

A Unified Class of Uniformly Convex Functions Involving Cho-Kim Operator

Shigeyoshi Owa^{1,*}, G.Murugusundarmoorthy²,
Thomas Rosy³ and S. Kavitha³

^{1,*} Corresponding Author,
Department of Mathematics,
Kinki University
Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8502 Japan
e-mail:owa@math.kindai.ac.jp

²School of Advanced Sciences, VIT University
Vellore-632 014, India
e-mail:gmsmoorthy@yahoo.com

³Department of Mathematics, Madras Christian College
Tambaram, Chennai-600 059, India
e-mail:thomas.rosy@gmail.com;kavithass19@rediffmail.com

Abstract

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce a new class $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$, of functions which are analytic in the open disc $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. We obtain various results including characterization, coefficients estimates, distortion and covering theorems, radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity for functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$.

Keywords: *Analytic function, Starlike function, Convex function, Uniformly convex function, convolution product, Cho-Kim operator.*

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C45.

1 Introduction and Motivations

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

that are analytic in the open unit disc $\Delta := \{z \in \mathcal{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of univalent functions in Δ . By $\mathcal{K}(\beta)$, and $\mathcal{S}^*(\beta)$ respectively, we mean the classes of analytic functions that satisfy the analytic conditions

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \beta, \quad z \in \Delta$$

for $0 \leq \beta < 1$. In particular, $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(0)$ and $\mathcal{S}^* = \mathcal{S}^*(0)$ respectively, are the well-known standard class of convex and starlike functions.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{S}$ of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad a_n \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

that are analytic in the open unit disk Δ . This class was introduced and studied by Silverman [7]. Analogous to the subclasses $\mathcal{S}^*(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\beta)$ of $\mathcal{S}$ respectively, the subclasses of $\mathcal{T}$ denoted by $\mathcal{T}^*(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\beta)$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$, were also investigated by Silverman in [7].

The main class which we investigate in this present paper uses the operator known as the Cho-Kim operator. In fact, One important concept that is useful in discussing this operator is the convolution or Hadamard product. Here by convolution we mean the following: For $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in \mathcal{A}$, the Hadamard product(or convolution product) is given by $(f * g)(z) := z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n$.

For functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$, we recall the multiplier transformation $I(\lambda, k)$ introduced by Cho and Kim [3] defined as

$$I(\lambda, k)f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Psi_n a_n z^n \quad (\lambda \geq 0; k \in \mathcal{Z}) \quad (2)$$

where

$$\Psi_n := n \left(\frac{1 + \lambda}{n + \lambda} \right)^k \quad (3)$$

so that, obviously,

$$I(\lambda, k)(I(\lambda, m)f(z)) = I(\lambda, k + m)f(z) \quad (k, m \in \mathcal{Z}). \quad (4)$$

The operators $I(\lambda, k)$ are closely related to the Komatu integral operators [4] and also to the differential and integral operators investigated by Sălăgean [5]. We also note that $I(0, 0)f(z) = zf'(z)$ and $I(0, 1)f(z) = f(z)$. Now we define an unified class of analytic function based on this operator.

Definition 1.1 For $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$, $\alpha \geq 0$, and for all $z \in \Delta$, we let the class $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$, consists of functions $f \in \mathcal{T}$ is said to be in the class satisfying the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \right\} > \alpha \left| \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} - 1 \right| + \beta, \quad (5)$$

with,

$$F(z) := \gamma(1 + \lambda)I(\lambda, k)f(z) + (1 - \gamma(1 + \lambda))I(\lambda, k + 1)f(z), \quad (6)$$

where $I(\lambda, k)f(z)$ is the Cho-Kim operator as defined by (2)

The family $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$, unifies various well known classes of analytic univalent functions. We list a few of them. The class $\mathcal{UH}(0, \beta, \gamma, 0, 0)$ studied in [1]. Many classes including $\mathcal{UH}(0, \beta, 0, 0, 0)$ and $\mathcal{UH}(0, \beta, 1, 0, 0)$ given in [9], are particular cases of this class. Further that, the class $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, 1, 0, 0)$ is the class of α -uniformly convex of order β , was introduced and studied in [8] (also see [2]).

