Int. J. Open Problems Complex Analysis, Vol. 4, No. 2, July 2012 ISSN 2074-2827; Copyright ©ICSRS Publication, 2012 www.i-csrs.org

Certain subclass of p-valent meromorphic functions defined by Linear operators

Jing-yu Yang^{1,2}, Shu-hai Li¹

1.Department of Mathematics and statistics University of Chifeng chifeng 024000, Inner Mongolian, China 2.School of Mathematical Sciences Dalian University of Technology Dalian 116024, Liaoning, China e-mail: yjy923@163.com e-mail:lishms66@sina.com

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new subclass of $\Sigma_{p,j}^*$ by use of a linear operator, which is defined by Hadamard product and provide coefficient inequality, distortion theorem, neighborhood property, convexity and starlike radius of this subclass. Some convolution properties are also considered.

Keywords: Hadamard product; Meromorphic functions; Convexity radius; Starlike radius; Neighborhood.

2000 Mathematical Subject Classification: 30C45, 30A20, 34A40.

1 Introduction

Let $\Sigma_{p,j}^*$ denote the class of meromorphic functions

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n, \quad (j \in N^* = \{2i - 1 : i \in \{1, 2, \dots\}\}), \tag{1}$$

which are analytic and p-valent in the punctured disc $U^* = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\} = U \setminus \{0\}$ with a simple pole at the origin with residue one there.

A function $f \in \Sigma_{p,j}^*$ is said to be meromorphically starlike of order α if it satisfies

$$Re\{-\frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)}\} > \alpha, \tag{2}$$

for some $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ and for all $z \in U^*$

 $f \in \Sigma_{p,j}^*$ is said to be meromorphically convex of order α if it satisfies

$$Re\left\{-\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{zf''(z)}{pf'(z)}\right)\right\} > \alpha,\tag{3}$$

for some $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ and for all $z \in U^*$

Some subclasses of $\Sigma_{p,j}^*$ when p = 1, j = 1 were introduced by Miller[1], Cho et al.[2] and Aouf[3,4].

For $f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n$ and $g(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} |b_n| z^n$ the Hadamard product (or convolution) is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} |a_n| |b_n| z^n = (g * f)(z).$$

The linear operator $L_p(a,c)$ is defined as follows (see Saitoh[5], Liu and Srivastava[6])

$$L_p(a,c)f(z) = \varphi_p(a,c;z) * f(z) \qquad \left(f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,j}^*\right),\tag{4}$$

and $\varphi_p(a,c;z)$ is defined by

$$\varphi_p(a,c;z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{(c)_n} z^{n-1} \quad (z \in U^* a \in R; c \in R \setminus z_0^-; z_0^- := \{0, -1, -2, \cdots\})$$
(5)

, where $(\nu)_k$ is the pochhammer symbol defined (in terms of the Gamma function) by

$$(\nu)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\nu+n)}{\Gamma(\nu)} = \begin{cases} 1, & n=0\\ \nu(\nu+1)\cdots(\nu+n-1), & n \in N. \end{cases}$$

Aouf, Silverman and Srivastava [7] by means of the linear operator $L_p(a, c)$ defined the classes $P_{a,c}(A, B; p, \lambda)$ and $P^+_{a,c}(A, B; p, \lambda)$, further investigated the properties of these tow classes . In [8] Aouf and El-Ashwah investigated several properties for the class $\Sigma^*_m(a, c, A, B, \alpha)$ of univalent meromorphic functions with positive coefficients. Aouf [9] defined the subclasses of $\sum_p^{(A,B)}$ by use of

derivative operator and discussed the correspondig properties. In this paper we will use operator $L_p(a, c)$ to define a subclass of p-valent meromorphic function class $\sum_{p,j}^*$ as below:

For $p \in N$, a > 0, $c > 0, 0 \le \alpha \le \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}$, $m \in N^*$, $m \le j$ and for the parameters λ , A and B such that

$$-1 \le A < B \le 1, \quad 0 < B \le 1, \quad \lambda \ge 1,$$
 (6)

we say that a function $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,j}^*$ is in the class $\Sigma_{p,j}^*(a, c, A, B, \alpha, m; \lambda)$ if it satisfies the following subordination:

$$\frac{1}{-\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}+\alpha} [(\lambda-1)(p+m-1)z^{p+m-1}(L_p(a,c)f(z))^{(m-1)} + \lambda z^{p+m}(L_p(a,c)f(z))^{(m)} + \alpha] \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}.$$
 (7)

