

Extensions of RT_0 Topological Spaces of Fuzzy Sets

K.C.Chattopadhyay, H.Hazra and S.K.Samanta

Department of Mathematics, The University of Burdwan, Burdwan-713104, India
e-mail: kcchattopadhyay2009@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, Bolpur College, Bolpur-731204, India
e-mail: h.hazra2010@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, Visva Bharati, Santiniketan-731235, India
e-mail: syamal_123@yahoo.co.in

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to study extensions of RT_0 topological spaces of fuzzy sets. We also construct RT_0 principal extensions of RT_0 topological spaces of fuzzy sets with the α -graded trace system for each α in $(0, 1]$.

Keywords: *Fuzzy topology, principal extension, remoted neighbourhood, RT_0 space, α -graded trace system.*

1 Introduction

In crisp topology, extension theory is a well developed theory (for references please see [2], [3],[9], [12], [19] and [20]). In fuzzy topology only some particular type of extensions such as compactifications, completions of fuzzy topological spaces and fuzzy uniform spaces have been studied in [15], [23], [24]. The fuzzyfication of general extension theory has been started by us in [5], where a concept of fuzzyfication of extensions of topological spaces of fuzzy sets is introduced and a method of construction of strongly T_0 principal extension of a strongly T_0 topological space of fuzzy sets is provided.

In this paper we study extension theory and provide a method of construction of RT_0 principal extension of an RT_0 topological space of fuzzy sets with the given α -graded trace system for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. In this setting for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, we find an RT_0 principal extension of an RT_0 topological space

(X, u) with the given α -graded trace system.

Chang [4] introduced the notion of fuzzy topological spaces. In this context it is worth noting that Chang's fuzzy topology is in fact a crisp topology of fuzzy sets. In this paper Chang's fuzzy topology will be referred to as topology of fuzzy sets. (X, u) will be called a topological space of fuzzy sets if X is a set and u is a Chang topology on it.

In Section 2, some known definitions and known results are given which will be used in the sequel.

In Section 3, a definition of RT_0 topological spaces of fuzzy sets is given. Some results concerning principal extensions have been established.

In Section 4, using the concepts and results of Section 3, we present a construction of RT_0 principal extension of RT_0 spaces with the given α -graded trace system.

2 Preliminaries

Let X be a nonempty set and Y be a nonempty subset of X . For a fuzzy set λ of Y , its *natural extension* $\lambda_{Y < X}$ is defined by $\lambda_{Y < X}(x) = \lambda(x)$ if $x \in Y$ and $\lambda_{Y < X}(x) = 0$ if $x \in X - Y$. When there is no chance of confusion, we shall use (for simplicity) the same symbol λ for $\lambda_{Y < X}$.

In what follows I will stand for $[0,1]$.

Definition 2.1 [14] *Let (X, u) be a topological space of fuzzy sets. Then (X, u) is called T_0 if for any pair of distinct points $x, y \in X, \exists \lambda \in u$ such that $\lambda(x) \neq \lambda(y)$.*

Definition 2.2 [4] *Let (X, u) and (Y, v) be two topological spaces of fuzzy sets. A mapping $\eta : (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ is said to be continuous if $\eta^{-1}(\lambda) \in u, \forall \lambda \in v$.*

Definition 2.3 [5] *Let (X, u) and (Y, v) be two topological spaces of fuzzy sets. A mapping $\eta : (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ is said to be closed if $\eta(\mu) \in v', \forall \mu \in u'$, where u' and v' are the families of closed sets in (X, u) and (Y, v) respectively.*

Definition 2.4 [11] *Let (X, u) and (Y, v) be two topological spaces of fuzzy sets. A mapping $\eta : (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ is said to be open if $\eta(\lambda) \in v, \forall \lambda \in u$.*

Definition 2.5 [11] *A mapping $\eta : (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ is said to be a homeomorphism if η is bijective, continuous and open (or closed).*

Definition 2.6 [25] *Let (X, u) be a topological space of fuzzy sets and λ be a fuzzy set in X . Then the closure of λ in (X, u) is defined by*

$$cl_u \lambda = \wedge \{ \mu \in u' : \mu \geq \lambda \}.$$

When there is no chance of confusion regarding the role of u , $cl_u \lambda$ will simply be denoted by $cl \lambda$.