In this present paper, we obtain a characterization, coefficients estimates, distortion theorem and covering theorem, extreme points and radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity for functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$.

2 Characterization and Coefficient estimates

Theorem 2.1 Let $f \in \mathcal{T}$. Then $f \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $\alpha \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)] (\gamma(n - 1) + 1) \Psi_n |a_n| \leq 1 - \beta. \quad (7)$$

This result is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \beta}{[n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)][\gamma(n - 1) + 1]\Psi_n} z^n \quad n \geq 2. \quad (8)$$

Proof: We employ the technique adopted by [2]. We have $f \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$, if and only if the condition (5) is satisfied, which is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zF'(z)(1 + ke^{i\theta}) - F(z)ke^{i\theta}}{F(z)} \right\} > \beta, \quad -\pi \leq \theta < \pi. \quad (9)$$

Now, letting $G(z) = zF'(z)(1 + ke^{i\theta}) - F(z)ke^{i\theta}$, equation (9) is equivalent to

$$|G(z) + (1 - \beta)F(z)| > |G(z) - (1 + \beta)F(z)|, \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1.$$

where $F(z)$ is as defined in (6). Now a simple computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} & |G(z) + (1 - \beta)F(z)| \\ & \geq |(2 - \beta)z| - \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \{n + 1 - \beta\} \{\gamma(n - 1) + 1\} \Psi_n a_n z^n \right| \\ & \quad - \left| ke^{i\theta} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - 1) \{\gamma(n - 1) + 1\} \Psi_n a_n z^n \right| \\ & \geq (2 - \beta)|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \{n + 1 - \beta\} \{\gamma(n - 1) + 1\} \Psi_n a_n |z|^n \\ & \quad - k \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - 1) \{\gamma(n - 1) + 1\} \Psi_n a_n |z|^n \\ & \geq (2 - \beta)|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta) + 1 \right) \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1 \right) \Psi_n a_n |z|^n \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & |G(z) - (1 + \beta)F(z)| \\ & \leq \beta|z| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left((n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta) - 1) \right) \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1 \right) \Psi_n a_n |z|^n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & |G(z) + (1 - \beta)F(z)| - |G(z) - (1 + \beta)F(z)| \\ & \geq 2(1 - \beta)|z| - 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left((n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)) \right) \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1 \right) \Psi_n a_n |z|^n \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to the result (7).

On the other hand, for all $-\pi \leq \theta < \pi$, we must have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} (1 + ke^{i\theta}) - ke^{i\theta} \right\} > \beta.$$

Now, choosing the values of z on the positive real axis, where $0 \leq |z| = r < 1$, and using $\operatorname{Re} \{-e^{i\theta}\} \geq -|e^{i\theta}| = -1$, the above inequality can be written as

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \beta) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta) \right) \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1 \right) \Psi_n a_n r^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1 \right) \Psi_n a_n r^{n-1}} \right\} \geq 0.$$

Setting $r \rightarrow 1^-$, we get the desired result.

Many known results can be obtained as particular cases of Theorem 2.1. For details, we refer to the work of Shanmugam *et al.* [6].

By taking $\alpha = 0, \gamma = 0, \lambda = 0$ and $k = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, we get the following interesting result given in [7].

Corollary 2.2 *[7] If $f \in \mathcal{T}$, then $f \in \mathcal{C}(\beta)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n - \beta)a_n \leq 1 - \beta.$$

Indeed, since $f \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$, (7), we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta) \right) \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1 \right) \Psi_n a_n \leq 1 - \beta.$$

Hence for all $n \geq 2$, we have

$$a_n \leq \frac{1 - \beta}{\left(n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta) \right) \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1 \right) \Psi_n},$$

whenever $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. Hence we state this important observation as a separate theorem.

