

Certain Differential Inequalities of Meromorphic Functions Associated With Integral Operators

V. G. Gupta* and Bhavna Sharma**

*Department of Mathematics, University of Rajasthan

e-mail: Email: vgguptaur@rediffmail.com

**Department of Mathematics, Sri Balaji College of Engineering

e-mail: vallabhi_2007@yahoo.com

Abstract

The main object of the present paper is to derive certain differential inequalities for two integral operators P_β^α and Q_β^α which are introduced by Lashin [1].

Keywords: Meromorphic univalent function, Integral operators.

1 Introduction

Let Σ denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

which are analytic in the punctured unit disk $U^* = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\} = U \setminus \{0\}$, with a simple pole at the origin.

For the function $f(z) \in \Sigma$, given by (1.1) and $g(z) \in \Sigma$ defined by

$$(1.2) \quad g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad z \in U^*$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is given by

$$(1.3) \quad (f * g)(z) := \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k =: (g * f)(z).$$

Analogous to the operators defined by Jung, Kim and Srivastava [2] on the analytic functions Lashin [1] defines the following integral operators $P_{\beta}^{\alpha}, Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$:

$$(1.4) \quad P_{\beta}^{\alpha} = P_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z) = \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{1}{z^{\beta+1}} \int_0^z t^{\beta} \left(\log \frac{z}{t} \right)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt \quad (\alpha > 0, \beta > 0; z \in U^*)$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} = Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta + \alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{1}{z^{\beta+1}} \int_0^z t^{\beta} \left(1 - \frac{t}{z} \right)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt \quad (\alpha > 0, \beta > 0; z \in U^*),$$

where $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is the familiar Gamma function. Using the integral representation of the Gamma and Beta functions given by (1.4) and (1.5), it can be shown that

$$P_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta}{k + \beta + 1} \right)^{\alpha} a_k z^k, \quad (\alpha > 0, \beta > 0)$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{\Gamma(\beta + \alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma(k + \beta + \alpha + 1)} a_k z^k, \quad (\alpha > 0, \beta > 0).$$

It is easily verified from (1.6) and (1.7) (see [1])

$$(1.8) \quad z(P_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z))' = \beta(P_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z)) - (\beta + 1)(P_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z)) \quad (\alpha > 1, \beta > 0)$$

and

$$(1.9) \quad z(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z))' = (\beta + \alpha - 1)(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z)) - (\beta + \alpha)(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z)) \quad (\alpha > 1, \beta > 0).$$

Definition 1.1. Let H be the set of complex valued function $h(r, s, t) : C^3 \rightarrow C$ (C is the complex plane) such that:

- (i) $h(r, s, t)$ is continuous in a domain $D \subset C^3$,
- (ii) $(1, 1, 1) \in D$ and $|h(1, 1, 1)| < 1$,

$$(iii) \quad \left| h \left(e^{i\theta}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta} + e^{i\theta}, \frac{L + 2\beta e^{i\theta}}{\beta(1 + \frac{\beta}{\zeta} e^{i\theta})} + \frac{e^{i\theta}}{\beta} \right) \right| \geq 1,$$

whenever

$$\left| h \left(e^{i\theta}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta} + e^{i\theta}, \frac{L + 2\beta e^{i\theta}}{\beta(1 + \frac{\beta}{\zeta} e^{i\theta})} + \frac{e^{i\theta}}{\beta} \right) \right| \in D$$

with $\operatorname{Re}(L) > 0$ for real θ and for $\zeta \geq 1$.

