

Certain Classes of Univalent Functions With Negative Coefficient

Abiodun Tinuoye Oladipo, O. Fagbemiro and Daniel Breaz

Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics
Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso
P. M. B. 4000, Ogbomoso, Nigeria.
e-mail: atlab_3@yahoo.com

Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics
Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso
P. M. B. 4000, Ogbomoso, Nigeria.
e-mail: ofagbemiro@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics and Informatics
"1 Decembrie 1918" University of Alba Iulia
510009, Alba, Romania.
e-mail: dbreaz@uab.ro

Abstract

In this paper, the authors introduce and study the classes $S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$, $S_{c,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$ and $S_{sc,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$ consisting of analytic functions with negative coefficients defined by using Aouf et al derivative operator. These classes are respectively, n - ω - λ - l -starlike with respect to symmetric points, n - ω - λ - l -starlike with respect to conjugate points and n - ω - λ - l -starlike with respect to symmetric conjugate points. Properties such as coefficient estimates, distortion theorem, extreme points, radius theorem, e.t.c and the consequences of the parametrics involved are discussed.*

Keywords: *analytic functions, univalent functions, coefficient bounds, growth theorem, distortion theorems, radii of starlikeness, convexity, close-to-convexity, Aouf operator.*

1 Introduction

Let $A(\omega)$ denote the class of function of the form

$$f(z) = (z - \omega) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k (z - \omega)^k \quad a_k \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

which are analytic and univalent in the open unit disc $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$, and normalized with $f(\omega) = 0$ and $f'(\omega) - 1 = 0$, where ω is a fixed point in U . $S(\omega) \subset A(\omega)$ denote the class of analytic and univalent functions. [1,2,4,5].

Definition 1.1 (5). Let $f(z)$ be defined by (1) and ω is a fixed point in U , for a function $f(z) \in S(\omega)$ we define $I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l) : A(\omega) \rightarrow A(\omega)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\omega}^0(\lambda, l)f(z) &= f(z) \\ I_{\omega}^1(\lambda, l)f(z) &= I_{\omega}(\lambda, l)f(z) \\ &= I_{\omega}^0(\lambda, l)f(z) \frac{1-\lambda+l}{1+l} + (I_{\omega}^0(\lambda, l)f(z))' \frac{\lambda(z-\omega)}{1+l} \\ &= (z - \omega) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right) a_k (z - \omega)^k, \\ I_{\omega}^2(\lambda, l)f(z) &= I_{\omega}^1(\lambda, l)f(z) \frac{1-\lambda+l}{1+l} + (I_{\omega}^1(\lambda, l)f(z))' \frac{\lambda(z-\omega)}{1+l} \\ &= (z - \omega) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right)^2 a_k (z - \omega)^k \end{aligned}$$

and in general

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(z) &= I_{\omega}(I_{\omega}^{n-1}(\lambda, l)f(z)) \\ &= (z - \omega) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right)^n a_k (z - \omega)^k \quad n \in N_0, \lambda \geq 0, l \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Definition 1.2. Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (1), then $f(z) \in S_{n,l,\lambda}^*(\omega)$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{I_{\omega}^{n+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)}{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(z)} > 0 \quad n \in N_0, \lambda \geq 0, l \geq 0, (z \in U) \quad (3)$$

and $S_{n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)$ denote the class of ω - n - λ - l -starlike function.

Definition 1.3. Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (1) and $S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)$ be the subclass of $A(\omega)$ consisting of functions of the form (1) satisfying

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{I_{\omega}^{n+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)}{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(z) - I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(-z)} > 0 \quad n \in N_0, \lambda \geq 0, l \geq 0, (z \in U). \quad (4)$$

These classes of functions are called starlike with respect to symmetric points, and ω is a fixed point in U .

Definition 1.4. Let $f(z)$ be defined by (1). Then the class $S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$ of functions $f(z) \in S(\omega)$ if it satisfies the following condition :

$$\left| \frac{I_{\omega}^{n+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)}{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(z) - I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(-z)} - 1 \right| < \beta \left| \frac{\alpha I_{\omega}^{n+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)}{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(z) - I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(-z)} + 1 \right|, \quad (5)$$

for some $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1, l \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0$ and $z \in U$, and ω is a fixed point in U . We denote the class of n - λ - l -starlike with respect to symmetric points by $S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$.

Let $T(\omega)$ denote the subclass of $S(\omega)$ consisting of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = (z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k (z - \omega)^k \quad (a_k \geq 0). \quad (6)$$

Definition 1.5. Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (6). Then $f(z)$ is said to be ω - n - λ - l -starlike with respect to symmetric points if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\left| \frac{I_{\omega}^{n+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)}{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(z) - I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(-z)} - 1 \right| < \beta \left| \frac{\alpha I_{\omega}^{n+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)}{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(z) - I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(-z)} + 1 \right|, \quad (7)$$

where $n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1, l \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0, 0 \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)}{1+\alpha\beta} < 1$, and $z \in U$. We denote the class of ω - n - λ - l -starlike with respect to symmetric points by $S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$, ω is a fixed point in U .