Theorem 2.3 *If $f \in \mathcal{UH}(q, s, \lambda, \beta, k)$, then*

$$a_n \leq \frac{1 - \beta}{\left(n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta) \right) \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1 \right) \Psi_n}, \quad n \geq 2, \quad (10)$$

where $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. Equality in (10) holds for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \beta}{\left(n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta) \right) \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1 \right) \Psi_n}. \quad (11)$$

This theorem also contains many known results for the special values of the parameters. For example, see the works of Shanmugam *et al.* [6].

3 Distortion and Covering Theorems

Theorem 3.1 *If $f \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$, then $f \in \mathcal{T}^*(\delta)$, where*

$$\delta = 1 - \frac{1 - \beta}{\left(2(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta) \right) \left(\gamma + 1 \right) \Psi_2 - (1 - \beta)}.$$

This result is sharp with the extremal function being

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \beta}{\left(2(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)\right) (\gamma + 1) \Psi_2} z^2.$$

Proof: It is sufficient to show that (7) implies $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \delta) a_n \leq 1 - \delta$ [7], that is,

$$\frac{n - \delta}{1 - \delta} \leq \frac{\left(n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)\right) (\gamma(n - 1) + 1) \Psi_n}{1 - \beta}, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (12)$$

Since, for $n \geq 2$, (12) is equivalent to

$$\delta \leq 1 - \frac{(n - 1)(1 - \beta)}{\left(n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)\right) (\gamma(n - 1) + 1) \Psi_n - (1 - \beta)} = \Phi(n),$$

and $\Phi(n) \leq \Phi(2)$, (12) holds true for any $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. This completes the proof of the Theorem 3.1.

As in the previous cases we note this result has many special cases. If we take By taking $\alpha = 0, \gamma = 0, \lambda = 0$ and $k = 0$ in Theorem 3.1, then we have the following result of Silverman [7].

Corollary 3.2 [7] If $f \in \mathcal{C}(\beta)$, then $f \in \mathcal{T}^*\left(\frac{2}{3 - \beta}\right)$. The result is sharp for the extremal function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \beta}{2(2 - \beta)} z^2.$$

Remark. Since distortion theorem and covering theorem are available for the class $\mathcal{T}^*(\beta)$ [7], we can also obtain the corresponding results for the class $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$, from the respective results of $\mathcal{T}^*(\beta)$ by using Theorem 3.1, and we state them without proof.

Theorem 3.3 Let Ψ_n be defined as in (3). Then, for $f \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$, with $z = re^{i\theta} \in \Delta$, we have

$$r - B(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)r^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq r + B(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)r^2, \quad (13)$$

where,

$$B(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) := \frac{1 - \beta}{\left(2(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)\right) (\gamma + 1) \Psi_2}.$$

Theorem 3.4 If $f \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$, then for $|z| = r < 1$

$$1 - B(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)r \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + B(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)r, \quad (14)$$

where $B(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ as in Theorem 3.3.

Note that in Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 equality holds for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \beta}{\left(2(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)\right) \left(\gamma + 1\right) \Psi_2} z^2.$$

4 Extreme points of the class $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$,

Theorem 4.1 Let $f_1(z) = z$ and

$$f_n(z) = z - \frac{1 - \beta}{\left(n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)\right) \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1\right) \Psi_n} z^n, \quad n \geq 2$$

and Ψ_n be as defined in (3). Then $f \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$, if and only if it can be represented in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n f_n(z), \quad \mu_n \geq 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n = 1. \quad (15)$$

Proof: Suppose $f(z)$ can be written as in (15). Then

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n \left\{ \frac{1 - \beta}{\left(n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)\right) \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1\right) \Psi_n} \right\} z^n.$$

Now,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n \frac{\left(n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)\right) \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1\right) \Psi_n (1 - \beta)}{(1 - \beta) \left(n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)\right) \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1\right) \Psi_n} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n = 1 - \mu_1 \leq 1.$$

Thus $f \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$. Conversely, let us have $f \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$. Then by using (10), we may write

$$\mu_n = \frac{\left(n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)\right) \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1\right) \Psi_n}{1 - \beta} a_n, \quad n \geq 2,$$

and $\mu_1 = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n$. Then $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n f_n(z)$, with $f_n(z)$ is as in the Theorem.