Definition 1.2. Let G be the set of complex valued function $g(r, s, t): C^3 \rightarrow C$ (C is the complex plane) such that:

(i) $g(r, s, t)$ is continuous in a domain $D \subset C^3$,

(ii) $(1, 1, 1) \in D$ and $|g(1, 1, 1)| < 1$,

(iii)

$$\left| g \left(e^{i\theta}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta + \alpha - 2} + \frac{(\beta + \alpha - 1)e^{i\theta} - 1}{\beta + \alpha - 2}, \frac{1}{\beta + \alpha - 3} \left[\frac{(M - 1) + 2(\beta + \alpha - 1)e^{i\theta}}{1 + \left(\frac{e^{i\theta}(\beta + \alpha - 1)}{\zeta} - \frac{1}{\zeta} \right)} - (\beta + \alpha - 1) \right] e^{i\theta} \right) \right| \geq 1$$

whenever

$$\left| g \left(e^{i\theta}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta + \alpha - 2} + \frac{(\beta + \alpha - 1)e^{i\theta} - 1}{\beta + \alpha - 2}, \frac{1}{\beta + \alpha - 3} \left[\frac{(M - 1) + 2(\beta + \alpha - 1)e^{i\theta}}{1 + \left(\frac{e^{i\theta}(\beta + \alpha - 1)}{\zeta} - \frac{1}{\zeta} \right)} - (\beta + \alpha - 1) \right] e^{i\theta} \right) \right| \in D \text{ for } \zeta \geq 1.$$

with $\operatorname{Re}(M) > 1$ for real θ and for $\zeta \geq 1$.

2 Main Results

In proving our main result, we shall need the following lemma due to Miller and Mocanu [3].

Lemma 2.1 . Let $w(z) = a + w_n z^n + \dots$, be analytic in U with $w(z) \neq 0$ and $n \geq 1$.

If $z_0 = r_0 e^{i\theta}$ ($0 < r_0 < 1$) and $|w(z_0)| = \max_{|z| \leq r_0} |w(z)|$. Then

$$(2.1) \quad z_0 w'(z_0) = \zeta w(z_0),$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{z_0 w''(z_0)}{w'(z_0)} \right\} \geq \zeta, \text{ where } \zeta \geq 1 \text{ is a real number.}$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $h(r, s, t) \in H$ and $f \in \Sigma$ satisfy

$$(2.3) \quad \left(\left(\frac{(P_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z))^{(j)}}{(P_\beta^\alpha f(z))^{(j)}} \right), \left(\frac{(P_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z))^{(j)}}{(P_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z))^{(j)}} \right), \left(\frac{(P_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z))^{(j)}}{(P_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z))^{(j)}} \right) \right) \in D \subset C^3$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \left| h \left(\frac{(P_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z))^{(j)}}{(P_\beta^\alpha f(z))^{(j)}}, \frac{(P_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z))^{(j)}}{(P_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z))^{(j)}}, \frac{(P_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z))^{(j)}}{(P_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z))^{(j)}} \right) \right| < 1,$$

for all $z \in U; \alpha > 3, \beta > 0$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Then we have

$$\left| \frac{(P_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z))^{(j)}}{(P_\beta^\alpha f(z))^{(j)}} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in U).$$

Proof. Let

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{(P_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z))^{(j)}}{(P_\beta^\alpha f(z))^{(j)}} = w(z),$$

then it follows that $w(z)$ is analytic in U , $w(0) = 1$ and $w(z) \neq 1$. Differentiate

(2.5) logarithmically and with the aid of the identity

$$(2.6) \quad z(P_\beta^\alpha f(z))^{(j+1)} = \beta(P_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z))^{(j)} - (\beta + j + 1)(P_\beta^\alpha f(z))^{(j)} \quad (\alpha > 1, \beta > 0),$$

and making some simple calculation, we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{(P_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z))^{(j)}}{(P_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z))^{(j)}} = \frac{zw'(z)}{\beta w(z)} + w(z).$$

Again differentiate logarithmically and using (2.6), we easily get

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{(P_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z))^{(j)}}{(P_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z))^{(j)}} = \frac{\left(\frac{zw''(z)}{w'(z)} + 1\right) + 2\beta w(z)}{\beta \left(1 + \beta \frac{w(z)}{zw'(z)} \cdot w(z)\right)} + \frac{w(z)}{\beta}.$$

