

Certain Subclasses of Starlike Harmonic Functions Associated With a Convolution Structure

Gangadharan. Murugusundaramoorthy

School of Advanced Sciences , VIT University, Vellore - 632014, India.
e-mail: gmsmoorthy@yahoo.com

Abstract

Making use of a convolution structure, we introduce a new class of complex valued harmonic functions which are orientation preserving and univalent in the open unit disc. Among the results presented in this paper include the coefficient bounds, distortion inequality and covering property, extreme points and certain inclusion results for this generalized class of functions.

Keywords: *Harmonic univalent functions, distortion bounds, extreme points, convolution, inclusion property.*

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): Primary 30C45, 30C50.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

A continuous function $f = u + iv$ is a complex-valued harmonic function in a complex domain \mathcal{G} if both u and v are real and harmonic in \mathcal{G} . In any simply-connected domain $D \subset \mathcal{G}$, we can write $f = h + \bar{g}$, where h and g are analytic in D . We call h the analytic part and g the co-analytic part of f . A necessary and sufficient condition for f to be locally univalent and orientation preserving in D is that $|h'(z)| > |g'(z)|$ in D (see [3]).

Denote by \mathcal{H} the family of functions

$$f = h + \bar{g} \tag{1.1}$$

which are harmonic, univalent and orientation preserving in the open unit disc $\mathcal{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ so that f is normalized by $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. Thus,

for $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}$, the functions h and g analytic \mathcal{U} can be expressed in the following forms:

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad (0 \leq b_1 < 1),$$

and $f(z)$ is then given by

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n + \overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n} \quad (0 \leq b_1 < 1). \quad (1.2)$$

We note that the family \mathcal{H} of orientation preserving, normalized harmonic univalent functions reduces to the well known class S of normalized univalent functions if the co-analytic part of f is identically zero, i.e. $g \equiv 0$.

For functions $f \in \mathcal{H}$ given by (1.1) and $F \in \mathcal{H}$ given by

$$F(z) = H(z) + \overline{G(z)} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n z^n + \overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n z^n}, \quad (1.3)$$

we recall the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and F by

$$(f * F)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n A_n z^n + \overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n B_n z^n} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}). \quad (1.4)$$

In terms of the Hadamard product (or convolution), we choose F as a fixed function in \mathcal{H} such that $(f * F)(z)$ exists for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$, and for various choices of F we get different linear operators which have been studied in recent past. To illustrate some of these cases which arise from the convolution structure (1.4), we consider the following examples.

(1) If

$$F(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n(\alpha_1) z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n(\alpha_1) \bar{z}^n \quad (1.5)$$

and $\sigma_n(\alpha_1)$ is defined by

$$\sigma_n(\alpha_1) = \frac{\Theta \Gamma(\alpha_1 + A_1(n-1)) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_p + A_p(n-1))}{(n-1)! \Gamma(\beta_1 + B_1(n-1)) \dots \Gamma(\beta_q + B_q(n-1))}. \quad (1.6)$$

where Θ is given by

$$\Theta = \left(\prod_{m=0}^p \Gamma(\alpha_m) \right)^{-1} \left(\prod_{m=0}^q \Gamma(\beta_m) \right) \quad (1.7)$$

and then the convolution (1.4) gives the Wright's generalized hypergeometric function (see [14])

$${}_p\Psi_q[(\alpha_1, A_1), \dots, (\alpha_p, A_p); (\beta_1, B_1), \dots, (\beta_q, B_q); z] = {}_p\Psi_q[(\alpha_n, A_n)_{1,p}(\beta_n, B_n)_{1,q}; z]$$

is defined by

$${}_p\Psi_q[(\alpha_n, A_n)_{1,p}(\beta_n, B_n)_{1,q}; z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \prod_{m=1}^p \Gamma(\alpha_m + nA_m) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{m=1}^q \Gamma(\beta_m + nB_m) \right\}^{-1} \frac{z^n}{n!} (z \in U)$$

which was initially studied by Murugusundaramoorthy and Vijaya (see [10]).

(2) If $A_m = 1 (m = 1, \dots, p)$ and $B_m = 1 (m = 1, \dots, q)$, then we have the following obvious relationship

$$F(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_n \bar{z}^n, \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$\Gamma_n = \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_q)_{n-1}} \frac{1}{(n-1)!},$$

then the convolution (1.4) gives the Dziok–Srivastava operator (see [5]):

$$\Lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q; z)f(z) \equiv \mathcal{H}_q^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z),$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q$ are positive real numbers, $p \leq q+1; p, q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and $(\alpha)_n$ denotes the familiar Pochhammer symbol (or shifted factorial).