Or equivalently, if the following inequality holds true:

$$\left|\frac{(\lambda-1)(p+m-1)z^{p+m-1}(L_p(a,c)f(z))^{(m-1)} + \lambda z^{p+m}(L_p(a,c)f(z))^{(m)} + \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}}{B\left[(\lambda-1)(p+m-1)z^{p+m-1}(L_p(a,c)f(z))^{(m-1)} + \lambda z^{p+m}(L_p(a,c)f(z))^{(m)}\right] + \left[B\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} + (A-B)\left(\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right)\right]}\right| < 1.$$

From the above definition we can imply that the function class $\Sigma_m^*(a, c, A, B, \alpha)$ in [8] is the special case of $\Sigma_{p,j}^*(a, c, A, B, \alpha, m; \lambda)$ in our present paper because $\Sigma_{1,j}^*(a, c, A, B, \alpha, m; 1) = \Sigma_m^*(a, c, A, B, \alpha).$

Since $\Sigma_{1,j}^*(a, c, A, B, \alpha, m; 1) = \Sigma_m^*(a, c, A, B, \alpha)$, then like [8] we have the following subclasses which were studied in many earlier works:

(1) $\Sigma_{1,j}^*(a, a, -\beta, \beta, 0, m; 1) = T_m(\beta)(m \in N^*, 0 < \beta \le 1)$ (Kim et al.[10]). (2) $\Sigma_{1,j}^*(a, a, -\beta, (2\gamma - 1)\beta, \alpha, 1; 1) = \Sigma_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ ($0 \le \alpha < 1; 0 < \beta \le 1; \frac{1}{2} \le \gamma \le 1$) (Cho et al. [2]).

(3)
$$\Sigma_{1,j}^*(a, a, -A, -B, 0, 1; 1) = \Sigma_1(A, B)$$
 $(-1 \le B < A \le 1; -1 \le B < 0)$ (Cho[11]).

(4) $\Sigma_{1,j}^*(a, a, -A, -B, \alpha, 1; 1) = \Sigma(\alpha, 1, A, B, 1)$ ($0 \le \alpha < 1; -1 \le A < B \le 1; 0 < B \le 1$) (Aouf[4]).

The purpose of this paper is to give various properties of $\Sigma_{p,j}^*(a, c, A, B, \alpha, m; \lambda)$. We will extend the results of basic paper [8].

2 Coefficient inequality for class $\sum_{p,j}^{*}(a, c, A, B, \alpha, m; \lambda)$

Theorem 2.1 Suppose $f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n$, then $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,j}^*(a, c, A, B, \alpha, m; \lambda)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \phi_n(p, B, a, c, \alpha, m; \lambda) |a_n| \le (B - A), \tag{9}$$

where

$$\phi_n(p, B, a, c, \alpha, m; \lambda) = \frac{(1+B) \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda n - m + 1 \right] (a)_{n+1} n!}{(c)_{n+1}(n-m+1)! \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha \right]}.$$
 (10)

Proof For $f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n$, we denote

$$\begin{split} & \left| F_{p,m}(a,c,\lambda,z) + \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} \right| \\ & - \left| B\left[F_{p,m}(a,c,\lambda,z) \right] + \left[B\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} + (A-B) \left(\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha \right) \right] \right| \\ & = \left| \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} |a_n| \frac{(a)_{n+1}n! \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda n - m + 1 \right]}{(c)_{n+1}(n-m+1)!} z^{n+p} \right| \\ & - \left| (B-A) \left(\frac{(p-m+1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha \right) - B \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} |a_n| \frac{(a)_{n+1}n! \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda n - m + 1 \right]}{(c)_{n+1}(n-m+1)!} z^{n+p} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} |a_n| \frac{(a)_{n+1}n! \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda n - m + 1 \right]}{(c)_{n+1}(n-m+1)!} |z|^{n+p} \\ & - \left[(B-A) \left(\frac{(p-m+1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha \right) - B \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} |a_n| \frac{(a)_{n+1}n! \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda n - m + 1 \right]}{(c)_{n+1}(n-m+1)!} |z|^{n+p} \right] \\ & \leq \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} (1+B) |a_n| \frac{(a)_{n+1}n! \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda n - m + 1 \right]}{(c)_{n+1}(n-m+1)!} - (B-A) \left(\frac{(p-m+1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha \right) \quad (z \in \partial U), \end{split}$$

where

$$F_{p,m}(a,c,\lambda,z) = (\lambda - 1)(p + m - 1)z^{p+m-1} (L_p(a,c)f(z))^{(m-1)} + \lambda z^{p+m} (L_p(a,c)f(z))^{(m)}.$$

By the Maximum Modulus Theorem, for any $z \in U$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| F_{p,m}(a,c,\lambda,z) + \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} \right| \\ - & \left| B \left[F_{p,m}(a,c,\lambda,z) \right] + \left[B \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} + (A-B) \left(\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha \right) \right] \right| \\ \leq & \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} (1+B) |a_n| \frac{(a)_{n+1}n! \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda n - m + 1 \right]}{(c)_{n+1}(n-m+1)!} - (B-A) \left(\frac{(p-m+1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha \right) \\ \leq & 0, \end{aligned}$$

this implies $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,j}^*(a, c, A, B, \alpha, m; \lambda)$.