Theorem 2.7 [16, 17] Let (X, u) and (Y, v) be two topological spaces of fuzzy sets and $\eta : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. Then $\eta : (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ is continuous if and only if

$$\eta(cl_u \lambda) \leq cl_v \eta(\lambda), \forall \lambda \in I^X.$$

Theorem 2.8 [5] For a bijective mapping $\eta : X \rightarrow Y, \eta : (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ is homeomorphism if and only if

$$\eta(cl_u \lambda) = cl_v \eta(\lambda), \forall \lambda \in I^X.$$

Definition 2.9 [25] Let (X, u) be a topological space of fuzzy sets and $A \subset X$. Let λ be a fuzzy set in X . Then λ_A is a fuzzy set in A defined by

$$\lambda_A(x) = \lambda(x), \forall x \in A.$$

Define $u_A = \{\lambda_A : \lambda \in u\}$. Then it is easily verified that u_A is a topology of fuzzy sets on A and (A, u_A) is called a subspace of (X, u) .

Definition 2.10 [1] A fuzzy stack S on X is a subset of I^X such that $\lambda \geq \mu \in S$ implies $\lambda \in S$.

Definition 2.11 [1] A fuzzy grill G on X is a fuzzy stack on X such that

- (i) $\tilde{0}_X \notin G$,
- (ii) $\lambda \vee \mu \in G \Rightarrow \lambda \in G$ or $\mu \in G$.

Remark 2.12 In this article fuzzy stacks and fuzzy grills as defined in [definitions 2.10 and 2.11] will be referred to as stacks of fuzzy sets and grills of fuzzy sets respectively.

A grill of fuzzy sets G is called proper if $G \neq \phi$.

Definition 2.13 [5] A grill G of fuzzy sets on a topological space (X, u) is said to be a c -grill of fuzzy sets if $cl \lambda \in G \Rightarrow \lambda \in G, \forall \lambda \in I^X$.

Definition 2.14 $\forall f \in I^X$, we define $Z(f)$ to be the subset $\{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$ of X which is called the zero-set of f in X .

Remark 2.15 Here it is important to note that the symbol $Z(f)$ has been used by Gillman and Jerison [13] for the zero-set of a real valued continuous function f on a topological space X . In this article we use the same symbol for the zero-set of an arbitrary element $f \in I^X$ for an arbitrary set X .

Definition 2.16 [5] Let (X, u) and (Y, v) be two topological spaces of fuzzy sets and $\eta : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. Then $(\eta, (Y, v))$ is said to be an embedding of (X, u) if $\eta : (X, u) \rightarrow (\eta(X), v_{\eta(X)})$ is a homeomorphism.

Definition 2.17 [5] Let (X, u) and (Y, v) be two topological spaces of fuzzy sets and $\eta : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. Then $(\eta, (Y, v))$ is said to be an extension of (X, u) if $(\eta, (Y, v))$ is an embedding and $cl_v \eta(\tilde{1}_X) = \tilde{1}_Y$ or equivalently $cl_v \tilde{1}_{\eta(X)} = \tilde{1}_Y$, subject to the assumption that $\tilde{1}_{\eta(X)}$ is the fuzzy set in Y satisfying $\tilde{1}_{\eta(X)}(y) = 1, \forall y \in \eta(X)$ and $\tilde{1}_{\eta(X)}(y) = 0, \forall y \in Y - \eta(X)$.

Theorem 2.18 [5] If $\eta : X \rightarrow Y$ is one-one and (X, u) , (Y, v) are topological spaces of fuzzy sets, then $(\eta, (Y, v))$ is an extension of (X, u) if and only if

$$(i) \forall \lambda \in I^X, \eta(cl_u \lambda) = (cl_v \eta(\lambda)) \wedge \eta(\tilde{1}_X),$$

and

$$(ii) cl_v \eta(\tilde{1}_X) = \tilde{1}_Y.$$

Definition 2.19 [5] Let $E_1 = (\eta_1, (Y_1, v_1))$ and $E_2 = (\eta_2, (Y_2, v_2))$ be two extensions of (X, u) . Then E_1 is said to be greater than or equal to E_2 (written as $E_1 \geq E_2$) if there is a continuous function f from (Y_1, v_1) onto (Y_2, v_2) such that $f \circ \eta_1 = \eta_2$.