Corollary 4.2 *The extreme points of $f \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$, are the functions $f_1(z) = z$ and*

$$f_n(z) = z - \frac{1 - \beta}{\left(n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)\right) \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1\right) \Psi_n} z^n, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Remark. As in earlier theorems, we can deduce known results for various other classes and we omit details.

Theorem 4.3 *The class $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$ is a convex set.*

Proof: Let the function

$$f_j(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,j} z^n, \quad a_{n,j} \geq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (16)$$

be the class $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$. It sufficient to show that the function $g(z)$ defined by

$$g(z) = \mu f_1(z) + (1 - \mu) f_2(z), \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq 1,$$

is in the class $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$. Since

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\mu a_{n,1} + (1 - \mu) a_{n,2}] z^n,$$

an easy computation with the aid of Theorem 2.1 gives,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta) \right) \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1 \right) \Psi_n [\mu a_{n,1} + (1 - \mu) a_{n,2}] \\ & + (1 - \mu) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)) (\gamma(n - 1) + 1) \Psi_n \\ & \leq \mu(1 - \beta) + (1 - \mu)(1 - \beta) \\ & \leq 1 - \beta, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $g \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$. Hence $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$ is convex.

5 Modified Hadamard products

For functions of the form (16), we define the modified Hadamard product as

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,1} a_{n,2} z^n. \quad (17)$$

Theorem 5.1 If $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{UH}(q, s, \lambda, \beta, k)$, $j = 1, 2$, then

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in \mathcal{UH}(q, s, \lambda, \beta, k, \xi),$$

where

$$\xi = \frac{(2 - \beta) \left(2(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta) \right) \left(\gamma + 1 \right) \Psi_2 - 2(1 - \beta)^2}{(2 - \beta) \left(2(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta) \right) \left(\gamma + 1 \right) \Psi_2 - (1 - \beta)^2},$$

with Ψ_n be defined as in (3).

Proof: Since $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{UH}(q, s, \lambda, \beta, k)$, $j = 1, 2$, we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta) \right) \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1 \right) \Psi_n a_{n,j} \leq 1 - \beta, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (18)$$

The Cauchy-Schwartz inequality leads to

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left(n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta) \right) \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1 \right) \Psi_n a_{n,j}}{1 - \beta} \sqrt{a_{n,1} a_{n,2}} \leq 1. \quad (19)$$

Note that we need to find the largest ξ such that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left(n(k + 1) - (k + \xi) \right) \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1 \right) \Psi_n a_{n,j}}{1 - \xi} a_{n,1} a_{n,2} \leq 1. \quad (20)$$

Therefore, in view of (19) and (20), whenever

$$\frac{n - \xi}{1 - \xi} \sqrt{a_{n,1} a_{n,2}} \leq \frac{n - \beta}{1 - \beta}, \quad n \geq 2$$

holds, then (20) is satisfied. We have, from (19),

$$\sqrt{a_{n,1} a_{n,2}} \leq \frac{1 - \beta}{\left(n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta) \right) \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1 \right) \Psi_n}, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (21)$$

Thus, if

$$\left(\frac{n - \xi}{1 - \xi} \right) \left[\frac{1 - \beta}{\left(n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta) \right) \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1 \right) \Psi_n} \right] \leq \frac{n - \beta}{1 - \beta}, \quad n \geq 2,$$

or, if

$$\xi \leq \frac{(n - \beta) \left(n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta) \right) \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1 \right) \Psi_n - n(1 - \beta)^2}{(n - \beta) \left(n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta) \right) \left(\gamma(n - 1) + 1 \right) \Psi_n - (1 - \beta)^2}, \quad n \geq 2,$$

then (19) is satisfied. Note that the right hand side of the above expression is an increasing function on n . Hence, setting $n = 2$ in the above inequality gives the required result. Finally, by taking the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \beta}{(2 - \beta) (2(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)) (\gamma + 1) \Psi_2} z^2,$$

we see that the result is sharp.