Remark 1. When $p = 1, q = 1; \alpha_1 = a, \alpha_2 = 1; \beta_1 = c$, then (1.8) corresponds to the operator due to Carlson-Shaffer operator (see [2]) given by

$$\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z) := (f * F)(z),$$

where

$$F(z) := z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-1}}{(c)_{n-1}} z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-1}}{(c)_{n-1}} \bar{z}^n \quad (c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots). \quad (1.9)$$

Remark 2. When $p = 1, q = 0; \alpha_1 = n+1, \alpha_2 = 1; \beta_1 = 1$, then (1.8) yields the Ruscheweyh derivative operator (see [8]) given $D^k f(z) := (f * F)(z)$ where

$$F(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \binom{k+n-1}{n-1} z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{k+n-1}{n-1} \bar{z}^n. \quad (1.10)$$

which was initially studied by Jahangiri et al. (see [8]).

(3) Lastly, if $\mathcal{D}^l f(z) = f * F$ where

$$F(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^l z^n + (-1)^l \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^l \bar{z}^n \quad (l \geq 0), \quad (1.11)$$

which was initially studied by Jahangiri et al. (see [9]).

For the purpose of this paper, we introduce here a subclass of \mathcal{H} denoted by $S_H(F; \lambda, \gamma)$ which involves the convolution (1.4) and consist of all functions of the form (1.1) satisfying the inequality:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(f(z) * F(z))'}{(1 - \lambda)z + \lambda(f(z) * F(z))} \right\} \geq \gamma \quad (1.12)$$

Equivalently

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(h(z) * H(z))' - \overline{z(g(z) * G(z))'}}{(1 - \lambda)z + \lambda[h(z) * H(z) + \overline{g(z) * G(z)}]} \right\} \geq \gamma \quad (1.13)$$

where $z \in \mathcal{U}$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.

Also denote $\mathcal{T}_H(F; \lambda, \gamma) = S_H(F; \lambda, \gamma) \cap \mathcal{T}_H$ where \mathcal{T}_H the subfamily of \mathcal{H} consisting of harmonic functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n + \overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n} \quad (0 \leq b_1 < 1). \quad (1.14)$$

called the class of harmonic functions with negative coefficients (see [12])

We deem it proper to mention below some of the function classes which emerge from the function class $\mathcal{S}_H(F; \lambda, \gamma)$ defined above. Indeed, we observe that if we specialize the function F by means of (1.5) to (1.11), and denote the corresponding reducible classes of functions of $\mathcal{S}_H(F; \gamma)$, respectively, by $\mathcal{W}_q^p(\lambda, \gamma)$, $\mathcal{G}_q^p(\lambda, \gamma)$, $\mathcal{L}_c^a(\lambda, \gamma)$, $\mathcal{R}(k, \lambda, \gamma)$, $\Omega(\lambda, \gamma)$ and $\mathcal{S}(l, \lambda, \gamma)$.

It is of special interest because for suitable choices of F from (1.12) we can define the following subclasses:

(i) If F is given by (1.5) we have $(f * F)(z) = W_q^p[\alpha_1]f(z)$ hence we define a class $\mathcal{W}_q^p(\lambda, \gamma)$ satisfying the criteria

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(W_q^p[\alpha_1]f(z))'}{(1 - \lambda)z + \lambda W_q^p[\alpha_1]f(z)} \right\} \geq \gamma$$

where $W_q^p[\alpha_1]$ is the Wright's generalized operator on harmonic functions (see [10]) .

(ii) If F is given by (1.8) we have $(f * F)(z) = H_q^p[\alpha_1]f(z)$ hence we define a class $\mathcal{G}_q^p(\lambda, \gamma)$ satisfying the criteria

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(H_q^p[\alpha_1]f(z))'}{(1 - \lambda)z + \lambda H_q^p[\alpha_1]f(z)} \right\} \geq \gamma$$

where $H_q^p[\alpha_1]$ is the Dziok - Srivastava operator (see [5]).

(iii) $H_1^2([a, 1; c]) = \mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)$, hence we define a class $\mathcal{L}_c^a(\lambda, \gamma)$ satisfying the criteria

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)'}{(1 - \lambda)z + \lambda\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)} \right\} \geq \gamma$$

where $\mathcal{L}(a, c)$ is the Carlson - Shaffer operator (see [2]).