Definition 2.20 [5] The extension $E_1 = (\eta_1, (Y_1, v_1))$ is said to be equivalent to the extension $E_2 = (\eta_2, (Y_2, v_2))$ (written as $E_1 \approx E_2$) if there is a homeomorphism h of (Y_1, v_1) onto (Y_2, v_2) such that $h \circ \eta_1 = \eta_2$.

Definition 2.21 [5] Let (X, u) be a topological space of fuzzy sets and \mathcal{B} be a family of closed sets in (X, u) . Then \mathcal{B} is said to be a base for the closed sets in (X, u) if each closed set in (X, u) can be expressed as the infimum of a subfamily of \mathcal{B} .

Theorem 2.22 [5] Let $\mathcal{B} \subset I^X$ such that

$$(i) \tilde{0}_X \in \mathcal{B},$$

$$(ii) \forall \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Then \mathcal{B} is a base for closed sets of some topology of fuzzy sets on X .

Definition 2.23 [5] An extension $E = (\eta, (Y, v))$ is said to be a principal extension of (X, u) if $\{cl_v \eta(\mu) : \mu \in I^X\}$ is a base for the closed sets in (Y, v) .

Definition 2.24 [18] A fuzzy point in a set X is a mapping $\alpha_x : X \rightarrow I$, where $x \in X, \alpha \in (0, 1]$ defined by $\alpha_x(x) = \alpha$ and $\alpha_x(y) = 0$ for $y \neq x$. Here x is the support of the fuzzy point α_x and α its value.

A fuzzy point α_x is said to belong to a fuzzy set λ in X , denoted by $\alpha_x \tilde{\in} \lambda$ if $\alpha \leq \lambda(x)$.

Following [21] a definition of *remoted neighbourhood* of a fuzzy point is given below:

Definition 2.25 Let (X, u) be a topological space of fuzzy sets and α_x be a fuzzy point. Then $\lambda \in u'$ is called a *remoted neighbourhood* of α_x if $\alpha_x \notin \lambda$. The set of all remoted neighbourhoods of α_x is denoted by R_{α_x} .

Definition 2.26 [5] A topological space (X, u) of fuzzy sets is called *strongly T_0* if for each pair of distinct points $x, y \in X$, either there is a $\lambda \in u$ such that $\lambda(x) > 0$ and $\lambda(y) = 0$ or there is a $\mu \in u$ such that $\mu(x) = 0$ and $\mu(y) > 0$.

3 Some Basic Results on Extensions of Topological Spaces of Fuzzy Sets

We begin the section with the following definition.

Definition 3.1 A topological space (X, u) of fuzzy sets is said to be *RT_0* if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X and for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $\exists \lambda_\alpha \in R_{\alpha_x}, \lambda_\alpha \notin R_{\alpha_y}$ or $\exists \mu_\alpha \in R_{\alpha_y}, \mu_\alpha \notin R_{\alpha_x}$.

Example 3.2 Let $X = \{x, y\}$ and $u = \{0_X, 1_X\} \cup \{ \{x/\alpha, y/1\} : \alpha \in (0, 1) \}$. Then $u' = \{1_X, 0_X\} \cup \{ \{x/\alpha, y/0\} : \alpha \in (0, 1) \}$. Thus for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $\exists \lambda_\alpha = \{x/\alpha, y/0\} \in u'$ such that $\alpha > 0 = \lambda_\alpha(y)$ and $\alpha \leq \alpha = \lambda_\alpha(x)$. i.e., $\alpha_y \notin \lambda_\alpha$ and $\alpha_x \in \lambda_\alpha$. i.e., $\lambda_\alpha \in R_{\alpha_y}$ and $\lambda_\alpha \notin R_{\alpha_x}$. Therefore (X, u) is an RT_0 -topological space of fuzzy sets.

