

A note on special strong differential superordinations using a multiplier transformation and Ruscheweyh derivative

Alina Alb Lupuş

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Oradea
str. Universitatii nr. 1, 410087 Oradea, Romania
e-mail: dalb@uoradea.ro

Abstract

In the present paper we establish several strong differential superordinations regarding the extended new operator $RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha$ defined by using the extended Ruscheweyh derivative and the extended multiplier transformation, $RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha : \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) = (1 - \alpha)R^m f(z, \zeta) + \alpha I(m, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, where $R^m f(z, \zeta)$ denote the extended Ruscheweyh derivative, $I(m, \lambda, l)$ is the extended multiplier transformation and $\mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U}), f(z, \zeta) = z + a_{n+1}(\zeta)z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}\}$ is the class of normalized analytic functions.*

Keywords: *strong differential superordination, convex function, best sub-ordinant, extended differential operator.*

2000 Mathematical Subject Classification: 30C45, 30A20, 34A40.

1 Introduction

Denote by U the unit disc of the complex plane $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, $\bar{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ the closed unit disc of the complex plane and $\mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U})$ the class of analytic functions in $U \times \bar{U}$.

Let

$$\mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U}), f(z, \zeta) = z + a_{n+1}(\zeta)z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}\},$$

where $a_k(\zeta)$ are holomorphic functions in \bar{U} for $k \geq 2$, and

$$\mathcal{H}^*[a, n, \zeta] = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U}), f(z, \zeta) = a + a_n(\zeta)z^n + a_{n+1}(\zeta)z^{n+1} + \dots,$$

$z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}\},$

for $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_k(\zeta)$ are holomorphic functions in \bar{U} for $k \geq n$.

Denote by

$$K_{n\zeta} = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U}) : \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf_z''(z, \zeta)}{f_z'(z, \zeta)} + 1 > 0\}$$

the class of convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$.

We extend the Ruscheweyh derivative [15] and the multiplier transformation studied in [1], [2] to the new class of analytic functions $\mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ introduced in [14].

Definition 1.1 [5] For $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, the operator R^m is defined by $R^m : \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} R^0 f(z, \zeta) &= f(z, \zeta), \\ R^1 f(z, \zeta) &= zf_z'(z, \zeta), \dots, \\ (m+1)R^{m+1}f(z, \zeta) &= z(R^m f(z, \zeta))'_z + mR^m f(z, \zeta), z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.2 [5] If $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} a_j(\zeta)z^j$, then $R^m f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m a_j(\zeta)z^j$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$.

Definition 1.3 [8] For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\lambda, l \geq 0$, $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} a_j(\zeta)z^j$, the operator $I(m, \lambda, l)f(z, \zeta)$ is defined by the following infinite series

$$I(m, \lambda, l)f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(j-1) + l}{l+1} \right)^m a_j(\zeta)z^j, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Remark 1.4 [8] It follows from the above definition that

$$\begin{aligned} (l+1)I(m+1, \lambda, l)f(z, \zeta) &= \\ [l+1-\lambda]I(m, \lambda, l)f(z, \zeta) + \lambda z(I(m, \lambda, l)f(z, \zeta))'_z, & z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \end{aligned}$$

As a dual notion of strong differential subordination G.I. Oros has introduced and developed the notion of strong differential subordinations in [13].

Definition 1.5 [13] Let $f(z, \zeta), H(z, \zeta)$ analytic in $U \times \bar{U}$. The function $f(z, \zeta)$ is said to be strongly superordinate to $H(z, \zeta)$ if there exists a function w analytic in U , with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$, such that $H(z, \zeta) = f(w(z), \zeta)$, for all $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. In such a case we write $H(z, \zeta) \prec\prec f(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$.

Remark 1.6 [13] (i) Since $f(z, \zeta)$ is analytic in $U \times \bar{U}$, for all $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, and univalent in U , for all $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, Definition 1.5 is equivalent to $H(0, \zeta) = f(0, \zeta)$, for all $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, and $H(U \times \bar{U}) \subset f(U \times \bar{U})$.

(ii) If $H(z, \zeta) \equiv H(z)$ and $f(z, \zeta) \equiv f(z)$, the strong superordination becomes the usual notion of superordination.