6 Radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity

Theorem 6.1 *Let the function $f \in \mathcal{T}$ be in the class $\mathcal{UH}(q, s, \lambda, \beta, k)$. Then $f(z)$ is close-to-convex of order ρ , $0 \leq \rho < 1$ in $|z| < r_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$, where $r_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$*

$$= \inf_n \left[\frac{(1 - \rho) (n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)) (\gamma(n - 1) + 1) \Psi_n}{n(1 - \beta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2,$$

with Ψ_n be defined as in (3). This result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by (8).

Proof: It is sufficient to show that $|f'(z) - 1| \leq 1 - \rho$, $0 \leq \rho < 1$, for $|z| < r_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$, or equivalently

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n}{1 - \rho} \right) a_n |z|^{n-1} \leq 1. \quad (22)$$

By Theorem 2.1, (22) will be true if

$$\left(\frac{n}{1 - \rho} \right) |z|^{n-1} \leq \frac{(n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)) (\gamma(n - 1) + 1) \Psi_n}{1 - \beta}$$

or, if

$$|z| \leq \left[\frac{(1 - \rho) (n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)) (\gamma(n - 1) + 1) \Psi_n}{n(1 - \beta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (23)$$

The theorem follows easily from (23).

Theorem 6.2 *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) be in the class $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$. Then $f(z)$ is starlike of order ρ , $0 \leq \rho < 1$ in $|z| < r_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$, where*

$$r_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho) = \inf_n \left[\frac{(1-\rho) \left(n(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta) \right) \left(\gamma(n-1) + 1 \right) \Psi_n}{(n-\rho)(1-\beta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2,$$

with Ψ_n be defined as in (3). This result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by (8).

Proof: It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \rho, \text{ or equivalently } \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n-\rho}{1-\rho} \right) a_n |z|^{n-1} \leq 1, \quad (24)$$

for $0 \leq \rho < 1$, and $|z| < r_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$. Proceeding as in Theorem 6.1, with the use of Theorem 2.1, we get the required result. Hence, by Theorem 2.1, (24) will be true if

$$\left(\frac{n-\rho}{1-\rho} \right) |z|^{n-1} \leq \frac{\{n(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta)\} \{\gamma(n-1) + 1\} \Psi_n}{1-\beta}$$

or, if

$$|z| \leq \left[\frac{\{n(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta)\} \{\gamma(n-1) + 1\} \Psi_n}{(n-\rho)(1-\beta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (25)$$

The theorem follows easily from (25).

Theorem 6.3 *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) be in the class $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, k)$. Then $f(z)$ is convex of order ρ , $0 \leq \rho < 1$ in $|z| < r_3(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$, where*

$$r_3(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho) = \inf_n \left[\frac{(1-\rho) \left(n(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta) \right) \left(\gamma(n-1) + 1 \right) \Psi_n}{n(n-\rho)(1-\beta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}},$$

$n \geq 2$, with Ψ_n be defined as in (3). This result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by (8).

Proof: It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1 - \rho \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n(n-\rho)}{1-\rho} \right) a_n |z|^{n-1} \leq 1, \quad (26)$$

for $0 \leq \rho < 1$ and $|z| < r_3(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$. Proceeding as in Theorem 6.1, we get the required result.

