Int. J. Open Problems Complex Analysis, Vol. 4, No. 2, July 2012 ISSN 2074-2827; Copyright ©ICSRS Publication, 2012 www.i-csrs.org

On Generalizations of Quasi-Hadamard Products of *p*-valent Functions

Saurabh Porwal

Department of Mathematics UIET, CSJM University Kanpur-208024, (UP), India e-mail: saurabhjcb@rediffmail.com

K.K. Dixit

Department of Engineering Mathematics Gwalior Institute of Information Technology, Gwalior-474015, (MP), India e-mail: kk.dixit@rediffmail.com

S.L. Shukla

Director PSIT, Bhaunti, Kanpur, (U.P.), India e-mail: sl.shukla00@gmail.com

Abstract

The purpose of the present paper is to establish some interesting results on the generalizations of quasi-Hadamard product of functions belonging to the classes of p-valent starlike and p-valent convex functions of order α in the open unit disc U. Our results improve the results of previous authors to the case when r and s are any positive real numbers such that s > 1. It is worth noting that the technique employed by us is entirely different from the previous authors.

Keywords: Analytic, p-valent, Modified-Hadamard product. **2000** Mathematical Subject Classification: 30C45.

1 Introduction

Let T(n, p) denote the class of functions f(z) of the form:

$$f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |a_{k+P}| \, z^{k+p}, \qquad (p, n \in N = \{1, 2, 3, ..\}).$$
(1)

which are analytic and *p*-valent in the open unit disc $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Also let $T_n(p, \alpha)$ and $C_n(p, \alpha)$ denote the subclasses of T(n, p) consisting of functions which satisfy the inequalities

$$Re\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > \alpha, \qquad (0 \le \alpha < p),$$
(2)

and

$$Re\left\{1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right\} > \alpha, \qquad (0 \le \alpha < p), \qquad (3)$$

respectively. Clearly, the functions in $T_n(p, \alpha)$ and $C_n(p, \alpha)$ are *p*-valent starlike and *p*-valent convex of order α respectively.

These classes $T_{n}\left(p,\alpha\right)$ and $C_{n}\left(p,\alpha\right)$ were studied by Owa [7].

By specializing the parameters in the classes $T_n(p, \alpha)$ and $C_n(p, \alpha)$, we obtain the following classes studied by various authors.

- (i) $T_1(p,\alpha) \equiv T^*(p,\alpha)$ and $C_1(p,\alpha) \equiv C(p,\alpha)$ were studied by Owa [7] and Sekine [10].
- (ii) $T_n(1,\alpha) \equiv T_n(\alpha)$ and $C_n(1,\alpha) \equiv C_n(\alpha)$ were studied by Domokos [4] and Srivastava et al. [12].
- (iii) $T_1(1,\alpha) \equiv T^*(\alpha)$ and $C_1(1,\alpha) \equiv C(\alpha)$ were studied by Silverman [11].

Let $f_j(z)$ (j = 1, 2) in T(n, p) be given by

$$f_j(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |a_{k+P,j}| \, z^{k+p}, \quad (j = 1, 2; \, p, n \in N) \,. \tag{4}$$

Then the modified-Hadamard product (or convolution) of the functions $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ is defined by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |a_{k+p,1}| |a_{k+p,2}| z^{k+p}.$$
(5)

For any real number r and s, we define the generalized modified-Hadamard product $(f_1\Delta f_2)(r,s;z)$ by

$$(f_1 \Delta f_2)(r,s;z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |a_{k+P,1}|^r |a_{k+P,2}|^s z^{k+p}.$$
 (6)

If we take r = s = 1, then we have

$$(f_1 \Delta f_2) (1, 1; z) = (f_1 * f_2) (z), (z \in U).$$

Recently Darwish and Aouf [3] (See also the work of Choi et al. [1], Darwish [2], Nishiwaki and Owa [5], Nishiwaki et al. [6], Owa [8], Schild and Silverman [9] and Sekine [10]) studied the generalized Hadamard product to the case when r, s > 1 and $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$. The classical technique used by these authors fails when r and s are any positive real numbers. It is therefore natural to ask whether their result can be improved for any positive real numbers r and s.

The purpose of the present paper is to establish the result on generalized quasi-Hadamard product which improves the results of previous authors to the case when r and s are any positive real numbers such that s > 1. It is worth noting that the technique employed by us is entirely different from the previous authors.

2 Main Results

In order to prove that our results for functions belonging to the classes $T_n(p, \alpha)$ and $C_n(p, \alpha)$, we shall need the following lemmas given by Owa [7].

Lemma 2.1. Let the function f(z) be defined by 1. Then f(z) is in the class $T_n(p, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(k+p-\alpha)}{p-\alpha} |a_{k+p}| \le 1, \qquad (p,n\in N).$$
(7)

Lemma 2.2. Let the function f(z) be defined by 1. Then f(z) is in the class $C_n(p, \alpha)$, if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(k+p)(k+p-\alpha)}{p(p-\alpha)} |a_{k+p}| \le 1, \qquad (p,n \in N).$$
(8)

Theorem 2.3. For $0 \le \alpha_1 \le \alpha_2 < p$, r > 0, s > 1 and let $f_j \in T_n(p, \alpha_j)$ for each j, then

$$(f_1 \Delta f_2) (r, s; z) \in T_n (p, \alpha_2) \subseteq T_n (p, \alpha_1).$$
(9)