Theorem 3.3 If (X, u) is RT_0 , then it is strongly T_0 .

Proof. Let (X, u) be RT_0 and $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$. Then for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $\exists \lambda_\alpha \in R_{\alpha_x}, \lambda_\alpha \notin R_{\alpha_y}$ or $\exists \mu_\alpha \in R_{\alpha_y}, \mu_\alpha \notin R_{\alpha_x}$. Therefore for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $\exists \lambda_\alpha \in u'$ such that $\alpha > \lambda_\alpha(x), \alpha \leq \lambda_\alpha(y)$ or $\exists \mu_\alpha \in u'$ such that $\alpha > \mu_\alpha(y), \alpha \leq \mu_\alpha(x)$. Thus for $\alpha = 1, \exists \lambda_1 \in u'$ such that $\lambda_1(x) < 1, \lambda_1(y) = 1$ or $\exists \mu_1 \in u'$ such that $\mu_1(y) < 1, \mu_1(x) = 1$. Taking $\lambda'_1 = \gamma$ and $\mu'_1 = \delta$ we have $\exists \gamma \in u$ such that $\gamma(x) > 0, \gamma(y) = 0$ or $\exists \delta \in u$ such that $\delta(y) > 0, \delta(x) = 0$. Hence (X, u) is strongly T_0 .

Note 3.4 But the converse of Theorem 3.3 is not true, which is justified by the following Example.

Example 3.5 Let $X = \{x, y\}$, $u = \{ \tilde{0}_X, \tilde{1}_X, \{x/0.4, y/0\} \}$. Then $u' = \{ \tilde{1}_X, \tilde{0}_X, \{x/0.6, y/1\} \}$. If $\alpha = 0.5$, then $R_{\alpha_x} = R_{\alpha_y}$. Thus (X, u) is not RT_0 . But it is clear that (X, u) is strongly T_0 .

Theorem 3.6 *If (X, u) is RT_0 , then it is T_0 .*

Proof. Let (X, u) be RT_0 . Then it is strongly T_0 and hence it is T_0 .

Note 3.7 *But the converse of the theorem is not true, which is justified by the following example.*

Example 3.8 *Let $X = \{x, y, z\}$, $u = \{ \tilde{0}_X, \tilde{1}_X, \{x/0.2, y/0.3, z/0.4\} \}$.
Therefore $u' = \{ \tilde{1}_X, \tilde{0}_X, \{x/0.8, y/0.7, z/0.6\} \}$.
If $\alpha = 0.5$, then $R_{\alpha_x} = R_{\alpha_y} = R_{\alpha_z}$. Therefore (X, u) is not RT_0 .
It is easy to check that (X, u) is T_0 .*

Definition 3.9 *Let (X, u) be a topological space of fuzzy sets. $\forall x \in X$, $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1]$, define*

$$G_{\alpha_x} = \{ \lambda \in I^X : \alpha_x \tilde{\in} cl \lambda \}.$$

Theorem 3.10 *Let (X, u) be a topological space of fuzzy sets. Then (X, u) is RT_0 if and only if $\forall x, y \in X, G_{\alpha_x} = G_{\alpha_y}$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ imply $x = y$.*

Proof. Let (X, u) be an RT_0 topological space of fuzzy sets. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$.

Since (X, u) is RT_0 ,

$$\exists \lambda \in R_{\alpha_x}, \lambda \notin R_{\alpha_y}, \tag{1}$$

or

$$\exists \mu \in R_{\alpha_y}, \mu \notin R_{\alpha_x}. \tag{2}$$

Without any loss of generality we assume that (1) holds.

Then $\alpha_x \not\tilde{\in} \lambda = cl \lambda$, $\alpha_y \tilde{\in} \lambda = cl \lambda$, since λ is closed.

i.e., $\lambda \in G_{\alpha_y}$ but $\lambda \notin G_{\alpha_x}$.

Thus $G_{\alpha_x} \neq G_{\alpha_y}$. Therefore the condition holds.

Conversely let the condition hold.

Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

Therefore $G_{\alpha_x} \neq G_{\alpha_y}$.