We claim that $|w(z)| < 1$ for $z \in U$. Otherwise there exist a point $z_0 \in U$ such that $\max_{|z| < |z_0|} |w(z)| = w(z_0) = 1$. Letting $w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}$ and using the Lemma 2.1 with

$a = n = 1$, we can see that

$$\frac{(P_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z_0))^{(j)}}{(P_\beta^\alpha f(z_0))^{(j)}} = e^{i\theta},$$

$$\frac{(P_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z_0))^{(j)}}{(P_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z_0))^{(j)}} = \frac{\zeta}{\beta} + e^{i\theta}$$

and

$$\frac{(P_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z_0))^{(j)}}{(P_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z_0))^{(j)}} = \frac{L + 2\beta e^{i\theta}}{\beta(1 + \frac{\beta}{\zeta} e^{i\theta})} + \frac{e^{i\theta}}{\beta} \quad \text{where } L = \frac{z_0 w''(z_0)}{w'(z_0)}$$

Since $h(r, s, t) \in H$, we have

$$\left| h \left(\frac{(P_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z_0))^{(j)}}{(P_\beta^\alpha f(z_0))^{(j)}}, \frac{(P_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z_0))^{(j)}}{(P_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z_0))^{(j)}}, \frac{(P_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z_0))^{(j)}}{(P_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z_0))^{(j)}} \right) \right|$$

$$= \left| h \left(e^{i\theta}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta} + e^{i\theta}, \frac{L + 2\beta e^{i\theta}}{\beta(1 + \frac{\beta}{\zeta} e^{i\theta})} + \frac{e^{i\theta}}{\beta} \right) \right| \geq 1$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(L) \geq 0$ and $\zeta \geq 1$.

This contradicts the condition (2.4) of the Theorem 2.1. Therefore we conclude that

$$\left| \frac{(P_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z_0))^{(j)}}{(P_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z_0))^{(j)}} \right| < 1, \quad (z \in U).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 2.1. Let $h(r, s, t) = s$ and $f \in \Sigma$ satisfy the condition in Theorem 2.1.

Then

$$(2.9) \quad \left| \frac{(P_{\beta}^{\alpha+i-1} f(z))^{(j)}}{(P_{\beta}^{\alpha+i} f(z))^{(j)}} \right| < 1, \quad (i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \alpha > 4, i, j \in N, z \in U).$$

Theorem 2.2. Let $g(r, s, t) \in G$ and $f \in \Sigma$ satisfy

$$(2.10) \quad \left(\frac{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z))^{(j)}}{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z))^{(j)}}, \frac{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-2} f(z))^{(j)}}{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z))^{(j)}}, \frac{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-3} f(z))^{(j)}}{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-2} f(z))^{(j)}} \right) \in D \subset C^3$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \left| g \left(\frac{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z))^{(j)}}{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z))^{(j)}}, \frac{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-2} f(z))^{(j)}}{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z))^{(j)}}, \frac{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-3} f(z))^{(j)}}{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-2} f(z))^{(j)}} \right) \right| < 1, \text{ for all } z \in U; \alpha, \beta > 0$$

for some $\alpha \in N$. Then we have

$$\left| \frac{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z))^{(j)}}{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z))^{(j)}} \right| < 1, \quad (j \in N, z \in U).$$

Proof. Let

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z))^{(j)}}{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z))^{(j)}} = w(z).$$