Proof Since $f_j(z) \in T_n(p, \alpha_j)$, (j = 1, 2). By using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(k+p-\alpha_j)}{p-\alpha_j} |a_{k+p,j}| \le 1, (j=1,2; p,n \in N).$$
(10)

Moreover

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(k+p-\alpha_1)}{p-\alpha_1} |a_{k+p,1}| \le 1,$$

or, $|a_{k+p,1}| \leq 1$, $k \geq n$. equivalently, $|a_{k+p,1}|^r \leq 1$, $k \geq n$ and $\int \frac{\infty}{2} (k+n-\alpha_2)^{r} dk$

$$\left\{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(k+p-\alpha_2)}{p-\alpha_2} \left|a_{k+p,2}\right|\right\}^s \le 1.$$

Now

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k+p-\alpha_2}{p-\alpha_2} \right) \left| a_{k+p,1} \right|^r \left| a_{k+p,2} \right|^s$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k+p-\alpha_2}{p-\alpha_2} \right) \left| a_{k+p,2} \right|^s$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k+p-\alpha_2}{p-\alpha_2} \right)^s \left| a_{k+p,2} \right|^s, (s>1)$$

$$\leq \left\{ \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k+p-\alpha_2}{p-\alpha_2} \right) \left| a_{k+p,2} \right| \right\}^s$$

$$\leq 1.$$

Therefore $(f_1 \Delta f_2) (r, s; z) \in T_n (p, \alpha_2)$.

Taking $\alpha_j = \alpha$ in Theorem 2.3, we obtain

Corollary 2.4. If the functions $f_j(z)$, (j = 1, 2), defined by 4 are in the class $T_n(p, \alpha)$.

Then $(f_1 \Delta f_2)(r,s;z) \in T_n(p,\alpha).$

Remark 2.5. Darwish and Aouf [3] obtain Corollary 2.4 only for r > 1, $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$ whereas our result holds for r > 0 and s > 1.

Theorem 2.6. For $0 \le \alpha_1 \le \alpha_2 < p$, r > 0, s > 1 and let $f_j \in C_n(p, \alpha_j)$ for each j, then

$$(f_1\Delta f_2)(r,s;z) \in C_n(p,\alpha_2) \subseteq C_n(p,\alpha_1).$$

Proof The proof of above theorem is much akin to that of Theorem 2.3 so we omit the details involved.

Taking $\alpha_i = \alpha$ in Theorem 2.6, we obtain

Corollary 2.7. If the functions $f_j(z)$, (j = 1, 2), defined by 4 are in the class $C_n(p, \alpha)$.

Then $(f_1 \Delta f_2)(r, s; z) \in C_n(p, \alpha).$

Remark 2.8. Darwish and Aouf [3] obtained Corollary 2.7 only for r > 1, $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, whereas our result holds for r > 0, s > 1.

Remark 2.9. If we put p = 1 in Theorem 2.3, Theorem 2.6, Corollary 2.4 and Corollary 2.7, we improve the results of Choi et al. [1].

3 Open Problem

Here we give some open problems for the readers.

1. Find inf $r, s \in R$ and sup $\alpha \in [0, p)$ such that Theorem 2.3 and 2.6 holds.

2. The results of Theorem 2.3 and 2.6 are hold only for functions of the form 1 i.e. the coefficients of expansion are negative. Therefore it is natural to ask that what is the analogues results for the function of the form

$$f(z) = z^{p} + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{k+P} z^{k+p}, \qquad (p, n \in N = \{1, 2, 3, ..\}), \qquad (11)$$

where $a_{k+p} \in C$.

References

- J.H. Choi, Y.C. Kim and S. Owa, Generalizations of Hadamard products of functions with negative coefficients, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 199 (1996), 495-501.
- [2] H.E. Darwish, On generalizations of Hadamard products of functions with negative coefficients, Proc. Pak. Acad. Sci., 43(4) (2006), 269-273.
- [3] H.E. Darwish and M.K. Aouf, Generalizations of modified-Hadamard products of p-valent functions with negative coefficients, Math. Comput. Modell., 49 (2009), 38-45.
- [4] T. Domokos, On a subclass of certain starlike functions with negative coefficients, Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math., (1999), 29-36.

- [5] J. Nishiwaki and S. Owa, An application of Hölder's inequality for convolutions, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 10(4), (2009), Art. 98, 1-14.
- [6] J. Nishiwaki, S. Owa and H.M. Srivastava, Convolution and Hölder type inequalities for a certain class of analytic functions, Math. Inequal. Appl., 11 (2008), 717–727.
- S. Owa, On certain class of p-valent functions with negative coefficients, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc., Simon Stevin, 59 (1985), 385-402.
- [8] S. Owa, The Quasi-Hadamard products of certain analytic functions, in: H.M. Srivastava, S. Owa (Eds.), Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London, Hong Kong, (1992), 234-251.
- [9] A. Schild and H. Silverman, Convolution of univalent functions with negative coefficients, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A 29 (1975), 99-107.
- [10] T. Sekine, On the quasi-Hadamard products of p-valent functions with negative coefficients, in: H.M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Eds.), Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus and Their Applications, Halsted Press, Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester, 1989, John Willey and Sons, New York.
- [11] H. Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 51 (1975), 109-116.
- [12] H.M. Srivastava, S. Owa and S.K. Chatterjea, A note on certain classes of starlike functions, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, 77 (1987), 115-124.