Thus there exists $\lambda_\alpha \in G_{\alpha_x}$ such that $\lambda_\alpha \notin G_{\alpha_y}$ or there exists $\mu_\alpha \in G_{\alpha_y}$ such that $\mu_\alpha \notin G_{\alpha_x}$.

Therefore there exists $\lambda_\alpha \in I^X$ such that $\alpha_x \tilde{\in} cl \lambda_\alpha, \alpha_y \not\tilde{\in} cl \lambda_\alpha$ or there exists $\mu_\alpha \in I^X$ such that $\alpha_y \tilde{\in} cl \mu_\alpha, \alpha_x \not\tilde{\in} cl \mu_\alpha$.

Taking $cl \lambda_\alpha = \gamma_\alpha$ and $cl \mu_\alpha = \delta_\alpha$ we have $\exists \gamma_\alpha \in R_{\alpha_y}, \gamma_\alpha \notin R_{\alpha_x}$ or $\exists \delta_\alpha \in R_{\alpha_x}, \delta_\alpha \notin R_{\alpha_y}$.

Therefore (X, u) is RT_0 . This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.11 *Let (X, u) be a topological space of fuzzy sets. Then $\forall x \in X$ and $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1], G_{\alpha_x}$ is a proper c -grill of fuzzy sets in (X, u) .*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. Clearly $\tilde{0}_X \notin G_{\alpha_x}$.

Let $\lambda, \mu \in I^X$. Then

$$\lambda \geq \mu \in G_{\alpha_x} \Rightarrow \alpha_x \tilde{c}l\mu \leq cl\lambda \Rightarrow \lambda \in G_{\alpha_x}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \vee \mu \in G_{\alpha_x} &\Rightarrow \alpha_x \tilde{c}l(\lambda \vee \mu) \Rightarrow \alpha_x \tilde{c}l(cl\lambda \vee cl\mu) \\ &\Rightarrow \alpha_x \tilde{c}l\lambda \text{ or } \alpha_x \tilde{c}l\mu \Rightarrow \lambda \in G_{\alpha_x}, \text{ or } \mu \in G_{\alpha_x}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus G_{α_x} is a grill of fuzzy sets on X .

Let $\lambda \in I^X$. Then

$$cl\lambda \in G_{\alpha_x} \Rightarrow \alpha_x \tilde{c}l(cl\lambda) \Rightarrow \alpha_x \tilde{c}l\lambda \Rightarrow \lambda \in G_{\alpha_x}.$$

Therefore G_{α_x} is a c-grill of fuzzy sets in (X, u) .

Clearly $\tilde{1}_X \in G_{\alpha_x}$. Therefore $G_{\alpha_x} \neq \phi$ and hence G_{α_x} is proper.

Thus for each $x \in X$ and for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, G_{α_x} is a proper c-grill of fuzzy sets in (X, u) .

Definition 3.12 Let $E = (\eta, (Y, v))$ be an extension of (X, u) . Let $y \in Y$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. Define the trace $T_{(\alpha_y, E)}$ of the point α_y with respect to the extension E by

$$T_{(\alpha_y, E)} = \{\lambda \in I^X : \alpha_y \tilde{c}l_v \eta(\lambda)\}.$$

When there is no chance of confusion, we shall simply write T_{α_y} for $T_{(\alpha_y, E)}$.

The α -graded trace system X_α^E of the extension E is defined by

$$X_\alpha^E = \{T_{\alpha_y} : y \in Y\}.$$

Also define $X_{(0,1]}^E$ by

$$X_{(0,1]}^E = \{T_{\alpha_y} : y \in Y, \alpha \in (0, 1]\}.$$

Theorem 3.13 Let $E = (\eta, (Y, v))$ be an extension of (X, u) . Then

(i) T_{α_y} is a proper c-grill of fuzzy sets in (X, u) , $\forall y \in Y, \forall \alpha \in (0, 1]$.

(ii) $T_{\eta(\alpha_x)} = G_{\alpha_x}, \forall x \in X, \forall \alpha \in (0, 1]$.

Proof. (i) Let $y \in Y$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. Clearly $\tilde{0}_X \notin T_{\alpha_y}$.