Definition 1.7 [7] We denote by Q^* the set of functions that are analytic and injective on $\bar{U} \times \bar{U} \setminus E(f, \zeta)$, where $E(f, \zeta) = \{y \in \partial U : \lim_{z \rightarrow y} f(z, \zeta) = \infty\}$, and are such that $f'_z(y, \zeta) \neq 0$ for $y \in \partial U \times \bar{U} \setminus E(f, \zeta)$. The subclass of Q^* for which $f(0, \zeta) = a$ is denoted by $Q^*(a)$.

We have need the following lemmas to study the strong differential subordinations.

Lemma 1.8 [7] Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function with $h(0, \zeta) = a$ and let $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*$ be a complex number with $Re \gamma \geq 0$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}^*[a, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$, $p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma} zp'_z(z, \zeta)$ is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$ and

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma} zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{\gamma}{nz^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{\gamma}{n}-1} dt$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$. The function q is convex and is the best subordinant.

Lemma 1.9 [7] Let $q(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$ and let $h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma} zq'_z(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$, where $Re \gamma \geq 0$.

If $p \in \mathcal{H}^*[a, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$, $p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma} zp'_z(z, \zeta)$ is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$ and

$$q(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma} zq'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma} zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{\gamma}{nz^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{\gamma}{n}-1} dt$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$. The function q is the best subordinant.

We extend the differential operator studied in [3], [4] to the new class of analytic functions $\mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$

Definition 1.10 [10] Let $\alpha, \lambda, l \geq 0$, $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote by $RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha$ the operator given by $RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha : \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$,

$$RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) = (1 - \alpha)R^m f(z, \zeta) + \alpha I(m, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Remark 1.11 [10] If $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty a_j(\zeta) z^j$, then $RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty \left\{ \alpha \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1} \right)^m + (1 - \alpha) C_{m+j-1}^m \right\} a_j(\zeta) z^j$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$.

Remark 1.12 For $\alpha = 0$, $RI_{m,\lambda,l}^0 f(z, \zeta) = R^m f(z, \zeta)$, where $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$, and for $\alpha = 1$, $RI_{m,\lambda,l}^1 f(z, \zeta) = I(m, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta)$, where $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$, which was studied in [8], [9], [11]. For $l = 0$, we obtain $RI_{m,\lambda,0}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) = RD_{1,\alpha}^m f(z, \zeta)$ which was studied in [6], [12] and for $l = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$, we obtain $RI_{m,1,0}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) = L_\alpha^m f(z, \zeta)$ which was studied in [5], [7].

For $m = 0$, $RI_{0,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) = (1 - \alpha) R^0 f(z, \zeta) + \alpha I(0, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta) = f(z, \zeta) = R^0 f(z, \zeta) = I(0, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta)$, where $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$.

2 Main results

Theorem 2.1 Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$ with $h(0, \zeta) = 1$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda, \alpha, l \geq 0$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $F(z, \zeta) = I_c(f)(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z t^c f(t, \zeta) dt$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$, $\text{Re } c > -2$, and suppose that $(RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$, $(RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha F(z, \zeta))'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$ and

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (1)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{nz \frac{c+2}{n}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Proof We have

$$z^{c+1} F(z, \zeta) = (c+2) \int_0^z t^c f(t, \zeta) dt$$

and differentiating it, with respect to z , we obtain $(c+1) F(z, \zeta) + z F'_z(z, \zeta) = (c+2) f(z, \zeta)$ and

$$(c+1) RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha F(z, \zeta) + z (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha F(z, \zeta))'_z = (c+2) RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta),$$

$z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$.

Differentiating the last relation with respect to z we have

$$(RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha F(z, \zeta))'_z + \frac{1}{c+2} z (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha F(z, \zeta))''_{z^2} = (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \quad (2)$$

Using (2), the strong differential subordination (1) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha F(z, \zeta))'_z + \frac{1}{c+2} z (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha F(z, \zeta))''_{z^2}. \quad (3)$$

Denote

$$p(z, \zeta) = (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \quad (4)$$

Replacing (4) in (3) we obtain

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{c+2} z p'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Using Lemma 1.8 for $\gamma = c + 2$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \text{ i.e. } q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{nz^{\frac{c+2}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Corollary 2.2 Let $h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1+(2\beta-\zeta)z}{1+z}$, where $\beta \in [0, 1)$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda, \alpha, l \geq 0$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $F(z, \zeta) = I_c(f)(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z t^c f(t, \zeta) dt$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, $Rec > -2$, and suppose that $(RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$, $(RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha F(z, \zeta))'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$ and