(iv) $H_1^2([k+1, 1; 1]) = D^k f(z)$, hence we define a class $\mathcal{R}(k, \lambda, \gamma)$ satisfying the criteria

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(D^k f(z))'}{(1-\lambda)z + \lambda D^k f(z)} \right\} \geq \gamma$$

where $D^k f(z)$ ($k > -1$) is the Ruscheweyh derivative operator (see [11]) (also see [8]).

(v) $H_1^2([2, 1; 2-\mu]) = \Omega_z^\mu f(z)$ we define another class $\Omega(\lambda, \gamma)$ satisfying the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(\Omega_z^\mu f(z))'}{(1-\lambda)z + \lambda \Omega_z^\mu f(z)} \right\} \geq \gamma$$

given by

$$\Omega_z^\mu f(z) = \Gamma(2-\mu) z^\mu D_z^\mu f(z); (0 \leq \mu < 1),$$

where Ω_z^μ is the Srivastava-Owa fractional derivative operator (see [13]).

(vi) If F is given by (1.11), we have $D^l f(z) = (f * F)(z)$, hence we define a class $\mathcal{S}(l, \lambda, \gamma)$ satisfying the criteria

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(D^l f(z))'}{(1-\lambda)z + \lambda D^l f(z)} \right\} \geq \gamma$$

where $D^l f(z)$; ($l \in N = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$) is the Sălăgean derivative operator for harmonic functions (see [9]).

Apart from the above said examples when $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda = 0$ would provide interesting extensions and generalizations of the subclasses considered earlier for simpler harmonic function classes (see [8, 9, 10] and [1]).

Motivated by the earlier works of (see [6, 9, 14]) on the subject of harmonic functions, in this paper we obtain a sufficient coefficient condition for functions f given by (1.2) to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$. It is shown that this coefficient condition is necessary also for functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$. Further, distortion results and extreme points for functions in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$ are also obtained.

For the sake of brevity we denote the corresponding coefficient of $F(z)$ as C_n throughout our study unless otherwise stated (C_n takes the values from (1.5) to (1.11)).

2 Coefficient bounds

In our first theorem, we obtain a sufficient coefficient condition for harmonic functions in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$.

Theorem 2.1 Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ be given by (1.2). If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n - \gamma\lambda}{1 - \gamma} |a_n| + \frac{n + \gamma\lambda}{1 - \gamma} |b_n| \right] C_n \leq 2 \quad (2.1)$$

where $a_1 = 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, then $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$.

Proof We first show that if (2.1) holds for the coefficients of $f = h + \bar{g}$, the required condition (2.1) is satisfied. From (1.13) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(h(z) * H(z))' - \overline{z(g(z) * G(z))'}}{(1 - \lambda)z + \lambda[h(z) * H(z) + \overline{g(z) * G(z)}]} \right\} \geq \gamma \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \frac{A(z)}{B(z)} \geq \gamma \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(z) &= z(h(z) * H(z))' - \overline{z(g(z) * G(z))'} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} nC_n a_n z^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nC_n \bar{b}_n \bar{z}^n \\ \text{and } B(z) &= (1 - \lambda)z + \lambda[h(z) * H(z) + \overline{g(z) * G(z)}] \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda C_n a_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda C_n \bar{b}_n \bar{z}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $\operatorname{Re} \{w\} \geq \gamma$ if and only if $|1 - \gamma + w| \geq |1 + \gamma - w|$, it suffices to show that

$$|A(z) + (1 - \gamma)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1 + \gamma)B(z)| \geq 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Substituting for $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ in (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |A(z) + (1 - \gamma)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1 + \gamma)B(z)| \\ &= | (2 - \gamma)z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n + (1 - \gamma)\lambda] C_n a_n z^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n - (1 - \gamma)\lambda] C_n \bar{b}_n \bar{z}^n | \\ &\quad - | -\gamma z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n - (1 + \gamma)\lambda] C_n a_n z^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n + (1 + \gamma)\lambda] C_n \bar{b}_n \bar{z}^n | \\ &\geq (2 - \gamma)|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n + (1 - \gamma)\lambda] C_n |a_n| |z|^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n - (1 - \gamma)\lambda] C_n |b_n| |z|^n \\ &\quad - \gamma|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n - (1 + \gamma)\lambda] C_n |a_n| |z|^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n + (1 + \gamma)\lambda] C_n |b_n| |z|^n \\ &\geq 2(1 - \gamma)|z| \left\{ 2 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n - \gamma\lambda}{1 - \gamma} |a_n| + \frac{n + \gamma\lambda}{1 - \gamma} |b_n| \right] C_n |z|^{n-1} \right\} \\ &\geq 2(1 - \gamma) \left\{ 2 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n - \gamma\lambda}{1 - \gamma} |a_n| + \frac{n + \gamma\lambda}{1 - \gamma} |b_n| \right] C_n \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The above expression is non negative by (2.1), and so $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$.