Definition 1.6. Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (6). Then $f(z)$ is said to be ω - n - λ - l -starlike with respect to conjugate points if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\left| \frac{I_{\omega}^{n+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)}{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(z) + \overline{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(\bar{z})}} - 1 \right| < \beta \left| \frac{\alpha I_{\omega}^{n+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)}{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(z) + \overline{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(\bar{z})}} + 1 \right|, \quad (8)$$

where $n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1, l \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0, 0 \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)}{1+\alpha\beta} < 1$, and $z \in U$, and ω is a fixed point in U . We denote the class of ω - n - λ - l -starlike with respect to conjugate points by $S_{c,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$.

Definition 1.7. Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (6). Then $f(z)$ is said to be ω - n - λ - l -starlike with respect to symmetric conjugate points if it satisfies the following condition :

$$\left| \frac{I_{\omega}^{n+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)}{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(z) - \overline{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(-\bar{z})}} - 1 \right| < \beta \left| \frac{\alpha I_{\omega}^{n+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)}{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(z) - \overline{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(-\bar{z})}} + 1 \right|, \quad (9)$$

where $n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1, l \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0, 0 \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)}{1+\alpha\beta} < 1$, and $z \in U$, and ω is a fixed point in U . We denote the class of ω - n - λ - l -starlike with respect to symmetric conjugate points by $S_{sc,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$.

2 Coefficient estimates

Let all the parameters remain as earlier defined except otherwise defined. Then we state and proof the following.

Theorem 2.1. *Let function $f(z)$ be defined by (6) and $I_\omega^n(\lambda, l)f(z) - I_\omega^n(\lambda, l)f(-z) \neq 0$ for $z \neq 0$. Then $f(z) \in S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) (1 + \alpha\beta) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right] (10)$$

$$a_k(r+d)^{k-1} \leq \beta(\alpha+2) - 1$$

Proof: Using Definiton , (6) and (2) , that is

$$\left| \frac{I_\omega^{n+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)}{I_\omega^n(\lambda, l)f(z) - I_\omega^n(\lambda, l)f(-z)} - 1 \right| < \beta \left| \frac{\alpha I_\omega^{n+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)}{I_\omega^n(\lambda, l)f(z) - I_\omega^n(\lambda, l)f(-z)} + 1 \right|$$

$$f(z) = (z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + 1}{1+l} \right)^n a_k(z - \omega)^k$$

and

$$f(z) = -(z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + 1}{1+l} \right)^n a_k(-1)^k(z - \omega)^k$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + 1}{1+l} \right)^{n+1} a_k(z - \omega)^k - (z - \omega) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + 1}{1+l} \right)^n \\ & \quad a_k(z - \omega)^k - (z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + 1}{1+l} \right)^n (-1)^k a_k(z - \omega)^k| \\ & < \beta |\alpha(z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \alpha \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + 1}{1+l} \right)^{n+1} a_k(z - \omega)^k + (z - \omega) \\ & \quad - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + 1}{1+l} \right)^n a_k(z - \omega)^k + (z - \omega) \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + 1}{1+l} \right)^n (-1)^k a_k(z - \omega)^k| \end{aligned}$$

which readily gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| -(z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) - 1 + (-1)^k \right] a_k (z - \omega)^k \right| \\ & < \beta \left| (\alpha + 2)(z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\alpha \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) + 1 - (-1)^k \right] \right| \\ & \cdot |a_k (z - \omega)^k| \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} & |-(z - \omega)| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} - 1 + (-1)^k \right] a_k |(z - \omega)^k| \\ & \leq \beta |(\alpha + 2)(z - \omega)| - \\ & - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\alpha \beta \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) + \beta - \beta(-1)^k \right] a_k |(z - \omega)^k| \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\begin{aligned} & |(z - \omega)| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) - 1 + (-1)^k \right] a_k |(z - \omega)^k| \\ & + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\alpha \beta \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) + \beta - \beta(-1)^k \right] a_k |(z - \omega)^k| \\ & \leq \beta(\alpha + 2) |(z - \omega)| \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) (1 + \alpha\beta) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right] \\ & \cdot a_k |(z - \omega)^k| \leq \beta(\alpha + 2) |(z - \omega)| - |(z - \omega)| \end{aligned}$$

Let $|(z - \omega)| = r + d$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) (1 + \alpha\beta) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right] \\ & \cdot a_k (r + d)^{k-1} \leq \beta(\alpha + 2) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the maximum modulus theorem, we have $f \in S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$.

For the converse, we assume that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\frac{I_{\omega}^{n+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)}{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(z) - I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(-z)} - 1}{\frac{I_{\omega}^{n+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)}{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(z) - I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(-z)} + 1} \right| = \\ &= \left| \frac{(z - \omega) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right) - 1 + (-1)^k \right] a_k (z - \omega)^k}{(\alpha + 2)(z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\alpha \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right) + 1 - (-1)^k \right] a_k (z - \omega)^k} \right| \\ &< \beta \end{aligned}$$

Since $|R_e z| < |(z - \omega)|$ for all z , we have

$$R_e \left\{ \frac{(z - \omega) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right) - 1 + (-1)^k \right] a_k (z - \omega)^k}{(\alpha + 2)(z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\alpha \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right) + 1 - (-1)^k \right] a_k (z - \omega)^k} \right\} < \beta \quad (11)$$

Choose values of z on the real axis so that $\frac{I_{\omega}^{n+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)}{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(z) - I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(-z)}$ is real and $I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(z) - I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(-z) \neq 0$ for $z \neq \omega$. Upon clearing the denominator in (11) and letting $(z) \rightarrow (r + d)$ through real values, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) - 1 + (-1)^k \right] \\ & \leq \beta(\alpha + 2) - \beta \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\alpha \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) + 1 - (-1)^k \right] a_k \end{aligned}$$

This gives the required condition.