Let $\lambda, \mu \in I^X$ such that $\lambda \geq \mu \in T_{\alpha_y}$. Then

$$\alpha_y \tilde{c}l_v \eta(\mu) \leq cl_v \eta(\lambda).$$

Therefore

$$\alpha \leq cl_v \eta(\mu)(y) \leq cl_v \eta(\lambda)(y) \Rightarrow \alpha_y \tilde{c}l_v \eta(\lambda) \Rightarrow \lambda \in T_{\alpha_y}.$$

$\forall \lambda, \mu \in I^X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \vee \mu \in T_{\alpha_y} &\Rightarrow \alpha_y \tilde{c}l_v \eta(\lambda \vee \mu) \Rightarrow \alpha_y \tilde{c}l_v (\eta(\lambda) \vee \eta(\mu)) \\ &\Rightarrow \alpha_y \tilde{c}l_v \eta(\lambda) \vee cl_v \eta(\mu) \Rightarrow \alpha \leq cl_v \eta(\lambda)(y) \vee cl_v \eta(\mu)(y) \\ &\Rightarrow \alpha \leq cl_v \eta(\lambda)(y) \text{ or } \alpha \leq cl_v \eta(\mu)(y) \\ &\Rightarrow \alpha_y \tilde{c}l_v \eta(\lambda) \text{ or } \alpha_y \tilde{c}l_v \eta(\mu) \Rightarrow \lambda \in T_{\alpha_y} \text{ or } \mu \in T_{\alpha_y}. \end{aligned}$$

Also for $\lambda \in I^X$,

$$\begin{aligned} cl_u \lambda \in T_{\alpha_y} &\Rightarrow \alpha_y \tilde{c}l_v \eta(cl_u \lambda) \\ &\Rightarrow \alpha \leq cl_v \eta(cl_u \lambda)(y) \leq cl_v (cl_v \eta(\lambda))(y), \\ &\quad \text{since } \eta(cl_u \lambda) = (cl_v \eta(\lambda)) \wedge \eta(\tilde{1}_X) \leq cl_v \eta(\lambda). \\ &\Rightarrow \alpha \leq cl_v \eta(\lambda)(y) \Rightarrow \alpha_y \tilde{c}l_v \eta(\lambda) \Rightarrow \lambda \in T_{\alpha_y}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $\tilde{1}_X \in T_{\alpha_y}$. Therefore $T_{\alpha_y} \neq \phi$.

Thus T_{α_y} is a proper c-grill of fuzzy sets in (X, u) , for each $y \in Y$ and for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

(ii) Let $x \in X$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. Let $\lambda \in I^X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \in T_{\eta(\alpha_x)} &\Leftrightarrow \eta(\alpha_x) \tilde{c}l_v \eta(\lambda) \Leftrightarrow \alpha_{\eta(x)} \tilde{c}l_v \eta(\lambda) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \alpha \leq (c l_v \eta(\lambda))(\eta(x)) \Leftrightarrow \alpha \leq (c l_v \eta(\lambda) \wedge 1_{\eta(X)})(\eta(x)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \alpha \leq (c l_v \eta(\lambda) \wedge \eta(1_X))(\eta(x)) \Leftrightarrow \alpha \leq \eta(c l_u \lambda)(\eta(x)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \alpha \leq c l_u \lambda(x) \text{ (since } \eta \text{ is one-one)} \Leftrightarrow \lambda \in G_{\alpha_x}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $T_{\eta(\alpha_x)} = G_{\alpha_x}$.

Theorem 3.14 *If E_1 and E_2 be two equivalent extensions of (X, u) , then*

$$X_\alpha^{E_1} = X_\alpha^{E_2} \text{ for each } \alpha \in (0, 1] \text{ and hence } X_{(0,1]}^{E_1} = X_{(0,1]}^{E_2}.$$

Proof. Let $E_1 = (\eta_1, (Y_1, v_1))$ and $E_2 = (\eta_2, (Y_2, v_2))$ be two equivalent extensions of (X, u) .

Then \exists a homeomorphism h of (Y_1, v_1) onto (Y_2, v_2) such that $h\eta_1 = \eta_2$.