The harmonic function

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1-\gamma}{(n-\gamma\lambda)C_n} x_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-\gamma}{(n+\gamma\lambda)C_n} \bar{y}_n (\bar{z})^n \quad (2.3)$$

where $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |x_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_n| = 1$ shows that the coefficient bound given by (2.1) is sharp.

The functions of the form (2.3) are in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$ because

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(n-\gamma\lambda)C_n}{1-\gamma} |a_n| + \frac{(n+\gamma\lambda)C_n}{1-\gamma} |b_n| \right) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |x_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_n| = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Next theorem establishes that such coefficient bounds cannot be improved further.

Theorem 2.2 For $a_1 = 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n-\gamma\lambda}{1-\gamma} |a_n| + \frac{n+\gamma\lambda}{1-\gamma} |b_n| \right] C_n \leq 2. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof Since $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$, we only need to prove the "only if" part of the theorem. To this end, for functions f of the form (1.14), we notice that the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(h(z) * H(z))' - \overline{z(g(z) * G(z))'}}{(1-\lambda)z + \lambda[h(z) * H(z) + \overline{g(z) * G(z)}]} \right\} \geq \gamma$$

Equivalently,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma)z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-\gamma\lambda)C_n a_n z^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n+\gamma\lambda]C_n \bar{b}_n \bar{z}^n}{z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda C_n a_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda C_n \bar{b}_n \bar{z}^n} \right\} \geq 0.$$

The above required condition must hold for all values of z in U . Upon choosing the values of z on the positive real axis where $0 \leq z = r < 1$, we must have

$$\frac{(1-\gamma) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-\gamma\lambda)C_n a_n r^{n-1} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+\gamma\lambda)C_n b_n r^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda C_n a_n r^{n-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda C_n b_n r^{n-1}} \geq 0. \quad (2.5)$$

If the condition (2.4) does not hold, then the numerator in (2.5) is negative for r sufficiently close to 1. Hence, there exist $z_0 = r_0$ in $(0,1)$ for which the quotient of (2.5) is negative. This contradicts the required condition for $f \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

3 Distortion bounds and Extreme Points

The following theorem gives the distortion bounds for functions in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$ which yields a covering result for the class $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $f \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$. Then for $|z| = r < 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - b_1)r - \frac{1}{C_2} \left(\frac{1 - \gamma}{2 - \gamma\lambda} - \frac{1 + \gamma}{2 - \gamma\lambda} b_1 \right) r^2 &\leq |f(z)| \\ &\leq (1 + b_1)r + \frac{1}{C_2} \left(\frac{1 - \gamma}{2 - \gamma\lambda} - \frac{1 + \gamma}{2 - \gamma\lambda} b_1 \right) r^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof We only prove the right hand inequality. Taking the absolute value of $f(z)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &= \left| z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{b}_n \bar{z}^n \right| \\ &\leq (1 + b_1)|z| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (a_n + b_n)|z|^n \\ &\leq (1 + b_1)r + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (a_n + b_n)r^2 \\ &\leq (1 + b_1)r + \frac{(1 - \gamma)}{(2 - \gamma\lambda)C_2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(2 - \gamma\lambda)C_2}{(1 - \gamma)} a_n + \frac{(2 - \gamma\lambda)C_2}{(1 - \gamma)} b_n \right) r^2 \\ &\leq (1 + b_1)r + \frac{(1 - \gamma)1}{(2 - \gamma\lambda)C_2} \left(1 - \frac{1 + \gamma}{1 - \gamma} b_1 \right) r^2 \\ &\leq (1 + b_1)r + \frac{1}{C_2} \left(\frac{1 - \gamma}{2 - \gamma\lambda} - \frac{1 + \gamma}{2 - \gamma\lambda} b_1 \right) r^2. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the left hand inequality follows on lines similar to that of the right hand side inequality.