Corollary 2.2. *Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (6) be in the class $S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$. Then we have*

$$a_k \leq \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right) (1 + \alpha\beta) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right] (r + d)^{k-1}} \quad (12)$$

$(k \geq 2, n \in N_0, l \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0).$

The equality in (12) is attained for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= (z - \omega) - \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right) (1 + \alpha\beta) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right] (r + d)^{k-1}} \\ &\cdot (z - \omega)^k \quad (k \geq 2, n \in N_0, l \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Theorem 2.3. *Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (6). Then $f(z) \in S_{c,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} (1 + \alpha\beta) + 2(\beta - 1) \right] a_k (r+d)^{k-1} \quad (14)$$

$$\leq \beta(\alpha + 2) - 1$$

Proof: Using Definition and (2), we have:

$$\left| \frac{I_{\omega}^{n+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)}{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(z) + \overline{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(\bar{z})}} - 1 \right| < \beta \left| \frac{\alpha I_{\omega}^{n+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)}{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(z) + \overline{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(\bar{z})}} + 1 \right|$$

and we let

$$\overline{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(\bar{z})} = (z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + 1}{1+l} \right)^n a_k (z - \omega)^k$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + 1}{1+l} \right)^{n+1} a_k (z - \omega)^k - (z - \omega) \right. \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + 1}{1+l} \right)^n a_k (z - \omega)^k - (z - \omega) \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + 1}{1+l} \right)^n a_k (z - \omega)^k \right| \\ & < \beta \left| \alpha (z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \alpha \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + 1}{1+l} \right)^{n+1} a_k (z - \omega)^k \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + 1}{1+l} \right)^n a_k (z - \omega)^k + (z - \omega) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + 1}{1+l} \right)^n a_k (z - \omega)^k \right| \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| - (z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) - 2 \right] a_k (z - \omega)^k \right| \\ & < \beta |(\alpha + 2)(z - \omega)| \\ & \quad - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\alpha \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) + 2 \right] a_k (z - \omega)^k \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(z - \omega)| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) - 2 \right] a_k |(z - \omega)^k| \\
& \leq \beta(\alpha + 2) |(z - \omega)| - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) \alpha\beta + 2\beta \right] \\
& \quad \cdot a_k |(z - \omega)^k|
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) (1 + \alpha\beta) + 2(\beta - 1) \right] a_k |(z - \omega)^k| \\
& \leq \beta(\alpha + 2) |(z - \omega)| - |(z - \omega)|
\end{aligned}$$

let $|z - \omega| = r + d$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) (1 + \alpha\beta) + 2(\beta - 1) \right] a_k (r + d)^{k-1} \\
& \leq \beta(\alpha + 2) - 1
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.4. *Let function $f(z)$ defined by (6) be in the class $S_{c,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$. Then we have*

$$a_k \leq \frac{\beta(\alpha + 2) - 1}{\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[(1 + \alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) + 2(\beta - 1) \right] (r + d)^{k-1}} \quad (15)$$

$(k \geq 2, n \in N_0, \lambda \geq 0, l \geq 0.)$

The equality in (15) is attained for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
f(z) &= (z - \omega) - \\
& \quad - \frac{\beta(\alpha + 2) - 1}{\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[(1 + \alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) + 2(\beta - 1) \right] (r + d)^{k-1}} (z - \omega)^k \\
& \quad (k \geq 2, n \in N_0, \lambda \geq 0, l \geq 0.)
\end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Theorem 2.5. *Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (6) be in the class $S_{sc,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[(1 + \alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right] \\
& \quad \cdot a_k (r + d)^{k-1} \leq [\beta(\alpha + 2) - 1]
\end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Proof: Using definition 1.7, we have,

$$\left| \frac{I_{\omega}^{n+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)}{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(z) - \overline{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(-\bar{z})}} - 1 \right| < \beta \left| \frac{\alpha I_{\omega}^{n+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)}{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(z) - \overline{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(-\bar{z})}} + 1 \right|,$$

here

$$\overline{I_{\omega}^n(\lambda, l)f(-\bar{z})} = -(z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n a_k (-1)^k (z - \omega)^k$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^{n+1} a_k (z - \omega)^k - (z - \omega) \\ & + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n a_k (z - \omega)^k - (z - \omega) \\ & - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n a_k (-1)^k (z - \omega)^k - \beta |\alpha (z - \omega)| \\ & - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \alpha \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^{n+1} a_k (z - \omega)^k \\ & + (z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n a_k (z - \omega)^k + (z - \omega) \\ & + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n a_k (-1)^k (z - \omega)^k < 0 \end{aligned}$$