Let $y \in Y_1$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $\lambda \in I^X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \in T_{(\alpha_y, E_1)} &\Leftrightarrow \alpha_y \tilde{c}l_{v_1} \eta_1(\lambda) \Leftrightarrow h(\alpha_y) \tilde{c}l_{v_1} h(\eta_1(\lambda)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \alpha_{h(y)} \tilde{c}l_{v_2} h(\eta_1(\lambda)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \alpha_{h(y)} \tilde{c}l_{v_2} \eta_2(\lambda), \text{ since } h(\eta_1(\lambda)) = h\eta_1(\lambda) = \eta_2(\lambda). \\ &\Leftrightarrow \lambda \in T_{(\alpha_{h(y)}, E_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $T_{(\alpha_y, E_1)} = T_{(\alpha_{h(y)}, E_2)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore } X_\alpha^{E_1} &= \{T_{(\alpha_y, E_1)} : y \in Y_1\} \\ &= \{T_{(\alpha_{h(y)}, E_2)} : y \in Y_1\} \\ &= \{T_{(\alpha_y, E_2)} : y \in Y_2\}, \text{ because } Y_2 = \{h(y) : y \in Y_1\}. \\ &= X_\alpha^{E_2} \quad \forall \alpha \in (0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} X_{(0,1]}^{E_1} &= \{T_{(\alpha_y, E_1)} : y \in Y_1, \alpha \in (0, 1]\} \\ &= \{T_{(\alpha_{h(y)}, E_2)} : y \in Y_1, \alpha \in (0, 1]\} \\ &= \{T_{(\alpha_y, E_2)} : y \in Y_2, \alpha \in (0, 1]\} \\ &= X_{(0,1]}^{E_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note 3.15 *Example is given below to show that the converse of Theorem 3.14 does not hold.*

Example 3.16 *Let X, Y, Z be three infinite sets such that $X \subset Y \subset Z$ and $|X| < |Y| < |Z|$, where $|X|$ denotes the cardinal number of the set X .*

Let $u \subset I^Z$ be defined by

$$\forall \lambda \in I^Z, \lambda \in u \text{ if and only if } \lambda = \tilde{0}_Z \text{ or } Z(\lambda) \text{ is finite.}$$

Then it is clear that u is a topology of fuzzy sets on Z .

Let (X, u_X) and (Y, u_Y) be subspaces of (Z, u) . Let $i : X \rightarrow Z$ be the inclusion map. Let i also denote the inclusion map of X into Y .

Obviously $E_1 = (i, (Z, u))$ is an extension of (X, u_X) and $E_2 = (i, (Y, u_Y))$ is also an extension of (X, u_X) .

Note that for each $x \in X$ and $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1]$, $T_{(i(\alpha_x), E_1)} = G_{\alpha_x} = T_{(i(\alpha_x), E_2)}$, i.e., $T_{(\alpha_x, E_1)} = G_{\alpha_x} = T_{(\alpha_x, E_2)}$.

Let $G^* = \{\lambda \in I^X : \lambda(a) = 1 \text{ for infinitely many points } a \text{ of } X\}$.

Then it is easy to check that $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1]$,

$$T_{(\alpha_z, E_1)} = G^*, \quad \forall z \in Z - X \text{ and } T_{(\alpha_y, E_2)} = G^*, \quad \forall y \in Y - X.$$

Hence $X_{\alpha}^{E_1} = X_{\alpha}^{E_2}$, $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1]$ and hence $X_{(0,1]}^{E_1} = X_{(0,1]}^{E_2}$.

But $E_1 \not\approx E_2$, as $|Y| < |Z|$.

Theorem 3.17 For any extension $E = (\eta, (Y, v))$ of (X, u) and $\forall y, z \in Y$, $G_{\alpha_y} \subset G_{\alpha_z}$ implies $T_{\alpha_y} \subset T_{\alpha_z}$ for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $y, z \in Y$ be such that $G_{\alpha_y} \subset G_{\alpha_z}$.

