Int. J. Open Problems Complex Analysis, Vol. 4, No. 1, March 2012 ISSN 2074-2827; Copyright ©ICSRS Publication, 2012 www.i-csrs.org

# On a Differential Superordination Defined By Aouf et al Operator

### Oladipo, Abiodun Tinuoye

Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, P.M.B. 4000, Ogbomoso, Nigeria e-mail:atlab\_3@yahoo.com

#### Abstract

The object of the present work is to obtain a certain superordination for certain new classes of analytic and univalent functions using Aouf et al derivative operator.

**keywords:** Analytic functions, univalent functions, superordination, Aouf et al operator, subordinant. 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C45

## 1 Introduction

Recently, precisely in 1999, Kanas and Ronning [4] introduced and studied a new concept of analytic and univalent functions, a subset of the well known classes of analytic and univalent functions denoted by  $A(\omega)$  and  $S(\omega)$  respectively. The new class of analytic functions denoted by  $A(\omega)$  is of the form

$$f(z) = (z - \omega) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k (z - \omega)^k,$$
(1)

which are analytic in the unit disk  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  and normalized by  $f(\omega) = f'(\omega) - 1 = 0$ and  $\omega$  is a fixed point in U, and  $S(\omega) \subset A(\omega)$  denote the class of univalent functions.

Using (1), the authors in [4] introduced and studied the classes of  $\omega$ -starlike and  $\omega$ -convex functions, and many interesting results were obtained. Several other authors such as Acu and Owa [1] also introduced and studied the class of  $\omega$ -close-to-convex functions and they obtained some coefficient inequalities and subordination results. Oladipo [5] extends the result in [4] using Ruscheweyh derivative operator and in [6] the author used the concept of (1) to define certain classes of Bazilevic functions and obtained some interesting results. Also, these classes of  $\omega$ -starlike and  $\omega$ -convex functions preserves Alexander relation that is, uniformly convergency is endowed in them [1,4,5,6].

Let  $P(\omega) \subset P(\text{the class of Caratheodory functions})$  and let  $p_{\omega} \in P(\omega)$  be analytic and of the form

$$p_{\omega}(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k (z - \omega)^k \tag{2}$$

where

$$|B_k| \le \frac{2}{(1+d)(1-d)^k}, d = |\omega|, k \ge 1$$

that are regular in U and satisfying  $p_{\omega}(\omega) = 1$  and  $Rep_{\omega}(z) > 0$  [4,5,6,9], and  $\omega$  is an arbitrary fixed point in U. We note here that  $A(0) \equiv A$  and  $P(0) \equiv P$ .

The object of the present paper is to use the newly introduced concepts of analytic and univalent functions to determine properties of functions  $p_{\omega}$  that satisfies the differential superordination

$$\left\{\phi_{\omega}(p_{\omega}(z),(z-\omega)p_{\omega}'(z),(z-\omega)^2 p_{\omega}''(z);z):z\in U\right\}\subset W.[3,7],$$

provided that,  $p_{\omega}$  satisfy the differential subordination (which is the analogue by extension of the one in [8])

$$W_{\omega} \subset \left\{ \phi(p_{\omega}(z), (z-\omega)p'_{\omega}(z), (z-\omega)^2 p''_{\omega}(z); z) : z \in U \right\}.$$

Here we shall denote by  $H_{\omega}(U)$  the set of analytic functions in the unit disk, that is,  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . For  $a \in C$  and  $n \in N_0$  we denote by

$$H_{\omega}[a,n] = \{f \in H_{\omega}(U) : f(z) = a + a_n(z-\omega)^n + \ldots\}$$

and

$$A_n(\omega) \left\{ f \in H_{\omega}(U) : f(z) = (z - \omega) + a_{n+1}(z - \omega)^{n+1} + \dots \right\}.$$

For the purpose of this work the following definition shall be necessary.

**Definition A.** [1,2,7] Let  $\phi_{\omega} : C^2 \times U \to C$  and  $h_{\omega}$  be analytic in U.

If  $p_{\omega}$  and  $\phi_{\omega}(p_{\omega}(z), (z-\omega)p'_{\omega}(z); z)$  are univalent in U and satisfy the first order differential superordination

$$h_{\omega}(z) \prec \phi_{\omega}(p_{\omega}(z), (z-\omega)p'_{\omega}(z); z)$$
(3)

then,  $p_{\omega}$  is called a solution of the differential superordination.