which readily yields

$$\begin{aligned} & |-(z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) - 1 + (-1)^k \right] \\ & \cdot a_k (z - \omega)^k| - \beta |(\alpha + 2)(z - \omega)| \\ & - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\alpha \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) + 1 - (-1)^k \right] a_k (z - \omega)^k| < 0 \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & |(z - \omega)| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} - 1 + (-1)^k \right] \\ & \cdot a_k |(z - \omega)^k| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\alpha \beta \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) + \beta - \beta (-1)^k \right] \\ & \cdot a_k |(z - \omega)^k| - \beta (\alpha + 2) |(z - \omega)| < 0 \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[(1 + \alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right] \cdot a_k |(z - \omega)^k| - [\beta(\alpha + 2)|(z - \omega)| - |(z - \omega)|] < 0$$

Let $|(z - \omega)| = r + d$.

Hence

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[(1 + \alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right] \cdot a_k (r + d)^{k-1} - [\beta(\alpha + 2) - 1] < 0$$

Corollary 2.6. *Let function $f(z)$ defined by (6) be in the class $S_{sc,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$. Then we have*

$$a_k \leq \frac{\beta(\alpha + 2) - 1}{\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[(1 + \alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right] (r + d)^{k-1}} \quad (18)$$

$(k \geq 2, n \in N_0, \lambda \geq 0, l \geq 0).$

The equality in (18) is attained for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$f(z) = (z - \omega) - \frac{\beta(\alpha + 2) - 1}{\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[(1 + \alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right] (r + d)^{k-1}} (z - \omega)^k$$

$(k \geq 2, n \in N_0, \lambda \geq 0, l \geq 0).$

(19)

3 Distortion theorem

Theorem 3.1. *Let function $f(z)$ defined by (6) be in the class $S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |(z - \omega)| - \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1 + \lambda + l}{1+l} \right)^{n+1-i} (1 + \alpha\beta)(r + d)} |z - \omega|^2 &\leq |I_{\omega}^i(\lambda, l)f(z)| \\ &\leq |(z - \omega)| + \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1 + \lambda + l}{1+l} \right)^{n+1-i} (1 + \alpha\beta)(r + d)} |z - \omega|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

for $z \in U$, where $0 \leq i \leq n$, and ω is a fixed point in U . The result is sharp.

Proof: Note that $f(z) \in S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$, if and only if $I_\omega^i(\lambda, l)f(z) \in S_{s,n-i,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$ and

$$I_\omega^i(\lambda, l)f(z) = (z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda + l}{1 + l} \right)^i a_k (z - \omega)^k \quad (21)$$

Using Theorem 2.1, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1 + \lambda + l}{1 + l} \right)^{n+1-i} (1 + \alpha\beta)(r + d) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + 1}{1 + l} \right)^i a_k \\ & \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1 + l} \right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1 + l} \right) (1 + \alpha\beta) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right] \\ & \cdot a_k (r + d)^{k-1} \leq \beta(2 + \alpha) - 1 \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

That is, that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1 + l} \right)^i a_k \leq \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1 + \lambda + l}{1 + l} \right)^{n+1-i} (1 + \alpha\beta)(r + d)} \quad (23)$$

It follows from (21) and (23) that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_\omega^i(\lambda, l)f(z)| & \geq |z - \omega| - |z - \omega|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1 + l} \right)^i a_k \\ & \geq |z - \omega| - \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1 + \lambda + l}{1 + l} \right)^{n+1-i} (1 + \alpha\beta)(r + d)} |z - \omega|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |I_\omega^i(\lambda, l)f(z)| & \leq |z - \omega| + |z - \omega|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1 + l} \right)^i a_k \\ & \leq |z - \omega| + \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1 + \lambda + l}{1 + l} \right)^{n+1-i} (1 + \alpha\beta)(r + d)} |z - \omega|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Finally, we note that the equality in (20) is attained by the function

$$I_\omega^i(\lambda, l)f(z) = (z - \omega) - \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1 + \lambda + l}{1 + l} \right)^{n+1-i} (1 + \alpha\beta)(r + d)} (z - \omega)^2 \quad (26)$$

or by

$$f(z) = (z - \omega) - \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right)^{n+1} (1 + \alpha\beta)(r + d)} (z - \omega)^2 \quad (27)$$

Corollary 3.1: Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (6) be in the class $S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(z - \omega)| - \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right)^{n+1} (1 + \alpha\beta)(r + d)} |z - \omega|^2 &\leq |f(z)| \leq \\ |(z - \omega)| + \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right)^{n+1} (1 + \alpha\beta)(r + d)} |z - \omega|^2 &\end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

for $z \in U$, where ω is a fixed point in U . The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by (27).

Proof: Taking $i = 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we can easily show (28).