The covering result follows from the left hand inequality given in Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.2 *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$, then*

$$\left\{ w : |w| < \frac{(2 - \gamma\lambda)C_2 - (1 - \gamma)}{(2 - \gamma\lambda)C_2} - \frac{(2 - \gamma\lambda)C_2 - (1 + \gamma)}{(2 - \gamma\lambda)C_2} |b_1| \right\} \subset f(U).$$

Proof Using the left hand inequality of Theorem 3.1 and letting $r \rightarrow 1$, we prove that

$$(1 - b_1) - \frac{1}{C_2} \left(\frac{1 - \gamma}{2 - \gamma\lambda} - \frac{1 + \gamma}{2 - \gamma\lambda} b_1 \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (1 - b_1) - \frac{1}{C_2(2 - \gamma\lambda)}[1 - \gamma - (1 + \gamma)b_1] \\
 &= \frac{(1 - b_1)C_2(2 - \gamma\lambda) - (1 - \gamma) + (1 + \gamma)b_1}{C_2(2 - \gamma\lambda)} \\
 &= \left\{ \frac{(2 - \gamma\lambda)C_2 - (1 - \gamma)}{(2 - \gamma\lambda)C_2} - \frac{(2 - \gamma\lambda)C_2 - (1 + \gamma)}{(2 - \gamma\lambda)C_2} |b_1| \right\} \subset f(U).
 \end{aligned}$$

Next we determine the extreme points of closed convex hulls of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$ denoted by $clco\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$.

Theorem 3.3 *A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$ if and only if*

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (X_n h_n(z) + Y_n g_n(z)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_1(z) &= z, h_n(z) = z - \frac{1 - \gamma}{(n - \gamma\lambda)C_n} z^n; \quad (n \geq 2), g_n(z) = z + \frac{1 - \gamma}{(n + \gamma\lambda)C_n} \bar{z}^n; \\
 (n \geq 2), \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (X_n + Y_n) &= 1, \quad X_n \geq 0 \text{ and } Y_n \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the extreme points of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$ are $\{h_n\}$ and $\{g_n\}$.

Proof First, we note that for f as in the theorem above, we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (X_n h_n(z) + Y_n g_n(z)) \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (X_n + Y_n)z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \gamma}{(n - \gamma\lambda)C_n} X_n z^n \\
 &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \gamma}{(n + \gamma\lambda)C_n} Y_n \bar{z}^n
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n - \gamma\lambda)C_n}{1 - \gamma} |a_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n + \gamma\lambda)C_n}{1 - \gamma} |b_n| \\
 &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} X_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n \\
 &= 1 - X_1 \leq 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

and so $f(z) \in clco\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$.

Conversely, suppose that $f(z) \in clco\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$. Setting

$$X_n = \frac{(n - \gamma\lambda)C_n}{1 - \gamma} |a_n|, \quad (0 \leq X_n \leq 1, n \geq 2)$$

$$Y_n = \frac{(n + \gamma\lambda)C_n}{1 - \gamma} |b_n|, \quad (0 \leq Y_n \leq 1, n \geq 1)$$

and $X_1 = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} X_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n$. Therefore, $f(z)$ can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{b}_n \bar{z}^n \\ &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \gamma}{(n - \gamma\lambda)C_n} X_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \gamma}{(n - \gamma\lambda)C_n} Y_n \bar{z}^n \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (h_n(z) - z) X_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (g_n(z) - z) Y_n \\ &= z \left\{ 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} X_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n \right\} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} h_n(z) X_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(z) Y_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (X_n h_n(z) + Y_n g_n(z)) \text{ as required.} \end{aligned}$$

4 Inclusion Results

Now we show that $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$ is closed under convex combinations of its member and also closed under the convolution product.

Theorem 4.1 *The family $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$ is closed under convex combinations.*

Proof For $i = 1, 2, \dots$, suppose that $f_i \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$ where

$$f_i(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{i,n} z^n + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \bar{b}_{i,n} \bar{z}^n.$$

Then, by Theorem 2.2

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n - \gamma\lambda)C_n}{(1 - \gamma)} a_{i,n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n + \gamma\lambda)C_n}{(1 - \gamma)} b_{i,n} \leq 1. \quad (4.1)$$

For $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i = 1$, $0 \leq t_i \leq 1$, the convex combination of f_i may be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i f_i(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i a_{i,n} \right) z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i \bar{b}_{i,n} \right) \bar{z}^n.$$

Using the inequality (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n-\gamma\lambda)C_n}{1-\gamma} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i a_{i,n} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+\gamma\lambda)C_n}{1-\gamma} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i b_{i,n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n-\gamma\lambda)C_n}{1-\gamma} a_{i,n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+\gamma\lambda)C_n}{1-\gamma} b_{i,n} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i f_i \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$.