Conversely, suppose $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,j}^*(a, c, A, B, \alpha, m; \lambda)$, then we have

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} |a_n| \frac{(a)_{n+1}n![p(\lambda-1)+\lambda n-m+1]}{(c)_{n+1}(n-m+1)!} z^{n+p}}{B\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} |a_n| \frac{(a)_{n+1}n![p(\lambda-1)+\lambda n-m+1]}{(c)_{n+1}(n-m+1)!} z^{n+p} + (A-B) \left(\frac{(p-m+1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right)} \right| < 1.$$

Since $|Rez| \leq |z|$ for all z, choosing z to be real and letting $z \to 1^-$ through real value, then we have

$$\frac{\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} |a_n| \frac{(a)_{n+1}n! [p(\lambda-1)+\lambda n-m+1]}{(c)_{n+1}(n-m)!(n-m+1)} |z|^{n+p}}{(B-A) \left(\frac{(p-m+1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right) - B \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} |a_n| \frac{(a)_{n+1}n! [p(\lambda-1)+\lambda n-m+1]}{(c)_{n+1}(n-m)!(n-m+1)} |z|^{n+p}} < 1.$$

So we have

$$\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} (1+B)|a_n| \frac{(a)_{n+1}n! \left[p(\lambda-1)+\lambda n-m+1\right]}{(c)_{n+1}(n-m)!(n-m+1)} \le (B-A) \left(\frac{(p-m+1)!}{(p-1)!}-\alpha\right),$$

this completes the proof of theorem 2.1.

Jing-yu Yang et al.

Corollary 2.2 Let $f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n$, if $f(z) \in \sum_{p,j}^* (a, c, A, B, \alpha, m; \lambda)$, then

$$|a_n| \le \frac{(B-A) \left\lfloor \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha \right\rfloor}{(1+B) \frac{(a)_{n+1} n! [p(\lambda-1)+\lambda n-m+1]}{(c)_{n+1} (n-m+1)!}},$$

the result is sharp when

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{(B-A)\left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right]}{(1+B)\frac{(a)_{n+1}n![p(\lambda-1)+\lambda n-m+1]}{(c)_{n+1}(n-m+1)!}} z^n.$$

Remark 2.3 Theorem 2.1 in this paper is the extension of theorem 1 in [8].

3 Distortion Theorem

Theorem 3.1 If $f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n$ be in the class $\sum_{p,j}^* (a, c, A, B, \alpha, m; \lambda)$, then

$$\left\{ \frac{(p+k-1)!}{(p-1)!} F_{p,j}(A, B, a, c, m, k, \lambda, \alpha) |z|^{j+p} \right\} |z|^{-(k+p)} \\
\leq |f^{(k)}(z)| \\
\leq \left\{ \frac{(p+k-1)!}{(p-1)!} + F_{p,j}(A, B, a, c, m, k, \lambda, \alpha) |z|^{j+p} \right\} |z|^{-(k+p)}, \quad (11)$$

where $F_{p,j}(A, B, a, c, m, k, \lambda, \alpha) = \frac{(B-A)(c)_{j+1}(j-m+1)! \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right]}{(1+B)(a)_{j+1}(j-k)![p(\lambda-1)+\lambda j-m+1]}$. Furthermore $a > c > 0, \ \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \frac{(1+B)(a)_{j+i}(j-k)![p(\lambda-1)+\lambda j-m+1](p+k-1)!}{(B-A)(c)_{j+i}(j-m+1)(p-1)!} \le \alpha < \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}, j, m \in N^*, \ k \in N_0; \ k \le m-1 \le j-1.$

The result is sharp for the function f given by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{(B-A)\left\lfloor \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha \right\rfloor}{(1+B)\frac{(a)_{j+1}j![p(\lambda-1)+\lambda j-m+1]}{(c)_{j+1}(j-m+1)!}} z^j.$$