Corollary 3.2. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (6) be in the class $S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{2\beta(2 + \alpha) - 2}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right)^{n+1} (1 + \alpha\beta)(r + d)} |z - \omega| &\leq |f'(z)| \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{2\beta(2 + \alpha) - 2}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right)^{n+1} (1 + \alpha\beta)(r + d)} |z - \omega| \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

for $z \in U$, where ω is a fixed point in U . The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ giving by (27)

Theorem 3.3. Let the function be defined by (6) be in the class $S_{c,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(z - \omega)| - \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right)^{n-i} \left[\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right) \alpha\beta + \frac{\lambda+(2\beta-1)(1+l)}{1+l} \right] (r + d)} |z - \omega|^2 &\leq |I_\omega^i(\lambda, l)f(z)| \\ &\leq |(z - \omega)| + \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right)^{n-i} \left[\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right) \alpha\beta + \frac{\lambda+(2\beta-1)(1+l)}{1+l} \right] (r + d)} |z - \omega|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

for $z \in U$, where $0 \leq i \leq n$, and ω is a fixed point in U . The result is sharp,

for the function $f(z)$ giving by

$$I_{\omega}^i(\lambda, l)f(z) = (z - \omega) - \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right)^{n-i} \left[\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right) \alpha\beta + \frac{\lambda+(2\beta-1)(1+l)}{1+l} \right] (r+d)} |z - \omega|^2 \quad (31)$$

or by

$$f(z) = (z - \omega) - \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right) \alpha\beta + \frac{\lambda+(2\beta-1)(1+l)}{1+l} \right] (r+d)} (z - \omega)^2 \quad (32)$$

Corollary 3.4. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (6) be in the class $S_{c,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |z - \omega| - \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right) \alpha\beta + \frac{\lambda+(2\beta-1)(1+l)}{1+l} \right] (r+d)} |z - \omega|^2 &\leq |f(z)| \\ &\leq |z - \omega| + \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right) \alpha\beta + \frac{\lambda+(2\beta-1)(1+l)}{1+l} \right] (r+d)} |z - \omega|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

for $z \in U$, where ω is a fixed point in U . The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by (32).

Corollary 3.5. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (6) be in the class $S_{c,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{2\beta(2 + \alpha) - 2}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right) \alpha\beta + \frac{\lambda+(2\beta-1)(1+l)}{1+l} \right] (r+d)} |z - \omega| &\leq f'(z) \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{2\beta(2 + \alpha) - 2}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right) \alpha\beta + \frac{\lambda+(2\beta-1)(1+l)}{1+l} \right] (r+d)} |z - \omega| \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

for $z \in U$, and ω is a fixed point in U . The result is sharp for the function given by (32).

Theorem 3.6. Let function $f(z)$ be defined by (6) be in the class $S_{sc,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |z - \omega| - \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right)^{n+1-i} (1 + \alpha\beta)(r+d)} |z - \omega|^2 &\leq |I_{\omega}^i(\lambda, l)f(z)| \\ &\leq |z - \omega| + \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l}\right)^{n+1-i} (1 + \alpha\beta)(r+d)} |z - \omega|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

for $z \in U$. where $0 \leq i \leq n$, and ω is a fixed point in U . The result is sharp.

4 Extreme points

Theorem 4.1. *The class $S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$ is closed under convex linear combination.*

Proof: Let the functions

$$f_j(z) = (z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,j}(z - \omega)^k \quad (a_{k,j} \geq 0; j = 1, 2)$$

be in the class $S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$ and ω is a fixed point in U . It is sufficient to show that the function $h(z)$ defined by

$$h(z) = \lambda f_1(z) + (1 - \lambda)f_2(z) \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1) \quad (36)$$

is in the class $S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$. Since for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$,

$$h(z) = (z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\lambda a_{k,1} + (1 - \lambda)a_{k,2}](z - \omega)^k$$

With the aid of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1 + l} \right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1 + l} \right) (1 + \alpha\beta) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k](r + d)^{k-1} \right] \cdot [\lambda a_{k,1} + (1 - \lambda)a_{k,2}] \leq \beta(\alpha + 2) - 1$$

which implies that $h(z) \in S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$. As a consequence of Theorem 2.1, there exist extreme points of the class $S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $f_1(z) = (z - \omega)$ and*

$$f_k(z) = (z - \omega) - \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1 + l} \right)^n \left[(1 + \alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1 + l} \right) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right] (r + d)^{k-1}} (z - \omega)^k \quad (37)$$

for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, l \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0, 0 < \beta \leq 1, n \in N_o$, and ω is a fixed point in U . Then $f(z)$ is in the class $S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k f_k(z) \quad (38)$$

where $\lambda_k > 0 \quad (k > 1)$ and

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k = 1$$

Proof: Suppose that

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k f_k(z) = (z - \omega) - \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+1}{1+l}\right)^n \left[(1 + \alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l}\right) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k]\right] (r + d)^{k-1}} \cdot \lambda_k (z - \omega)^k, \quad (39)$$

then we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+1}{1+l}\right)^n \left[(1 + \alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l}\right) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k]\right] (r + d)^{k-1}}{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1} \times \\ & \times \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+1}{1+l}\right)^n \left[(1 + \alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l}\right) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k]\right] (r + d)^{k-1}} \lambda_k \\ & = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k = 1 - \lambda_1 \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

By virtue of Theorem 2.1, this shows that $f(z) \in S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$.