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (5)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where q is given by $q(z, \zeta) = 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{(c+2)(1+\zeta-2\beta)}{nz^{\frac{c+2}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1}}{t+1} dt$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Proof Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 and considering $p(z, \zeta) = (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha F(z, \zeta))'_z$, the strong differential subordination (5) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1 + (2\beta - \zeta)z}{1 + z} \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{c+2} z p'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1.8 for $\gamma = c + 2$, we have $q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} q(z, \zeta) &= \frac{c+2}{nz^{\frac{c+2}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1} dt = \frac{c+2}{nz^{\frac{c+2}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{1 + (2\beta - \zeta)t}{1+t} t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1} dt \\ &= 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{(c+2)(1+\zeta-2\beta)}{nz^{\frac{c+2}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1}}{t+1} dt \prec\prec (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha F(z, \zeta))'_z, \end{aligned}$$

$z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$.

The function q is convex and it is the best subordinated.

Theorem 2.3 Let $q(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$ and let $h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{c+2} z q'_z(z, \zeta)$, where $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$, $\text{Re} > -2$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda, \alpha, l \geq 0$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $F(z, \zeta) = I_c(f)(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z t^c f(t, \zeta) dt$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$, and suppose that $(RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$, $(RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha F(z, \zeta))'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$ and

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (6)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha F(z, \zeta))'_z, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{nz^{\frac{c+2}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is the best subordinated.

Proof Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 and considering $p(z, \zeta) = (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha F(z, \zeta))'_z$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$, the strong differential superordination (6) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{c+2} z q'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{c+2} z p'_z(z, \zeta), z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Using Lemma 1.9 for $\gamma = c + 2$, we have

$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$, i.e.

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha F(z, \zeta))'_z, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{nz^{\frac{c+2}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is the best subordinated.

Theorem 2.4 Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function, $h(0, \zeta) = 1$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda, \alpha, l \geq 0$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and suppose that $(RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent and $\frac{RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{z} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (7)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinated.

Proof By using the properties of operator $RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha$, we have

$$RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty \left\{ \alpha \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1} \right)^m + (1-\alpha) C_{m+j-1}^m \right\} a_j(\zeta) z^j, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

$$\text{Consider } p(z, \zeta) = \frac{RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{z} = \frac{z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty \left\{ \alpha \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1} \right)^m + (1-\alpha) C_{m+j-1}^m \right\} a_j(\zeta) z^j}{z} \\ = 1 + p_n(\zeta) z^n + p_{n+1}(\zeta) z^{n+1} + \dots, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

We deduce that $p \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta]$.

Let $RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) = zp(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. Differentiating with respect to z we obtain $(RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z = p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$.

Then (7) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1.8 for $\gamma = 1$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinated.

Corollary 2.5 Let $h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1+(2\beta-\zeta)z}{1+z}$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$, where $0 \leq \beta < 1$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda, \alpha, l \geq 0$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and suppose that $(RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent and $\frac{RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{z} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap \mathcal{Q}^*$. If

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (8)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where q is given by $q(z, \zeta) = 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{1+\zeta-2\beta}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{n}-1}}{t+1} dt$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinated.

Proof Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 2.4 and considering $p(z, \zeta) = \frac{RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{z}$, the strong differential superordination (8) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1 + (2\beta - \zeta)z}{1 + z} \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1.8 for $\gamma = 1$, we have $q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta)$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} q(z, \zeta) &= \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{1 + (2\beta - \zeta)t}{1 + t} t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt \\ &= 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{1 + \zeta - 2\beta}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{n}-1}}{t + 1} dt \prec\prec \frac{RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \end{aligned}$$

The function q is convex and it is the best subordinated.

Theorem 2.6 Let $q(z, \zeta)$ be convex in $U \times \bar{U}$ and let h be defined by $h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta)$. If $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda, \alpha, l \geq 0$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, suppose that $(RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z))'$ is univalent and $\frac{RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{z} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$ and satisfies the strong differential superordination

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (9)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is the best subordinated.