Proof Let $f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n$, $0 \le k \le (m-1)$, then we have

$$f^{(k)}(z) = \frac{(-1)^k (p+k-1)!}{z^{p+k} (p-1)!} + \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} |a_n| \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} z^{n-k},$$
(12)

and we can imply

$$(1+B)\frac{(a)_{j+1}(j-k)!\left[p(\lambda-1)+\lambda j-m+1\right]}{(c)_{j+1}(j-m+1)!}\sum_{n=j}^{\infty}\frac{n!}{(n-k)!}|a_n|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=j}^{\infty}(1+B)\frac{(a)_{n+1}n!\left[p(\lambda-1)+\lambda n-m+1\right]}{(c)_{n+1}(n-m+1)!}|a_n|.$$

By theorem 2.1 we obtain

$$\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} |a_n| \leq (B-A) \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha \right] \\ \frac{(c)_{j+1}(j-m+1)!}{(1+B)(a)_{j+1}(j-k)! \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda j - m + 1 \right]},$$
(13)

by (12) and (13) we can imply (11).

4 Radius of starlikeness and convexity

Theorem 4.1 Let the function f(z) defined by (1) be in the class $\Sigma_{p,j}^*(a, c, A, B, \alpha, m; \lambda)$. Then f(z) is starlike of $\xi(0 \le \xi < 1)$ in $|z| < r_{\xi}(A, B, a, c, p, \alpha, m; \lambda)$ where

$$r_{\xi}(a, c, A, B, p, \alpha, m; \lambda) = inf_{n \ge j} \left\{ \frac{p(1-\xi)\phi_n(p, B, a, c, \alpha, m; \lambda)}{(B-A)(n+2p-\xi p)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n+p}}, \quad (14)$$

and $\phi_n(p, B, a, c, \alpha, m; \lambda)$ is defined by (10).

Proof It sufficient to show that

$$1 + \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \bigg| < 1 - \xi \text{ for } |z| < r_{\xi}(a, c, A, B, p, \alpha, m; \lambda).$$

Since

$$\left| 1 + \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \right| = \left| \frac{\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} (n+p) |a_n| z^{n+p}}{p + \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} p |a_n| z^{n+p}} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} (n+p) |a_n| |z|^{n+p}}{p - \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} p |a_n| |z|^{n+p}},$$

to prove (14) it sufficient to prove

$$\frac{\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} (n+p)|a_n||z|^{n+p}}{p - \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} p|a_n||z|^{n+p}} < 1 - \xi.$$

It is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{(n+2p-p\xi)|a_n|}{p(1-\xi)} |z|^{n+p} \le 1.$$
(15)

By theorem 2.1 we have

$$\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_n(p, B, a, c, \alpha, m; \lambda)}{(B-A)} |a_n| \le 1.$$

Hence (15) will be true if

$$\frac{(n+2p-p\xi)}{p(1-\xi)}|z|^{n+p} \le \frac{\phi_n(p,B,a,c,\alpha,m;\lambda)}{B-A},$$

it is equivalent to

$$|z| \le \left\{ \frac{p(1-\xi)\phi_n(p, B, a, c, \alpha, m; \lambda)}{(B-A)(n+2p-p\xi)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n+p}}.$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.2 Let the function f(z) defined by (1) be in the class $\Sigma_{p,j}^*(a, c, A, B, \alpha, m; \lambda)$. Then f(z) is convex of $\eta(0 \le \eta < 1)$ in $|z| < r_{\eta}(A, B, a, c, p, \alpha, m; \lambda)$ where

$$r_{\eta}(A, B, a, c, p, \alpha, m; \lambda) = inf_{n \ge j} \left\{ \frac{p(1-\eta)\phi_n(p, B, a, c, \alpha, m; \lambda)}{n(B-A)(n+2p-p\eta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n+p}}, \quad (16)$$

and $\phi_n(p, B, a, c, \alpha, m; \lambda)$ is defined by (10).

Proof It sufficient to show that

$$\left| 1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{zf''(z)}{pf'(z)} \right| < 1 - \eta \text{ for } |z| < r_{\eta}(A, B, a, c, p, \alpha, m; \lambda).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{zf''(z)}{pf'(z)} \right| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} n(n+p) |a_n| z^{n+p}}{-p + \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} np |a_n| z^{n+p}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} n(n+p) |a_n| |z|^{n+p}}{p - \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} np |a_n| |z|^{n+p}}, \end{aligned}$$

to prove (16) it sufficient to prove

$$\frac{\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} n(n+p) |a_n| |z|^{n+p}}{p - \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} np |a_n| |z|^{n+p}} < 1 - \eta.$$

It is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{n(n+2p-p\eta)}{p(1-\eta)} |z|^{n+p} \le 1.$$
(17)

By theorem 2.1 we have

$$\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_n(p, B, a, c, \alpha, m; \lambda)}{(B-A)} |a_n| \le 1.$$

Hence (17) will be true if

$$\frac{n(n+2p-p\eta)|a_n|}{p(1-\eta)}|z|^{n+p} \le \frac{\phi_n(p,B,a,c,\alpha,m;\lambda)}{B-A},$$

it is equivalent to

$$|z| \le \left\{ \frac{p(1-\eta)\phi_n(p,B,a,c,\alpha,m;\lambda)}{n(B-A)(n+2p-p\eta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n+p}}$$

This completes the proof.