On the other hand, suppose that the function $f(z)$ defined by (6) is in the class $S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$. Again, by using Theorem 2.1, we can show that

$$a_k \leq \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+1}{1+l}\right)^n \left[(1 + \alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l}\right) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k]\right] (r + d)^{k-1}} \quad (k \geq 2, n \in N_0) \quad (41)$$

setting

$$\lambda_k = \frac{\left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+1}{1+l}\right)^n \left[(1 + \alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l}\right) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k]\right] (r + d)^{k-1}}{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1} \quad (k \geq 2, n \in N_0) \quad (42)$$

and

$$\lambda_1 = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k \quad (43)$$

We can see that $f(z)$ can be expressed in the form (38). This completes the proof of Theorem 4.2.

Corollary 4.3. *The extreme points of the class $S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$ are the function $f_k(z)$ ($k \geq 1$) given by Theorem 4.2.*

Theorem 4.4. *Let $f_1(z) = (z - \omega)$ and*

$$f_k(z) = (z - \omega) - \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+1}{1+l}\right)^n \left[(1 + \alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l}\right) + 2(\beta - 1)\right] (r + d)^{k-1}} (z - \omega)^k \quad (k \geq 2)$$

for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $l \geq 0$ and $0 < \beta \leq 1$ and $n \in N_0$, where ω is a fixed point in U . Then $f(z)$ is in the class $S_{c,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k f_k(z)$$

where $\lambda_k > 0$ ($k > 1$) and

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k = 1$$

Corollary 4.5. *The extreme points of the class $S_{c,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$ are the function $f_k(z)$ ($k > 1$) given by Theorem 4.4.*

Theorem 4.6. *Let $f_k(z) = (z - \omega)$ and*

$$f_k(z) = (z - \omega) - \frac{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+1}{1+l}\right)^n \left[(1 + \alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l}\right) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k]\right] (r + d)^{k-1}} (z - \omega)^k \quad (k \geq 2)$$

for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $l \geq 0$ and $0 < \beta \leq 1$ and $n \in N_0$, where ω is a fixed point in U . Then $f(z)$ is in the class $S_{sc,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k f_k(z)$$

where $\lambda_k > 0$ ($k > 1$) and

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k = 1$$

Corollary 4.7. *The extreme points of the class $S_{sc,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$ are the functions $f_k(z)$ ($k > 1$) given by Theorem 4.6.*

5 Radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity

Theorem 5.1. *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (6) be in the class $S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$. Then $f(z)$ is ω - n - λ - l -close-to-convex of order δ ($0 < \delta < 1$) in $|z - \omega| < r_1$: where*

$$r_1 = \inf_k \left[\frac{(1 - \delta) \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + 1}{1+l} \right)^{n-1} \left[(1 + \alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right] (r + d)^{k-1}}{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}}$$

($k \geq 2$) (43*)

The result is sharp with the extremal function given by (13).

Proof: for ω - n - λ - l -close-to-convexity it is sufficient to show that

$$|f'(z) - 1| \leq 1 - \delta$$

for $|z - \omega| < r_1$. we have

$$|f'(z) - 1| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) a_k |z - \omega|^{k-1}.$$

Thus

$$|f'(z) - 1| \leq 1 - \delta$$

if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{(1+l)(1-\delta)} \right) a_k |z - \omega|^{k-1} \leq 1 \quad (44)$$

According to Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + 1}{1+l} \right)^n \left[(1 + \alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right]}{\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1} (r + d)^{k-1} a_k \leq 1 \quad (45)$$

Hence (41) will be true if

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{(1+l)(1-\delta)} \right) |(z-\omega)|^{k-1} \leq \\ & \leq \frac{\left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[(1+\alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right) + (\beta-1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right]}{\beta(2+\alpha) - 1} (r+d)^{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

Or if

$$\begin{aligned} & |(z-\omega)| < \\ & < \left[\frac{(1-\delta) \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right)^{n-1} \left[(1+\alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right) + (\beta-1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right] (r+d)^{k-1}}{\beta(2+\alpha) - 1} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \\ & (k > 2) \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

The theorem follows from (46)

Theorem 5.2. *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (6) be in the class $S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$. Then $f(z)$ is starlike of order δ ($0 \leq \delta \leq 1$) in $|z-\omega| < r_2$, where*

$$\begin{aligned} r_2 = \inf_k & \left[\frac{(1-\delta) \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[(1+\alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right) + (\beta-1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right] (r+d)^{k-1}}{\left(\frac{\lambda(k-1)+(1+l)(1-\delta)}{1+l} \right) [\beta(2+\alpha) - 1]} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \\ & (k \geq 2) \quad (46*) \end{aligned}$$

The result is sharp with the extremal function given by (13) and r_2 attains its infimum for $k = 2$

Proof: it is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{(z-\omega)f'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \delta \quad \text{for} \quad |z-\omega| < r_2.$$

We have

$$\left| \frac{(z-\omega)f'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda(k-1)}{1+l} \right) a_k |z-\omega|^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k |z-\omega|^{k-1}}$$

Thus

$$\left| \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \delta$$

if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda(k-1) + (1+l)(1-\delta)}{(1+l)(1-\delta)} \right) a_k |z - \omega|^{k-1} \leq 1 \quad (47)$$

