., 1 (1952), 169-195.
- [7] W. Koepf, *On the Fekete-Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 101 (1987), no. 1, 89–95.
- [8] W. Koepf, *On the Fekete-Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions. II*, Archiv der Math., 49 (1987), no. 5, 420–433.
- [9] F. R. Keogh and E. P. Merkes, *A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 20 (1969), 8–12.

- [10] R. J. Libera, *Some radius of convexity problems*, Duke Math. J., 31 (1964), 143-157.
- [11] R. J. Libera, *Univalent α -spirallike functions*, Canad. J. Math., 19 (1967), 449-456.
- [12] R. J. Libera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz, *Coefficient bounds for the inverse of a function with derivative in ρ* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 87 (1983), no. 2, 251-257.
- [13] R. R. London, *Fekete-Szegő inequalities for close-to-convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 117 (1993), no. 4, 947-950.
- [14] W. Ma and D. Minda, *A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions*, in Proceedings of the conference on complex analysis, Z. Li, F. Ren, L. Lang and S. Zhang (Eds.), Int. Press (1994), 157-169
- [15] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential subordinations: Theory and Applications*, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 255, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2000.
- [16] M. A. Nasr and M. K. Aouf, *On convex functions of complex order*, Mansoura Sci. Bull, Egypt, 9 (1982), 565-582.
- [17] M. A. Nasr and M. K. Aouf, *Starlike functions of complex order*, J. Natur. Sci. Math., 25 (1985), 1-12.
- [18] V. Ravichandran, M. Darus, M. Hussain Khan and K. G. Subramanian, *Fekete-Szegő inequality for certain class of analytic functions*, Austral. J. Math. Anal., 1 (2004), no. 2, Art. 4, 1-7.
- [19] V. Ravichandran, Y. Polatoglu, M. Bolcal and A. Sen, *Certain subclasses of starlike and convex functions of complex order*, Hacettepe J. Math. Stat., 34 (2005), 9-15.
- [20] M. S. Robertson, *On the theory of univalent functions*, Ann. of Math., 37 (1936), 374-408.
- [21] L. Spacek, *Prispevek k teorii funkci prostych*, Casopis pest. Math. Fys. 62 (1933), 12-19.
- [22] P. Waitrowski, *The coefficients of a certain family of holomorphic functions*, Zeszyty Nauk. Univ. Lodzk. Nauk. Math. Przyrod. Ser. II, Zeszyt Math., 39 (1971), 75-85.