5 δ -Neighborhoods of $\Sigma_{p,j}^*(a, c, A, B, \alpha, m; \lambda)$

Based on the earlier works of Aouf, Silverman and Srivastava[7] Goodman[12] and by Altintas et al.[13], we introduce the δ -neighborhood of a function $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,j}^*$ of the form (1) and present the relationship between δ -neighborhood and corresponding function class.

Definition 5.1 The δ -neighborhood of a function $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,j}^*$ of the form (1) is defined as follows:

$$N_{\delta}^{+}(f) = \left\{ g : g(z) = \frac{1}{z^{p}} - \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} |b_{n}| z^{n} \in \Sigma_{p,j}^{*} \text{ and } \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} t_{k} ||b_{n}| - |a_{n}|| < \delta \right\},$$
(18)

where

$$t_k = \frac{n!(1+B)\left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda n - m + 1\right])(a)_{n+1}}{(B-A)(n-m+1)!\left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right](c)_{n+1}},$$

and $a > 0, c > 0, -1 \le A < B \le 1, 0 < B \le 1, \delta > 0, 0 \le \alpha < \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}, j, m \in N^*, j \ge m.$

Theorem 5.2 Let the function f(z) defined by (1) be in the class $\sum_{p,j}^{*}(a + 1, c, A, B, \alpha, m; \lambda)$, then

$$N_{\delta}^+(f) \subset \Sigma_{p,j}^*(a,c,A,B,\alpha,m;\lambda), \qquad \left(\delta := \frac{j+1}{a+j+1}\right).$$

This result is the best possible in the sense that δ can not be increased.

Proof It is easily seen from (8) that $f(z) \in \sum_{p,j}^{*}(a, c, A, B, \alpha, m; \lambda)$ if and only if for any complex number σ with $|\sigma| = 1$

 $\frac{(\lambda-1)(p+m-1)z^{p+m-1}(L_p(a,c)f(z))^{(m-1)}+\lambda z^{p+m}(L_p(a,c)f(z))^{(m)}+\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}}{B\left[(\lambda-1)(p+m-1)z^{p+m-1}(L_p(a,c)f(z))^{(m-1)}+\lambda z^{p+m}(L_p(a,c)f(z))^{(m)}\right]+\left[B\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}+(A-B)\left(\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}-\alpha\right)\right]}$

 $B\left[(\lambda-1)(p+m-1)z^{p+m-1}(L_p(a,c)f(z))^{(m-1)} + \lambda z^{p+m}(L_p(a,c)f(z))^{(m)}\right] + \left[B\frac{p+m-1}{(p-1)!} + (A-B)\left(\frac{p+m-1}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right)\right] \neq \sigma.$ (19)

Which is equivalent to

$$\frac{(f*h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \neq 0, \quad (z \in U),$$
(20)

where

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} c_n z^n$$

= $\frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{n!(1-\sigma B) \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda n - m + 1\right](a)_{n+1}}{\sigma (B-A)(n-m+1)! \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right](c)_{n+1}} z^n.$

Now if $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,j}^*(a+1,c,A,B,\alpha,m;\lambda)$, then we have

$$\left|\frac{f(z)*h(z)}{z^{-p}}\right| = \left|1 + \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} c_n |a_n| z^{n+p}\right|$$

$$\geq 1 - \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{n!(1+B) \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda n - m + 1\right](a)_{n+1}}{(B-A)(n-m+1)! \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right](c)_{n+1}}$$

$$\geq 1 - \frac{a}{a+j+1} \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{n!(1+B) \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda n - m + 1\right](a+1)_{n+1}}{(B-A)(n-m+1)! \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right](c)_{n+1}}$$

$$\geq 1 - \frac{a}{a+j+1} = \frac{j+i}{a+j+1} = \delta.$$
(21)

For any $g \in N^+_{\delta}(f)$, we have

$$\left|\frac{[f(z) - g(z)] * h(z)}{z^{-p}}\right| = \left|\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \left(|b_n| - |a_n|\right) |c_n| z^{n+p}\right|$$
$$\leq \sum_{h=j}^{\infty} ||b_n| - |a_n|| |c_n|$$
$$\leq \delta.$$
(22)

From (21),(22) we can imply $\frac{g(z)*h(z)}{z^{-p}} \neq 0$, it means $g(z) \in \sum_{p,j}^* (a, c, A, B, \alpha, m; \lambda)$. To show the sharpness, we consider the functions f and g given by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{(B-A)(j-m+1)! \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right](c)_{j+1}}{j!(1+B) \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda j - m + 1\right](a)_{j+1}} z^j,$$
(23)
$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \left[\frac{B-A}{\phi_j(p, B, a, c, \alpha, m; \lambda)} + \frac{(B-A)(j-m+1)! \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right] \delta'(c)_{j+1}}{j!(1+B) \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda j - m + 1\right](a)_{j+1}}\right] z^j.$$
(24)

where $\delta' > \delta = \frac{j+1}{a+j+1}$.