Proof Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 2.4 and considering $p(z, \zeta) = \frac{RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{z}$, the strong differential superordination (9) becomes

$$q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Using Lemma 1.9 for $\gamma = 1$, we have

$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$, i.e.

$$q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt \prec\prec \frac{RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

and q is the best subordinated.

Theorem 2.7 Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function, $h(0, \zeta) = 1$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda, \alpha, l \geq 0$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and suppose that $\left(\frac{zRI_{m+1, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}\right)'_z$ is univalent and $\frac{RI_{m+1, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \left(\frac{zRI_{m+1, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}\right)'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (10)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{RI_{m+1, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinated.

Proof For $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty a_j(\zeta) z^j$ we have $RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty \left\{ \alpha \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1} \right)^m + (1-\alpha) C_{m+j-1}^m \right\} a_j(\zeta) z^j$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$.

Consider

$$p(z, \zeta) = \frac{RI_{m+1, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)} = \frac{z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty \left\{ \alpha \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1} \right)^{m+1} + (1-\alpha) C_{m+j}^{m+1} \right\} a_j(\zeta) z^j}{z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty \left\{ \alpha \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1} \right)^m + (1-\alpha) C_{m+j-1}^m \right\} a_j(\zeta) z^j}.$$

We have $p'_z(z, \zeta) = \frac{(RI_{m+1, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z}{RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)} - p(z, \zeta) \cdot \frac{(RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z}{RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}$ and we obtain

$$p(z, \zeta) + z \cdot p'_z(z, \zeta) = \left(\frac{zRI_{m+1, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}\right)'_z.$$

Relation (10) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1.8 for $\gamma = 1$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \text{ i.e. } q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{RI_{m+1, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinated.

Corollary 2.8 Let $h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1+(2\beta-\zeta)z}{1+z}$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$, where $0 \leq \beta < 1$. Let $\lambda, \alpha, l \geq 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and suppose that $\left(\frac{zRI_{m+1, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}\right)'_z$ is univalent and $\frac{RI_{m+1, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \left(\frac{zRI_{m+1, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}\right)'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (11)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{RI_{m+1, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where q is given by $q(z, \zeta) = 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{1+\zeta-2\beta}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{n}-1}}{t+1} dt$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinated.

Proof Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 2.7 and considering $p(z, \zeta) = \frac{RI_{m+1, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}$, the strong differential superordination (11) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1+(2\beta-\zeta)z}{1+z} \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1.8 for $\gamma = 1$, we have $q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} q(z, \zeta) &= \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{1+(2\beta-\zeta)t}{1+t} t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt \\ &= 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{1+\zeta-2\beta}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{n}-1}}{t+1} dt \prec\prec \frac{RI_{m+1, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \end{aligned}$$

The function q is convex and it is the best subordinated.

Theorem 2.9 Let $q(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function and h be defined by $h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta)$. Let $\lambda, \alpha, l \geq 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and suppose that $\left(\frac{zRI_{m+1, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}\right)'_z$ is univalent and $\frac{RI_{m+1, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \left(\frac{zRI_{m+1, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}\right)'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (12)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{RI_{m+1, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is the best subordinated.

Proof Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 2.7 and considering $p(z, \zeta) = \frac{RI_{m+1, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}$, the strong differential subordination (12) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1.9 for $\gamma = 1$, we have $q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$, i.e.

$$q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt \prec\prec \frac{RI_{m+1, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}{RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

and q is the best subordinant.

Theorem 2.10 Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$ with $h(0, \zeta) = 1$ and let $\lambda, \alpha, l \geq 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $\frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{z} RI_{m+2, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) - \frac{(m+1)(2m+1)}{z} RI_{m+1, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) + \frac{m^2}{z} RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) + \frac{\alpha}{z} \left[\frac{(l+1)^2}{\lambda^2} - (m+1)(m+2) \right] I(m+2, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta) - \frac{\alpha}{z} \left[\frac{2(l+1-\lambda)(l+1)}{\lambda^2} - (m+1)(2m+1) \right] \cdot I(m+1, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta) + \frac{\alpha}{z} \left[\frac{(l+1-\lambda)^2}{\lambda^2} - m^2 \right] I(m, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta)$ is univalent and $[RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z, \zeta)]'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If