An analytic function  $q_{\omega}$  is called a subordinant of the solutions of the differential superordination, or simply a subordinant if  $q_{\omega} \prec p_{\omega}$  for all  $p_{\omega}$  satisfying (3). A univalent subordinant  $\overline{q}_{\omega}$  that satisfies  $q_{\omega} \prec \overline{q}_{\omega}$  for all subordinants  $q_{\omega}$  of (3) is said to be the best subordinant. The best subordinant is unique up to rotation of U.

For  $W_{\omega}$  a set in C with  $\phi_{\omega}$  and  $p_{\omega}$  as given in definition A, suppose (3) is replaced by

$$W_{\omega} \subset \{\phi_{\omega}(p_{\omega}(z), (z-\omega)p'_{\omega}(z); z) : z \in U\}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

which is a differential containment, the condition (4) will be reffered to as a differential superordination, and the definitions of solution, subordinant and the best subordinant as given above can be extended to this generalization.

Let us denote by  $\chi_{\omega}$  the set of functions f that are analytic and injective on  $\overline{U} \cap E(f)$ , where

$$E(f) = \{\eta \in \partial U : \lim_{z \to \eta} f(z) = \infty\}$$

and are such that  $f'(\eta) \neq 0$  for  $\eta \in \partial U \cap E(f)$ . The subclass of  $\chi_{\omega}$  for which  $f(\omega) = a$  is denoted by  $\chi_{\omega}(a)$ .

The following lemma shall be helpful in our present investigation.

**Lemma A.[1,6]** Let  $h_{\omega}$  be  $\omega$ -convex in U, with  $h_{\omega}(\omega) = a, \gamma \neq 0$  with  $Re\gamma \geq 0$ , and  $p_{\omega} \in H_{\omega}[a, n] \cap \chi_{\omega}$ . If

$$p_{\omega}(z) + \frac{(z-\omega)p'_{\omega}(z)}{\gamma}$$

is univalent in U and,

$$h_{\omega}(z) \prec p_{\omega}(z) + \frac{(z-\omega)p'_{\omega}(z)}{\gamma}$$

then  $q_{\omega}(z) \prec p_{\omega}(z)$ , where

$$q_{\omega}(z) = \frac{\gamma}{n(z-\omega)^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}} \int_{\omega}^{z} (t-\omega)^{\frac{\gamma-n}{n}} h_{\omega}(t) dt$$

The function  $q_{\omega}$  is  $\omega$ -convex and is the best dominant. Lemma B. [1,6] Let  $q_{\omega}$  be  $\omega$ -convex in U and let  $h_{\omega}$  be defined by

$$h_{\omega}(z) = q_{\omega}(z) + \frac{(z-\omega)q'_{\omega}(z)}{\gamma}, \ z \in U$$

with  $Re\gamma \ge 0$ , if  $p_{\omega} \in H_{\omega}[a, n] \cap \chi_{\omega}$ ,

$$p_{\omega}(z) + \frac{(z-\omega)p'_{\omega}(z)}{\gamma}$$

is univalent in U, and

$$q_{\omega}(z) + \frac{(z-\omega)q'_{\omega}(z)}{\gamma} \prec p_{\omega}(z) + \frac{(z-\omega)p'_{\omega}(z)}{\gamma}, \ z \in U$$

then  $q_{\omega}(z) \prec p_{\omega}(z)$  where

$$q_{\omega}(z) = \frac{\gamma}{n(z-\omega)^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}} \int_{\omega}^{z} (t-\omega)^{\frac{\gamma-n}{n}} h_{\omega}(t) dt.$$