Now, we will examine the closure properties of the class $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$ under the generalized Bernardi-Libera -Livingston integral operator $\mathcal{L}_c(f)$ which is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_c(f) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt, c > -1.$$

Theorem 4.2 *Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$. Then $\mathcal{L}_c(f(z)) \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$*

Proof From the representation of $\mathcal{L}_c(f(z))$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_c(f) &= \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} [h(t) + \overline{g(t)}] dt. \\ &= \frac{c+1}{z^c} \left(\int_0^z t^{c-1} \left(t - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n t^n \right) dt + \overline{\int_0^z t^{c-1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n t^n \right) dt} \right) \\ &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{c+1}{c+n} a_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c+1}{c+n} b_n z^n. \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality (2.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(n-\gamma\lambda)}{1-\gamma} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+n} |a_n| \right) + \frac{n+\gamma\lambda}{1-\gamma} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+n} |b_n| \right) \right) C_n \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(n-\gamma\lambda)}{1-\gamma} |a_n| + \frac{n+\gamma\lambda}{1-\gamma} |b_n| \right) C_n \\ &\leq 2(1-\gamma), \text{ since } f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Theorem 2.2, $\mathcal{L}_c(f(z)) \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$.

Concluding Remarks:

For suitable choices of $F(z)$, as we pointed out the $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}(F; \lambda, \gamma)$ contains, various function class defined by linear operators such as the Carlson-Shaffer operator, the Ruscheweyh derivative operator, the Sălăgean operator, the fractional derivative operator, and so on. When $\lambda = 1$ the various results presented in this paper would provide interesting extensions and generalizations of those considered earlier for simpler harmonic function classes (see [8, 9, 10]). Also note that when $\lambda = 0$ would provide interesting extensions and generalizations to the class of Noshiro-type harmonic functions studied in [1]. The details involved in the derivations of such specializations of the results presented in this paper are fairly straight-forward, hence omitted.

Acknowledgements: The author express his sincerest thanks to the referee for his useful comments.

References

- [1] O.P.Ahuja and J.Jahangiri, "Noshiro-type harmonic univalent functions", *Sci.Math. Japonica.*, **56** No.1,(2002), 1-7.
- [2] B.C.Carlson and S.B.Shaffer, "Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions", *SIAM, J. Math. Anal.*, **15** (2002), 737 - 745.
- [3] J. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small, "Harmonic univalent functions", *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A.I. Math.*, **9** (1984) 3-25.
- [4] J.Dziok and Raina, "Families of analytic functions associated with the Wright's generalized hypergeometric function", *Demonstratio Math.*, **37** (2004), No.3,533-542.
- [5] J.Dziok and H.M.Srivastava, "Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function", *Integral Transform Spec. Funct.*, **14** (2003), 7 - 18.
- [6] J.M. Jahangiri and H. Silverman, "Harmonic univalent functions with varying arguments", *Internat. J. Appl. Math.*, **8**(2002), 267-275.
- [7] J.M.Jahangiri, "Harmonic functions starlike in the unit disc", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **235**(1999), 470-477.
- [8] J.M.Jahangiri, G.Murugusundaramoorthy and K.Vijaya, "Starlikeness of Ruscheweyh type harmonic univalent functions", *J.Indian Acad. Math.*, **26** (2004), 191-200.
- [9] J.M.Jahangiri, G.Murugusundaramoorthy and K.Vijaya, "Salagean-Type harmonic univalent functions", *Southwest J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **2** (2002), 77-82.

- [10] G.Murugusundaramoorthy and K.Vijaya, " A Subclass of harmonic functions associated with Wright hypergeometric functions", *Advanced Stud. Contemp. Math.*, **18** (2009), No.1 ,87-95.
- [11] S. Ruscheweyh,"New criteria for univalent functions", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 49 (1975), 109 -115.
- [12] H.Silverman," Harmonic univalent functions with negative coefficients", *J. Math.Anal.Appl.*, **220** (1998), 283-289.
- [13] H.M.Srivastava and S.Owa,"Some characterization and distortion theorems involving fractional calculus, generalized hypergeometric functions, Hadamard products, linear operators and certain subclasses of analytic functions", *Nagoya Math. J.*, **106** (1987), 1 - 28.
- [14] E.M.Wright,"The asymptotic expansion of the generalized hypergeometric function", *Proc. London. Math. Soc.*, 46 (1946), 389–408.