$$\begin{aligned} h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec & \frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{z} RI_{m+2, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) - \frac{(m+1)(2m+1)}{z} RI_{m+1, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) \\ & + \frac{m^2}{z} RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) + \frac{\alpha}{z} \left[\frac{(l+1)^2}{\lambda^2} - (m+1)(m+2) \right] I(m+2, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta) - \\ & \frac{\alpha}{z} \left[\frac{2(l+1-\lambda)(l+1)}{\lambda^2} - (m+1)(2m+1) \right] I(m+1, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta) + \\ & \frac{\alpha}{z} \left[\frac{(l+1-\lambda)^2}{\lambda^2} - m^2 \right] I(m, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

holds, then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec [RI_{m, \lambda, l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)]'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Proof For $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} a_j(\zeta) z^j$ we have
 $RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left\{ \alpha \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1} \right)^m + (1-\alpha) C_{m+j-1}^m \right\} a_j(\zeta) z^j$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} p(z, \zeta) &= (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z \quad (14) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left\{ \alpha \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1} \right)^m + (1-\alpha) C_{m+j-1}^m \right\} j a_j(\zeta) z^{j-1} \\ &= 1 + p_n(\zeta) z^n + p_{n+1}(\zeta) z^{n+1} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

By using the properties of operators $RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha$, R^m and $I(m, \lambda, l)$, after a short calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta) &= \frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{z} RI_{m+2,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) - \frac{(m+1)(2m+1)}{z} RI_{m+1,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) + \\ &+ \frac{m^2}{z} RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) + \frac{\alpha}{z} \left[\frac{(l+1)^2}{\lambda^2} - (m+1)(m+2) \right] I(m+2, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta) - \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{z} \left[\frac{2(l+1-\lambda)(l+1)}{\lambda^2} - (m+1)(2m+1) \right] I(m+1, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta) + \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{z} \left[\frac{(l+1-\lambda)^2}{\lambda^2} - m^2 \right] I(m, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Using the notation in (14), the strong differential superordination becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta).$$

By using Lemma 1.8 for $\gamma = 1$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \text{ i.e. } q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinator.

Corollary 2.11 Let $h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1+(2\beta-\zeta)z}{1+z}$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$, where $0 \leq \beta < 1$. Let $\lambda, \alpha, l \geq 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, suppose that
 $\frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{z} RI_{m+2,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) - \frac{(m+1)(2m+1)}{z} RI_{m+1,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) +$
 $\frac{m^2}{z} RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) + \frac{\alpha}{z} \left[\frac{(l+1)^2}{\lambda^2} - (m+1)(m+2) \right] I(m+2, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta) -$
 $\frac{\alpha}{z} \left[\frac{2(l+1-\lambda)(l+1)}{\lambda^2} - (m+1)(2m+1) \right] I(m+1, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta) +$
 $\frac{\alpha}{z} \left[\frac{(l+1-\lambda)^2}{\lambda^2} - m^2 \right] I(m, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta)$ is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$ and $[RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)]'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{z} RI_{m+2,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) - \frac{(m+1)(2m+1)}{z} RI_{m+1,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{m^2}{z} RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) + \frac{\alpha}{z} \left[\frac{(l+1)^2}{\lambda^2} - (m+1)(m+2) \right] I(m+2, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta) - \\
 & \frac{\alpha}{z} \left[\frac{2(l+1-\lambda)(l+1)}{\lambda^2} - (m+1)(2m+1) \right] I(m+1, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta) + \\
 & \frac{\alpha}{z} \left[\frac{(l+1-\lambda)^2}{\lambda^2} - m^2 \right] I(m, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},
 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where q is given by $q(z, \zeta) = 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{1+\zeta-\beta}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{n}-1}}{t+1} dt$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Proof Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 2.10 and considering $p(z, \zeta) = (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z$, the strong differential superordination (15) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1 + (2\beta - \zeta)z}{1 + z} \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1.8 for $\gamma = 1$, we have $q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
 q(z, \zeta) &= \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{1 + (2\beta - \zeta)t}{1 + t} t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt \\
 &= 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{1 + \zeta - 2\beta}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{n}-1}}{t+1} dt \prec\prec (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Theorem 2.12 Let $q(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$ and $h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta)$. Let $\lambda, \alpha, l \geq 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n,\zeta}^*$, suppose that $\frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{z} RI_{m+2,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) - \frac{(m+1)(2m+1)}{z} RI_{m+1,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) + \frac{m^2}{z} RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) + \frac{\alpha}{z} \left[\frac{(l+1)^2}{\lambda^2} - (m+1)(m+2) \right] I(m+2, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta) - \frac{\alpha}{z} \left[\frac{2(l+1-\lambda)(l+1)}{\lambda^2} - (m+1)(2m+1) \right] I(m+1, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta) + \frac{\alpha}{z} \left[\frac{(l+1-\lambda)^2}{\lambda^2} - m^2 \right] I(m, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta)$ is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$ and $[RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta)]'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \tag{16}$$