The function  $q_{\omega}$  is the best subordinant and  $\omega$  is a fixed point in U. We shall also make use of the following derivative operator credited to Aouf et al [2] and it is defined as follows

$$I^0_{\omega}(\lambda, l)f(z) = f(z) \tag{5}$$

$$I^{1}_{\omega}(\lambda,l)f(z) = I_{\omega}(\lambda,l)f(z) = I^{0}_{\omega}(\lambda,l)f(z)\frac{1-\lambda+l}{1+l} + (I^{0}_{\omega}(\lambda,l)f(z))'\frac{\lambda(z-\omega)}{1+l},$$
(6)

and in general

$$I_{\omega}^{m}(\lambda,l)f(z) = I_{\omega}(\lambda,l)(I_{\omega}^{m-1}(\lambda,l)f(z)$$
(7)

$$= (z-\omega) + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l}\right)^m a_k (z-\omega)^k$$

where  $\lambda \ge 0, l \ge 0, m \in N_0$ , and  $\omega$  is a fixed point in U, for every  $f \in A_n(\omega)$ .

# 2 MAIN RESULT

In this section we state and prove the following **Theorem A.** Let  $h_{\omega} \in H_{\omega}(U)$  be  $\omega$ -convex in U, with  $h_{\omega}(\omega) = 1$  and  $\omega$  is a fixed point in U. Let  $f \in A_n(\omega), n \in N$  and suppose that  $[I^{m+1}_{\omega}(\lambda, l)f(z)]'$  is univalent and  $[I^m_{\omega}(\lambda, l)f(z)]' \in H_{\omega}[1, n] \cap \chi_{\omega}$  if

$$h_{\omega}(z) \prec [I_{\omega}^{m+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)]' \tag{8}$$

then

$$q_{\omega}(z) \prec [I_{\omega}^{m}(\lambda, l)f(z)]' \tag{9}$$

where

$$q_{\omega}(z) = \frac{1+l}{n\lambda(z-\omega)^{\frac{1+l}{n\lambda}}} \int_{\omega}^{z} (t-\omega)^{\frac{1-n\lambda}{n\lambda}} h(t)dt.$$
 (10)

The function  $q_{\omega}$  is  $\omega$ -convex and is the best subordinant.

**Proof.** Let  $f \in A_n(\omega)$ . By using the properties of the operator  $I^m_{\omega}(\lambda, l)$  we have

$$I_{\omega}^{m+1}(\lambda,l)f(z) = I_{\omega}(I_{\omega}^{m}(\lambda,l)f(z)) = \frac{1-\lambda+l}{1+l}I_{\omega}^{m}(\lambda,l)f(z) + \frac{\lambda(z-\omega)}{1+l}(I_{\omega}^{m}(\lambda,l)f(z))'.$$
 (11)

Differentiating (11) we have

$$[I_{\omega}^{m+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)]' = [I_{\omega}^{m}(\lambda, l)f(z)]' + \frac{\lambda(z-\omega)}{1+l}(I_{\omega}^{m}(\lambda, l)f(z))''.$$
 (12)

If we let

$$p_{\omega}(z) = [I_{\omega}^m(\lambda, l)f(z)]'$$

then (12) becomes

$$[I_{\omega}^{m+1}(\lambda,l)f(z)]' = p_{\omega}(z) + \frac{\lambda(z-\omega)}{1+l}p_{\omega}'(z).$$
(13)

By using Lemma A, for  $\gamma = \frac{1+l}{\lambda}$ , we have

$$q_{\omega}(z) = \frac{1+l}{n\lambda(z-\omega)^{\frac{1+l}{n\lambda}}} \int_{\omega}^{z} (t-\omega)^{\frac{1+l}{n\lambda}-1} h_{\omega}(t) dt.$$
(14)

The function  $q_{\omega}(z)$  is the best dominant

**Theorem B.** Let  $h_{\omega} \in H_{\omega}(U)$  be  $\omega$ -convex in U, with  $h_{\omega}(\omega) = 1$  and let  $f \in A_n(\omega), [I_{\omega}^m(\lambda, l)f(z)]'$  is univalent and

$$\frac{I_{\omega}^m(\lambda,l)f(z)}{(z-\omega)}\in H_{\omega}[1,n]\cap\chi_{\omega},$$