Clearly $g \in N^+_{\delta'}(f)$, but by theorem 1 $g(z) \in \Sigma^*_{p,j}(a, c, A, B, \alpha, m; \lambda)$, since

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\phi_j(p, B, a, c, \alpha, m; \lambda)}{B - A} \cdot \left\{ \frac{B - A}{\phi_j(p, B, a, c, \alpha, m; \lambda)} + \frac{(B - A)(j - m + 1)! \left[\frac{(p + m - 1)!}{(p - 1)!} - \alpha \right] \delta'(c)_{j+1}}{j!(1 + B) \left[p(\lambda - 1) + \lambda j - m + 1 \right] (a)_{j+1}} \right\} \\ & = \frac{(a)_{j+1}}{(a + 1)_{j+1}} + \delta' \\ & = \frac{a}{a + j + 1} + \delta' \\ & > \frac{a}{a + j + 1} + \frac{j + 1}{a + j + 1} = 1. \end{split}$$

6 Properties associated with modified Hadamard product

Following early works by Aouf, Silverman and Srivastava in [8], we provide the properties of modified Hadamard product of $\sum_{p,j}^{*}(a, c, A, B, \alpha, m; \lambda)$

For the function

$$f_k(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} |a_{n,k}| z^n \quad (k = 1, 2; p \in N^*),$$
(25)

the modified Hadamard product of the functions $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ was denoted by $(f_1 \bullet f_2)(z)$ and defined as follows

$$(f_1 \bullet f_2)(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} |a_{n,1}| |a_{n,2}| z^n = (f_2 \bullet f_1)(z).$$

Theorem 6.1 Let $f_k(z)$ (k = 1, 2) given by (25) be in the class $\sum_{p,j}^* (a, c, A, B, \alpha, m; \lambda)$, then

$$(f_1 \bullet f_2)(z) \in \Sigma_{p,j}^*(a, c, A, B, \gamma, m; \lambda),$$

where

$$\gamma := \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \frac{(B-A)(j-m+1)! \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right]^2 (c)_{j+1}}{j!(1+B) \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda j - m + 1\right] (a)_{j+1}}.$$

The result is sharp for the functions $f_k(z)$ (k = 1, 2) given by

$$f_k(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{(B-A)(j-m+1)! \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right](c)_{j+1}}{j!(1+B) \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda j - m + 1\right](a)_{j+1}}.$$
 (26)

Proof By theorem 2.1 we need to find the largest γ such that

$$\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{n!(1+B) \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda n - m + 1 \right] (a)_{n+1}}{(B-A)(n-m+1)! \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \gamma \right] (c)_{n+1}} |a_{n,1}| \cdot |a_{n,2}| \le 1.$$

Since $f_k(z) \in \Sigma_{p,j}^*(a, c, A, B, \alpha, m; \lambda)$, then we see that

$$\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{n!(1+B)\left[p(\lambda-1)+\lambda n-m+1\right](a)_{n+1}}{(B-A)(n-m+1)!\left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}-\alpha\right](c)_{n+1}} |a_{n,k}| \le 1, \quad (k=1,2),$$

by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{n!(1+B)\left[p(\lambda-1)+\lambda n-m+1\right](a)_{n+1}}{(B-A)(n-m+1)!\left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}-\alpha\right](c)_{n+1}}\sqrt{|a_{n,1}|\cdot|a_{n,2}|} \le 1.$$
 (27)

This implies that we only need to show that

$$\frac{1}{\left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \gamma\right]} |a_{n,1}| \cdot |a_{n,2}| \le \frac{1}{\left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right]} \sqrt{|a_{n,1}| \cdot |a_{n,2}|},$$

or equivalently that

$$\sqrt{|a_{n,1}| \cdot |a_{n,2}|} \le \frac{\left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \gamma\right]}{\left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right]}.$$