$$\frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{z} RI_{m+2,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) - \frac{(m+1)(2m+1)}{z} RI_{m+1,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) +$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{m^2}{z} RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta) + \frac{\alpha}{z} \left[\frac{(l+1)^2}{\lambda^2} - (m+1)(m+2) \right] I(m+2, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta) - \\ & \frac{\alpha}{z} \left[\frac{2(l+1-\lambda)(l+1)}{\lambda^2} - (m+1)(2m+1) \right] I(m+1, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta) + \\ & \frac{\alpha}{z} \left[\frac{(l+1-\lambda)^2}{\lambda^2} - m^2 \right] I(m, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is the best subordinated.

Proof Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 2.10 and considering $p(z, \zeta) = (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z$, the strong differential superordination (16) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1.9 for $\gamma = 1$, we have $q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta)$, i.e.

$$q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt \prec\prec (RI_{m,\lambda,l}^\alpha f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

The function q is the best subordinated.

3 Open Problem

The definitions, theorems and corollaries we established in this paper can be extended by using the notion of strong superordination. One can use the operator from definition 1.10 and the same technique to prove earlier results and to obtain a new set of results.

References

- [1] A. Alb Lupuş, *A special comprehensive class of analytic functions defined by multiplier transformation*, Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2010, 387-395.
- [2] A. Alb Lupuş, *On special differential superordinations using multiplier transformation*, Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications, Vol. 13, No.1, 2011, 121-126.

- [3] Alina Alb Lupaş, *On special differential subordinations using multiplier transformation and Ruscheweyh derivative*, Romai Journal, Vol. 6, Nr. 2, 2010, 1-14.
- [4] A. Alb Lupaş, *Certain special differential subordinations using multiplier transformation and Ruscheweyh derivative*, Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications, Vol. 13, No.1, 2011, 108-115.
- [5] A. Alb Lupaş, G.I. Oros, Gh. Oros, *On special strong differential subordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications, Vol. 14, No. 2, 2012, 266-270.
- [6] A. Alb Lupaş, *On special strong differential subordinations using a generalized Sălăgean operator and Ruscheweyh derivative*, Journal of Concrete and Applicable Mathematics, Vol. 10, No.'s 1-2, 2012, 17-23.
- [7] A. Alb Lupaş, *On special strong differential subordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Archimedes Journal of Mathematics, 1(2011) (to appear).
- [8] A. Alb Lupaş, *On special strong differential subordinations using multiplier transformation*, Applied Mathematics Letters 25(2012), 624-630, doi:10.1016/j.aml.2011.09.074.
- [9] A. Alb Lupaş, G.I. Oros, Gh. Oros, *A note on special strong differential subordinations using multiplier transformation*, Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications, Vol. 14, No. 2, 2012, 261-265.
- [10] A. Alb Lupaş, *A note on special strong differential subordinations using a multiplier transformation and Ruscheweyh derivative*, Journal of Concrete and Applicable Mathematics, Vol. 10, No.'s 1-2, 2012, 24-31.
- [11] A. Alb Lupaş, *A note on special strong differential subordinations using multiplier transformation*, submitted 2012.
- [12] A. Alb Lupaş, *A note on special strong differential subordinations using a generalized Sălăgean operator and Ruscheweyh derivative*, submitted 2011.
- [13] G.I. Oros, *Strong differential superordination*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, Nr. 19, 2009, 101-106.
- [14] G.I. Oros, *On a new strong differential subordination*, General Mathematics Volume 20, 2012 (to appear).
- [15] St. Ruscheweyh, *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 49(1975), 109-115.