Hence by using (45) and (47) will be true if

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\lambda(k-1) + (1+l)(1-\delta)}{(1+l)(1-\delta)} \right) |z - \omega|^{k-1} \\ & \leq \frac{\left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+1}{1+l} \right)^n \left[(1+\alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right) + (\beta-1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right] (r+d)^{k-1}}{\beta(2+\alpha) - 1} \end{aligned}$$

$(k \geq 2)$ or if

$$|z - \omega| < \left[\frac{(1-\delta) \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+1}{1+l} \right)^n \left[(1+\alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right) + (\beta-1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right] (r+d)^{k-1}}{\left(\frac{\lambda(k-1) + (1+l)(1-\delta)}{1+l} \right) [\beta(2+\alpha) - 1]} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (48)$$

The theorem follows easily from (48)

Remarks: It is clear that r_2 attains its infimum at $k=2$ for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$f(z) = (z - \omega) - \frac{\beta(2+\alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l} \right)^{n+1} (1+\alpha\beta)(r+d)^{k-1}} (z - \omega)^2$$

Also, we have

$$\left| \frac{(z - \omega)f'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| = |(z - \omega)| \left| \frac{\beta(2+\alpha) - 1}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l} \right)^{n+1} (1+\alpha\beta)(r+d) - [\beta(2+\alpha) - 1]z - \omega} \right|$$

Then

$$\frac{[\beta(2+\alpha) - 1] |(z - \omega)|}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l} \right)^{n+1} (1+\alpha\beta)(r+d) - [\beta(2+\alpha) - 1] |(z - \omega)|} \leq 1 - \delta$$

that is, we have

$$(2 - \delta)[\beta(2+\alpha) - 1] |(z - \omega)| \leq (1 - \delta) \left[\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l} \right)^{n+1} (1+\alpha\beta)(r+d) \right]$$

Then we have

$$|(z - \omega)| \leq \frac{(1 - \delta) \left[\left(\frac{1+\lambda+l}{1+l} \right)^{n+1} (1+\alpha\beta)(r+d) \right]}{(2 - \delta)[\beta(2+\alpha) - 1]}$$

Corollary 5.3. *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (6) be in the class $S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$. Then $f(z)$ is convex of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$) in $|z - \omega| < r_3$ where*

$$r_3 = \inf_k \left[\frac{(1 - \delta) \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + 1}{1+l} \right)^{n-1} \left[(1 + \alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k(r + d)^{k-1}] \right]}{\left(\frac{\lambda(k-1) + (1+l)(1-\delta)}{(1+l)(1-\delta)} \right) [\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1]} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}}$$

$(k \geq 2) \quad (48^*)$

The result is sharp with the extreme function given by (13).

6 Integral operators

Theorem 6.1. *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (6) be in the class $S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$ and c be a real number such that $c > -1$. Then the function $F(z)$ defined by*

$$F(z) = \frac{c+1}{(z-\omega)^c} \int_{\omega}^z (t-\omega)^{c-1} f(t) dt \quad (49)$$

also belongs to the class $S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$.

Proof: From the representation of $F(z)$, it follows that

$$F(z) = (z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k (z - \omega)^k \quad (50)$$

where

$$b_k = \left(\frac{(c+1)(1+l)}{\lambda(k-1) + (c+1)(1+l)} \right) a_k \quad (51)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) (1 + \alpha\beta) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right] \\ & \cdot (r + d)^{k-1} b_k = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \\ & \cdot \left[\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) (1 + \alpha\beta) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right] \\ & \cdot (r + d)^{k-1} \left(\frac{(c+1)(1+l)}{\lambda(k-1) + (c+1)(1+l)} \right) a_k \\ & \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^n \left[\left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) (1 + \alpha\beta) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right] \\ & \cdot (r + d)^{k-1} a_k \leq \beta(2 + \alpha) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(z) \in S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$. Hence, by Theorem 2.1, $F(z) \in S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 6.2. *Let c be a real number such that $c > -1$. If $F(z) \in S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$, then the function $F(z)$ defined by (49) is univalent in $|z - \omega| < r^*$, where*

$$r^* = \inf_k \left[\frac{\left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+1}{1+l} \right)^{n-1} \left[(1+\alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right) (\beta-1) [1 - (-1)^k] \right] (r+d)^{k-1}}{\left(\frac{\lambda(k-1)+(c+1)(1+l)}{(c+1)(1+l)} \right) [\beta(2+\alpha) - 1]} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}}$$

($k \geq 2$) (52*)

The result is sharp.