By making use of the inequality (27), it is sufficient to prove that

$$\frac{(B-A)(n-m+1)!\left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}-\alpha\right](c)_{n+1}}{n!(1+B)\left[p(\lambda-1)+\lambda n-m+1\right](a)_{n+1}} \le \frac{\left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}-\gamma\right]}{\left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}-\alpha\right]}.$$
 (28)

From (28), we have

$$\gamma \leq \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \frac{(B-A)(n-m+1)! \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right]^2 (c)_{n+1}}{n!(1+B) \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda n - m + 1\right] (a)_{n+1}}.$$

Define the function $\Phi(n)$ by

$$\Phi(n) := \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \frac{(B-A)(n-m+1)! \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right]^2 (c)_{n+1}}{n!(1+B) \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda n - m + 1\right] (a_{n+1})},$$

we see that $\Phi(n)$ is an increasing function of a > c > 0, $0 \le \alpha \le \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}, j \ge m$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\gamma \le \phi(j) = \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \frac{(B-A)(j-m+1)! \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right]^2 (c)_{j+1}}{j!(1+B) \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda j - m + 1\right] (a_{j+1})}.$$

By using arguments similar to those in the proof of theorem 6.1 we can derive the following result.

Theorem 6.2 Let $f_1(z)$ defined by (25) be in the class $\sum_{p,j}^* (a, c, A, B, \alpha_1, m; \lambda)$, $f_2(z)$ defined by (25) be in the class $\sum_{p,j}^* (a, c, A, B, \alpha_2, m; \lambda)$, then

$$(f_1 \bullet f_2)(z) \in \Sigma_{p,j}^*(a,c,A,B,\tau,m;\lambda),$$

where

$$\tau := \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \frac{(B-A)(j-m+1)! \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha_1\right] \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha_2\right] (c)_{j+1}}{j!(1+B) \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda j - m + 1\right] (a_{j+1})}$$

The result is sharp for the functions $f_j(z)$ (j = 1, 2) given by

$$f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{(B-A)(j-m+1)! \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha_1\right](c)_{j+1}}{j!(1+B) \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda j - m + 1\right](a)_{j+1}},$$

$$f_2(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{(B-A)(j-m+1)! \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha_2\right](c)_{j+1}}{j!(1+B) \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda j - m + 1\right](a)_{j+1}}.$$

Theorem 6.3 Let $f_k(z)$ (k = 1, 2) defined by (25) be in the class $\sum_{p,j}^* (a, c, A, B, \alpha, m; \lambda)$, then the function h(z) defined by

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \left(|a_{n,1}|^2 + |a_{n,2}|^2 \right) z^n,$$

belongs to the class $\Sigma_{p,j}^*(a, c, A, B, \chi, m; \lambda)$ where

$$\chi := \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \frac{2(B-A)(j-m+1)! \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right]^2 (c)_{j+1}}{j!(1+B) \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda j - m + 1\right] (a)_{j+1}}$$

This result is sharp for the functions given by (26)

Proof By theorem 2.1 we want to find the largest χ such that

$$\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{n!(1+B)\left[p(\lambda-1)+\lambda n-m+1\right](a)_{n+1}}{(B-A)(n-m+1)!\left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}-\chi\right](c)_{n+1}} \left(|a_{n,1}|^2+|a_{n,2}|^2\right) \le 1.$$
(29)

Since $f_k(z) \in \Sigma^*_{p,j}(a, c, A, B, \alpha, m; \lambda)$, (k = 1, 2) we readily see that

$$\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{n!(1+B)\left[p(\lambda-1)+\lambda n-m+1\right](a)_{n+1}}{(B-A)(n-m+1)!\left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}-\alpha\right](c)_{n+1}} |a_{n,k}| \le 1, \quad (k=1,2).$$
(30)

From (30) we have

$$\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n!(1+B) \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda n - m + 1 \right] (a)_{n+1}}{(B-A)(n-m+1)! \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha \right] (c)_{n+1}} \right]^2 |a_{n,k}|^2 \le 1, \quad (k=1,2),$$

then we have

$$\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n!(1+B)\left[p(\lambda-1)+\lambda n-m+1\right](a)_{n+1}}{(B-A)(n-m+1)!\left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}-\alpha\right](c)_{n+1}} \right]^2 \left(|a_{n,1}|^2+|a_{n,2}|^2\right) \le 2.$$
(31)

From (31), if we want to prove (29), it sufficient to prove there exists the largest χ such that

$$\frac{1}{\left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p+1)!} - \chi\right]} \le \frac{n!(1+B)\left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda n - m + 1\right](a)_{n+1}}{2(B-A)(n-m+1)!\left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right]^2(c)_{n+1}},$$

that is

$$\chi \le \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \frac{2(B-A)(n-m+1)! \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right]^2 (c)_{n+1}}{n!(1+B) \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda n - m + 1\right] (a)_{n+1}}.$$

Now we define $\Psi(n)$ by

$$\Psi(n) = \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \frac{2(B-A)(n-m+1)! \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right]^2 (c)_{n+1}}{n!(1+B) \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda n - m + 1\right] (a)_{n+1}},$$

we see that $\Psi(n)$ is an increasing function of n. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\chi \le \Psi(j) = \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \frac{2(B-A)(j-m+1)! \left[\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \alpha\right]^2 (c)_{j+1}}{n!(1+B) \left[p(\lambda-1) + \lambda j - m + 1\right] (a)_{j+1}}.$$

which completes the proof of theorem 6.3.