 $\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}$ 

$$h_{\omega}(z) \prec [I_{\omega}^{m}(\lambda, l)f(z)]', \tag{15}$$

then

$$q_{\omega}(z) \prec \frac{I_{\omega}^m(\lambda, l)f(z)}{(z-\omega)},$$
(16)

where

$$q_{\omega}(z) = \frac{1}{n(z-\omega)^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_{\omega}^{z} (t-\omega)^{\frac{1-n}{n}} h_{\omega}(t) dt.$$

**Proof.** Let us set

$$p_{\omega}(z) = \frac{I_{\omega}^m(\lambda, l)f(z)}{(z - \omega)}$$
(17)

and we have

$$I_{\omega}^{m}(\lambda, l)f(z) = (z - \omega)p_{\omega}(z).$$
(18)

By differentiating (18) we obtain

$$[I_{\omega}^{m}(\lambda, l)f(z)]' = p_{\omega}(z) + (z - \omega)p_{\omega}'(z)$$

and (15) becomes

$$h_{\omega}(z) \prec p_{\omega}(z) + (z - \omega)p'_{\omega}$$

By Lemma A we get

$$q_{\omega}(z) \prec p_{\omega}(z) = \frac{I_{\omega}^m(\lambda, l)f(z)}{(z-\omega)},$$

where

$$q_{\omega}(z) = \frac{1}{n(z-\omega)^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_{\omega}^{z} (t-\omega)^{\frac{1-n}{n}} h_{\omega}(t) dt$$

The function  $q_{\omega}(z)$  is  $\omega$ -convex and is the best dominant. **Theorem C.** Let  $q_{\omega}$  be  $\omega$ -convex in U and let  $h_{\omega}$  be defined by

$$h_{\omega}(z) = q_{\omega}(z) + \frac{\lambda}{1+l}(z-\omega)q'_{\omega}(z), \ z \in U, \ \lambda > 0.$$

$$(19)$$

Let  $f \in A_n(\omega)$  and suppose that  $[I^{m+1}_{\omega}(\lambda, l)f(z)]'$  is univalent in U,  $[I^m_{\omega}(\lambda, l)f(z)]' \in H_{\omega}[1, n] \cap \chi_{\omega}$  and

$$h_{\omega}(z) = q_{\omega}(z) + \frac{\lambda}{1+l}(z-\omega)q'_{\omega}(z) \prec [I^{m+1}_{\omega}(\lambda,l)f(z)]'$$
(20)

then

$$q_{\omega}(z) \prec [I_{\omega}^{m}(\lambda, l)f(z)]'$$
(21)

where

$$q_{\omega}(z) = \frac{1+l}{n\lambda(z-\omega)^{\frac{1+l}{n\lambda}}} \int_{\omega}^{z} (t-\omega)^{\frac{1+l}{n\lambda}-1} h_{\omega}(t) dt.$$

The function  $q_{\omega}$  is the best dominant. **Proof.** Let  $f \in A_n(\omega)$ . By using the properties of the operator  $I_{\omega}^m(\lambda, l)$  we have

$$[I_{\omega}^{m+1}(\lambda,l)f(z)]' = [I_{\omega}^{m}(\lambda,l)f(z)]' + \frac{\lambda}{1+l}(z-\omega)[I_{\omega}^{m}(\lambda,l)f(z)]'$$

and by denoting

$$p_{\omega}(z) = [I_{\omega}^{m}(\lambda, l)f(z)]'$$

then we obtain

$$[I_{\omega}^{m+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)]' = p_{\omega}(z) + \frac{\lambda}{1+l}(z-\omega)p_{\omega}'(z).$$

By using Lemma B we have

$$q_{\omega}(z) \prec [I^m_{\omega}(\lambda, l)f(z)]'$$

where

$$q_{\omega}(z) = \frac{1+l}{n\lambda(z-\omega)^{\frac{1+l}{n\lambda}}} \int_{\omega}^{z} (t-\omega)^{\frac{1+l}{n\lambda}-1} h_{\omega}(t) dt.$$