Proof:

Let $F(z) = (z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k (z - \omega)^k$ ($a_k \geq 0$) It follows from (49) that

$$f(z) = \frac{(z - \omega)^{1-c} [(z - \omega)^c F(z)]'}{c+1} = (z - \omega) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda(k-1) + (c+1)(1+l)}{(c+1)(1+l)} \right) a_k (z - \omega)^k$$

($c > -1$)

(52)

In order to obtain the required result it suffices to show that $|f'(z) - 1|$ in $|z - \omega| < r^*$. Now

$$|f'(z) - 1| < \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) \left(\frac{\lambda(k-1) + (c+1)(1+l)}{(c+1)(1+l)} \right) a_k |z - \omega|^{k-1}$$

Thus

$$|f'(z) - 1| < 1$$

if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) \left(\frac{\lambda(k-1) + (c+1)(1+l)}{(c+1)(1+l)} \right) a_k |z - \omega|^{k-1} < 1 \quad (53)$$

Hence by using (49), (54) will be satisfied if

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(k-1) + l}{1+l} \right) \left(\frac{\lambda(k-1) + (c+1)(1+l)}{(c+1)(1+l)} \right) |z - \omega|^{k-1} \\ & < \frac{\left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+1}{1+l} \right)^n \left[(1+\alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right) + (\beta-1) [1 - (-1)^k] \right] (r+d)^{k-1}}{[\beta(2+\alpha) - 1]} \end{aligned}$$

that is, if

$$|z - \omega| < \left[\frac{\left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+1}{1+l} \right)^{n-1} \left[(1 + \alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right) (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right] (r + d)^{k-1}}{\left(\frac{\lambda(k-1)+(c+1)(1+l)}{(c+1)(1+l)} \right) [\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1]} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (k \geq 2) \quad (54)$$

Therefore $F(z)$ is univalent in $|z - \omega| < r^*$. Sharpness follows if we take

$$f(z) = (z - \omega) - \frac{\left(\frac{\lambda(k-1)+(c+1)(1+l)}{(c+1)(1+l)} \right) [\beta(2 + \alpha) - 1]}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+1}{1+l} \right)^{n-1} \left[(1 + \alpha\beta) \left(\frac{1+\lambda(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right) + (\beta - 1)[1 - (-1)^k] \right] (r + d)^{k-1}} (z - \omega)^k \quad (k \geq 2 : n \in N_0 : c > -1)$$

Conclusively, with various choices of all the parameters involved the results in [6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17] could be obtained and some other new ones could be derived.

7 Open Problem

The authors suggest to study the properties of Hadamard product for two functions from the classes $S_{s,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$, $S_{c,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$ and $S_{sc,n,\lambda,l}^*(\omega)T(\omega, \alpha, \beta)$.

References

- [1] S.Kanas, F.Ronning, Uniformly starlike and convex functions and other related classes of univalent functions, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska*, section A 53, 95-105, (1999).
- [2] M.Acu, S.Owa, On some subclasses of univalent functions, *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol 6, Issue 3. Article 70, (2005),1-14.
- [3] M.K. Aouf, R.M. El- Ashwali, S.M. El-Deeb, Certain classes of univalent functions with negative coefficients and n-starlike with respect to certain points, *Malematnkn bectink*,62.3(2010), (2010), 215-226.
- [4] A.T Oladipo, On subclasses of analytic and univalent functions, *Advances in Applied Mathematical Analysis*, (2009), 87-93.

- [5] M.K. Aouf, A. Shamanchy, A.O. Mustafa, M. Madia, A subclass of M-W starlike functions, *Acta Universitatis Apulensis* 23,(2010), 135-142.
- [6] J. Dziok, On the convex combination of the Dziok-Srivastava operator, *Appl. Math. Comput*, 188 (2006), 1214-1220.
- [7] R.M. El Ashwah, D.K. Thomas, Some subclasses of close-to-convex functions, *J. Ramauujau. Math.Soc.*, 2(1987), 86-100.
- [8] S.A. Halim, A. Janteng, M. Darus , Coefficient properties for classes with negative coefficient and starlike with respect to other points, *Proceeding of The 13th Math.sci.Nat.Symposium, UEM*. 2 (2005), 658-663.
- [9] S.A. Halim, A. Janteng, M. Darus, Classes with negative coefficient and starlike with respect to other points, *Internat. Math. Forum*, 2 (2007), No.46, 2261-2268.
- [10] S. Owa, Z. Wu. F. Ren, A note on certain subclass of Sakaguchi functions, *Bull. Soc. Roy. luige* 57 (1988), 113-150.
- [11] M.S Robertson, Applications of the subordination principle to univalent functions, *Pacific.J. Math.*, 11 (1961), 315-324.
- [12] K. Sakaguchi: On certain univalent mapping, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*. 11 (1959), 72-75.
- [13] G.S. Sălăgean, Subclass of univalent functions, *Lecture Notes. Math,1013. Springer Verlag*, Berlin, (1983), 362-372.
- [14] J. Sokol, Some class remarks on the class of functions starlike with respect to symmetric points, *Folia Scient. Univ.Tech. Resovicnsis* 73 (1990) , 79-89.
- [15] J. Stankiewicz, Some remarks on functions starlike with respect to symmetric points, *Ann. univ.Marie Curie Sklodowska*, 19 (1965), 53-59.
- [16] K.V.Sudbursan. P. Balasubrahmananyam. K.G. Subramanian, On functions starlike with respect to symmetric and conjugate points, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 2 (1998), 57-68.
- [17] Z. Wu, On classes of Sakaguchi functions and Hadamard products, *Sci. Sinica Ser. A* 30 (1987), 128-135.