7 Open Problem

Let $\sum_{p,j}^{\xi}$ denote the class of meromorphic functions

$$f(z) = \frac{a_0}{(z-\xi)^p} + \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n, \quad (a_0 > 0, 0 \le \xi < 1, j \in N^* = \{2i-1 : i \in N\}),$$

which are analytic and p-valent in the punctured disc $U_{\xi}^* = \{z : 0 < |z - \xi| < 1 + |\xi|\}$ with a simple pole at the origin with residue one there.

Let $LS_{p,a,c}(\alpha,\mu;A,B)$ denote the subclass of $\sum_{p,j}^{\xi}$ consisting of function f(z) which satisfy the following inequality:

$$\frac{1}{-\frac{(p+m-1)!a_0}{(p-1)!} + \alpha} F_{a,\xi}(p,\lambda,\alpha) - \mu |frac1 - \frac{(p+m-1)!a_0}{(p-1)!} + \alpha F_{a,\xi}(p,\lambda,\alpha) - 1| \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$

where

$$F_{a,\xi}(p,\lambda,\alpha) = (\lambda-1)(p+m-1)(z-\xi)^{p+m-1}(L_p(a,c)f(z))^{(m-1)} + \lambda(z-\xi)^{p+m}(L_p(a,c)f(z))^{(m)} + \alpha.$$

Discuss coefficient inequality, distortion theorem, neighborhood properties, convexity and starlike radius of subclass $LS_{p,a,c}(\alpha,\mu;A,B)$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author thanks the referee for numerous suggestions that helped make this paper more readable. The present investigation was partly supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia under Grant 2009MS0113.

References

- [1] J.E.Miller, Convex meromorphic mapping and related functions, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc,25(1970), 220-228.
- [2] N.E.Cho,S.H.Lee,S.Owa, A class of meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficient, Kobe PJ.Math. 4(1987),43-50.
- [3] M.K.Aouf, A certain subclass of meromorphically starlike functions with positive coefficients, Rend.Mat. 9(1989),225-235.
- [4] M.K.Aouf, On a certain class of meromorphically univalent functions with positive coefficients, Rend.Mat. 11(1991),209-219.
- [5] H.Saitoh, A linear operator and its applications of first order differential subordinations, Math.Japon, 44(1996),31-38.

- [6] J.-L.Liu, H.M.Srivastava, A linear operator and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions, .Math.Anal.Appl..259(2001),566-581.
- [7] M.K.Aouf, H.Silverman, H.M.Srivatava, Some families of linear operators associated with certain subclasses of multivalent functions, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 55(2008), 535-549.
- [8] M.K.Aouf, R.M.El-Ashwah, OProperties of certain subclass of meromorphic function with positive coefficients, Mathematical and computer modelling., 49 (2009), 868-879.
- [9] M.K.Aouf, Certain subclasses of meromorphically p-valent functions with positive coefficients or negative coefficients, Mathematical and Computer Modelling., 47 (2008),797-1008.
- [10] Y.G.Kim,S.H.Lee,S.Owa, On certain meromorphic functions with positive coefficients, nternat.J.Math.Math.Sci., 16(2)(1993),409-412.
- [11] N.E.Cho, On certain class of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients, J.Inst.Math.Comput.Sci.,3(2)(1990), 119-125.
- [12] A.W.Goodman, Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves, roc.Amer.Math.Soc., 8(1957), 598-601.
- [13] O.Altintas, Ö.Özkan, H.M.Srivastava, SNeighborhoods of a class of analytic functions with negative coefficients, Appl.Math.Lett., 13(3)(2000), 63-67.
- [14] Jamal M.Shenan, Application of generalized fractional integral operators to certain class of multivalent prestarlike functions with negative coefficients, Int.J.Open problems compt. Math, 4(2011), 50-69.
- [15] Toshio Hayami and Shigeyoshi Owa, Coefficient conditions for certain univalent functions, Int. J. open problems comput.sci.Math, 1(2008), 53-65.