**Theorem D.** Let  $q_{\omega}$  be  $\omega$ -convex in U and  $h_{\omega}$  be defined by

$$h_{\omega}(z) = q_{\omega}(z) + (z - \omega)q'_{\omega}(z) \tag{22}$$

Let  $f \in A_n(\omega)$  and suppose that  $[I^m_{\omega}(\lambda, l)f(z)]'$  is univalent in U,

$$\frac{I_{\omega}^{m}(\lambda,l)f(z)}{(z-\omega)} \in H_{\omega}[1,n] \cap \chi_{\omega}$$

and

$$h_{\omega}(z) = q_{\omega}(z) + (z - \omega)q'_{\omega}(z) \prec [I^m_{\omega}(\lambda, l)f(z)]'$$
(23)

then

$$q_{\omega}(z) \prec \frac{I_{\omega}^m(\lambda, l)f(z)}{(z-\omega)},$$
(24)

where

$$q_{\omega}(z) = \frac{1}{n(z-\omega)^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_{\omega}^{z} (t-\omega)^{\frac{1-n}{n}} h_{\omega}(t) dt.$$

The function  $q_{\omega}$  is the best subordinant. **Proof.** Let us put

$$p_{\omega}(z) = \frac{I_{\omega}^m(\lambda, l)f(z)}{(z - \omega)},$$

we obtain

$$[I_{\omega}^{m}(\lambda, l)f(z)]' = p_{\omega}(z) + (z - \omega)p_{\omega}'(z)$$

Then (24) becomes

$$q_{\omega}(z) + (z - \omega)q'_{\omega}(z) \prec p_{\omega}(z) + (z - \omega)p'_{\omega}(z)$$

By Lemma B, we have

$$q_{\omega}(z) = \frac{I_{\omega}^{m}(\lambda, l)f(z)}{(z - \omega)}$$

where

$$q_{\omega}(z) = \frac{1}{n(z-\omega)^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_{\omega}^{z} (t-\omega)^{\frac{1-n}{n}} h_{\omega}(t) dt.$$

For various choices of our parameters similar result could be obtained. For example for  $l=0, \lambda=1$  we have the function

$$h_{\omega}(z) = \frac{1 + (2\alpha - 1)(z - \omega)}{1 + (z - \omega)}$$

and at  $\omega = 0$  we have

$$h_0(z) = \frac{1 + (2\alpha - 1)z}{1 + z}$$

# 3 Open problem

Conclusively, From Definition A and relation (7) the author wish to say here here that the  $\omega$ -modified Ruscheweyh operator and  $\omega$ -modified Dziok-Srivastava operator can also be used to study this form of differential superordination. A new set of results shall be obtained which could be compared with our earlier results and the ones in [8].

# References

- [1] M. Acu and S. Owa : On some subclasses of univalent functions, Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics Vol, 6, Issue 3, Article 70, (2005) 1-14.
- [2] M.K. Aouf, A. Shamandy, A.O. Mostafa, and M.Madian A subclass of M-W-starlike functions Acta Universitatis Apulensis 23, (2010) 135-142.
- [3] A. Catas. A note on a differential superodination defined by a generalized Salagean operator General Mathematics Vol. 17, No.1 (2009) 49-57.
- [4] Kanas,S. and Ronning, F.: Uniformly starlike and convex functions and other related classes of univalent functions Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-skldowska Section A 53, (1999) 95-105.
- [5] A.T. Oladipo, : On subclasses of analytic and univalent functions, Advances in Applied Mathematical Analysis (2009) 87-93
- [6] A.T. Oladipo, : On certain subclasses of analytic and univalent functions involving convolution operators, Acta Universitatis Apulensis No 20 (2009) 163-174.
- [7] Miller, S.S. and Mocanu, P.T. Subordinants of differential superordinations Complex Variables, Vol. 48, No. 10, (2003) 815-826.
- [8] A.A. Lupas: Certain special differential superordinationss using multiplier transformation, Int. J. Open Problems Complex Analysis Vol. 3, No. 2 (2011), 50-60.
- [9] Wald J.K. (1978): On starlike functions, Ph.D Thesis, University of Delaware, New Ark, Delaware.

The author here wish to acknowledge the contributions and suggestions of Professor Daniel Breaz of Department of Mathematics and Informatics Alba Iulia Romania for making this work come to reality. I wish him a prosperous years of research work and long life with sound health