7 Appendix

We use $r_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$ in Theorem 6.1 and $r_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$ in Theorem 6.2. If we consider $k = 0, \alpha = 0, \beta = 0$, and $\rho = 0$ for $r_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$ and $r_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$, then $\Psi_n = n$ and

$$r_1 = r_2 = (n(\gamma(n-1) + 1))^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \quad (n \geq 2).$$

Let

$$h(n) = (n(\gamma(n-1) + 1))^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \quad (n \geq 2).$$

Then we have that

$$h(2) = 2(1 + \gamma), \quad h(3) = (3(1 + 2\gamma))^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad h(4) = (4(1 + 3\gamma))^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

and

$$h(5) = (5(1 + 4\gamma))^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

This gives us that

$$h(2)^2 - h(3)^2 = 1 + 2\gamma + 4\gamma^2 > 0,$$

$$h(3)^6 - h(4)^6 = 11 + 66\gamma + 180\gamma^2 + 216\gamma^3 > 0,$$

and

$$h(4)^{12} - h(5)^{12} = 131 + 1572\gamma + 7824\gamma^2 + 19648\gamma^3 + 20736\gamma^4 > 0.$$

Thus, we see that

$$h(2) > h(3) > h(4) > h(5) > \dots$$

Therefore, if

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^p a_n z^n,$$

then we expect that

$$r_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho) = \left[\frac{(1-\rho) \left(p(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta) \right) \left(\gamma(p-1) + 1 \right) \Psi_p}{p(1-\beta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}},$$

and

$$r_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho) = \left[\frac{(1-\rho) \left(p(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta) \right) \left(\gamma(p-1) + 1 \right) \Psi_p}{(p-\rho)(1-\beta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

Similarly, letting $k = 0, \alpha = 0, \beta = 0$, and $\rho = 0$ in Theorem 6.3, we have that

$$r_3(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho) = (\gamma(n-1) + 1)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \quad (n \geq 2).$$

Thus, letting

$$g(n) = (\gamma(n-1) + 1)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \quad (n \geq 2),$$

we see that

$$g(2) = 1 + \gamma, \quad g(3) = (1 + 2\gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad g(4) = (1 + 3\gamma)^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

and

$$g(5) = (1 + 4\gamma)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

It follows from the above that

$$g(2) > g(3) > g(4) > g(5) > \dots$$

Therefore, if

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^p a_n z^n,$$

then we expect that

$$r_3(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho) = \left[\frac{(1-\rho) \left(p(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta) \right) \left(\gamma(p-1) + 1 \right) \Psi_p}{p(p-\rho)(1-\beta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

But, as we know in general, it is not so easy to consider the exact values for $r_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$, $r_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$, and $r_3(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$. Thus we leave this problem as our open question.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The authors would like to thank the referee for his useful comments.

References

- [1] O. Altıntaş, “On a subclass of certain starlike functions with negative coefficients”, *Math. Japon.*, 36 (3) (1991) 1–7.
- [2] E. Aqlan, J.M. Jahangiri and S.R. Kulkarni, “Classes of k - uniformly convex and starlike functions”, *Tamkang J. Math.*, 35 (3) (2004) 1–7.
- [3] N. E. Cho and T. H. Kim, “Multiplier transformations and strongly close-to-convex functions”, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 40(3) (2003), 399–410.
- [4] Y. Komatu, “Distortion theorems in relation to linear integral operators”, *Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston and London*, 1996.
- [5] G. Ş. Sălăgean, “Subclasses of univalent functions”, in *Complex analysis—fifth Romanian-Finnish seminar, Part 1 (Bucharest, 1981)*, 362–372, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1013, Springer, Berlin.

- [6] T.N. Shanmugam, S. Sivasubramanian AND M. Kamali, “On the unified class of k –uniformly convex functions associated with Sălăgean derivative”, *J. Approx. theory and Appl.* 1(2)(2005) 141–155.
- [7] H. Silverman, “Univalent functions with negative coefficients”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 51(1975) 109–116.
- [8] H.M. Srivastava, S. Owa AND S.K. Chatterjea, “A note on certain classes of starlike functions”, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ Padova*, 77(1987) 115–124.
- [9] H.M. Srivastava, M. Saigo AND S. Owa, “A class of distortion theorems involving certain operator of fractional calculus”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 131(1988) 412–420.