Obviously $w(z)$ is analytic in U , $w(0) = 1$ and $w(z) \neq 1$. With the aid of the identity

$$z(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z))^{(j+1)} = (\beta + \alpha - 1)(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z))^{(j)} - (\beta - j - 1)(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z))^{(j)} \quad (\alpha > 1, \beta > 0),$$

and proceed exactly same method describe in Theorem 2.1, we easily get

$$\frac{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-2} f(z))^{(j)}}{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z))^{(j)}} = \frac{zw'(z)}{(\beta + \alpha - 2)w(z)} + \frac{(\beta + \alpha - 1)w(z) - 1}{(\beta + \alpha - 2)},$$

and

$$\frac{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-3} f(z))^{(j)}}{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-2} f(z))^{(j)}} = \frac{1}{\beta + \alpha - 3} \left\{ \frac{\frac{zw''(z)}{w'(z)} + 2(\beta + \alpha - 1)w(z)}{1 + (\beta + \alpha - 1)\frac{(w(z))^2}{zw'(z)} - \frac{w(z)}{zw'(z)}} \right\} - (\beta + \alpha - 1)w(z).$$

We can see that

$$\frac{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z_0))^{(j)}}{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z_0))^{(j)}} = e^{i\theta},$$

$$\frac{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-2} f(z_0))^{(j)}}{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z_0))^{(j)}} = \frac{\zeta}{\beta + \alpha - 2} + \frac{(\beta + \alpha - 1)e^{i\theta} - 1}{(\beta + \alpha - 2)},$$

and

$$\frac{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-3} f(z_0))^{(j)}}{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-2} f(z_0))^{(j)}} = \frac{1}{\beta + \alpha - 3} \left\{ \frac{(M - 1) + 2(\beta + \alpha - 1)e^{i\theta}}{1 + \frac{(\beta + \alpha - 1)}{\zeta}e^{i\theta} - \frac{1}{\zeta}} \right\} - (\beta + \alpha - 1)e^{i\theta}$$

where $M = \frac{z_0 w''(z_0)}{w'(z_0)}$ and $\zeta \geq 1$.

Since $g(r, s, t) \in G$, we have

$$\left| g \left(\frac{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z_0))^{(j)}}{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z_0))^{(j)}}, \frac{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-2} f(z_0))^{(j)}}{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z_0))^{(j)}}, \frac{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-3} f(z_0))^{(j)}}{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-2} f(z_0))^{(j)}} \right) \right|$$

$$= \left| g \left(e^{i\theta}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta + \alpha - 2} + \frac{(\beta + \alpha - 1)e^{i\theta} - 1}{(\beta + \alpha - 2)}, \frac{1}{\beta + \alpha - 3} \left\{ \frac{(M - 1) + 2(\beta + \alpha - 1)e^{i\theta}}{1 + \frac{(\beta + \alpha - 1)}{\zeta}e^{i\theta} - \frac{1}{\zeta}} \right\} - (\beta + \alpha - 1)e^{i\theta} \right) \right| \geq 1,$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(M) \geq 1$.

This contradicts the condition (2.10) of the Theorem 2.2. Therefore we conclude that

$$\left| \frac{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z_0))^{(j)}}{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z_0))^{(j)}} \right| < 1, \quad (j \in N, z \in U).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 2.2. Let $g(r, s, t) = s$ and $f \in \Sigma$ satisfy the condition in Theorem 2.2.

Then

$$(2.13) \quad \left| \frac{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha+i-1} f(z))^{(j)}}{(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha+i} f(z))^{(j)}} \right| < 1, \quad (i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \alpha > 4, i, j \in N, z \in U).$$

3 An Open Problem

In this paper, we obtain some differential inequalities for the two integral operators $P_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z)$ and $Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z)$. Is it possible to generalize these results for meromorphic multivalent functions?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the referee for his/her valuable suggestions to improve our paper.

References

- [1] A.Y. Lashin, "On certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with certain integral operators", *Computer Math. Appl.*, 59(1)(2010), 524-531.
- [2] I.B. Jung, Y.C. Kim and H.M. Srivastava, "The Hardy Space of analytic functions associate with certain one-parameter families of integral operators", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 176(1) (1993), 138-147.
- [3] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, "Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 65 (1978), 289-305.