Then $\forall \mu \in I^X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \in T_{\alpha_y} &\Rightarrow \alpha_y \tilde{\text{cl}}_v \eta(\mu) \Rightarrow \eta(\mu) \in G_{\alpha_y} \Rightarrow \eta(\mu) \in G_{\alpha_z}, \text{ since } G_{\alpha_y} \subset G_{\alpha_z} \\ &\Rightarrow \alpha_z \tilde{\text{cl}}_v \eta(\mu) \Rightarrow \mu \in T_{\alpha_z}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $T_{\alpha_y} \subset T_{\alpha_z}$.

Note 3.18 An example is given below to show that the converse of the above theorem is not true.

Example 3.19 Let Y be an infinite set. Let $v \subset I^Y$ be defined by

$$\forall \lambda \in I^Y, \lambda \in v \text{ if and only if } \lambda = \tilde{0}_Y \text{ or } Z(\lambda) \text{ is finite.}$$

Clearly v is a topology of fuzzy sets on Y .

Let X be an infinite set such that $X \subset Y$ and $|Y - X| \geq 2$ and $i : X \rightarrow Y$ be the inclusion map. Then it is easy to check that $(i, (Y, v))$ is an extension of (X, v_X) .

Let $y, z (\neq y) \in Y - X$. Then it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\alpha_y} &= \{\lambda \in I^X : \lambda(a) = 1 \text{ for infinitely many points } a \text{ of } X\} \\ &= T_{\alpha_z}, \quad \forall \alpha \in (0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\lambda, \mu \in I^Y$ such that

$$\lambda(y) = 0.5, \quad \lambda(z) = 0.6, \quad \mu(y) = 0.6, \quad \mu(z) = 0.3$$

and both the sets $\{a \in Y : \lambda(a) = 1\}$ and $\{a \in Y : \mu(a) = 1\}$ are finite.

Then it is clear that $\lambda \in G_{0.6_z}$, $\lambda \notin G_{0.6_y}$ and $\mu \in G_{0.6_y}$, $\mu \notin G_{0.6_z}$.

Thus $G_{0.6_z} \not\subset G_{0.6_y}$ and $G_{0.6_y} \not\subset G_{0.6_z}$.

However the following result holds.

Theorem 3.20 If $(\eta, (Y, v))$ is a principal extension of (X, u) , then $\forall y, z \in Y$,

$$T_{\alpha_y} \subset T_{\alpha_z} \text{ if and only if } G_{\alpha_y} \subset G_{\alpha_z} \text{ for each } \alpha \in (0, 1].$$

Proof. 'If part' has already been proved above.

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $y, z \in Y$ such that $T_{\alpha_y} \subset T_{\alpha_z}$.

Let $\lambda \in I^Y$ such that $\lambda \in G_{\alpha_y}$. Then $\alpha_y \tilde{\in} cl_v \lambda$.

Since $\{ cl_v \eta(\mu) : \mu \in I^X \}$ is a base for the closed sets in (Y, v) ,

$$\alpha_y \tilde{\in} \wedge \{ cl_v \eta(\mu) : \mu \in I^X, cl_v \eta(\mu) \geq \lambda \}.$$

Thus

$$\alpha_y \tilde{\in} cl_v \eta(\mu), \forall \mu \in I^X \text{ with } cl_v \eta(\mu) \geq \lambda,$$

and hence

$$\mu \in T_{\alpha_y}, \forall \mu \in I^X \text{ with } cl_v \eta(\mu) \geq \lambda.$$

Since $T_{\alpha_y} \subset T_{\alpha_z}$, $\mu \in T_{\alpha_z} \forall \mu \in I^X$ with $cl_v \eta(\mu) \geq \lambda$, which implies that

$$\alpha_z \tilde{\in} \wedge \{ cl_v \eta(\mu) : \mu \in I^X, cl_v \eta(\mu) \geq \lambda \}.$$

i.e., $\alpha_z \tilde{\in} cl_v \lambda$ i.e., $\lambda \in G_{\alpha_z}$.

Hence $G_{\alpha_y} \subset G_{\alpha_z}$.

The following corollary is an easy consequence of the above theorem.

Corollary 3.21 *If $(\eta, (Y, v))$ is a principal extension of (X, u) , then $\forall y, z \in Y$, $T_{\alpha_y} = T_{\alpha_z}$ if and only if $G_{\alpha_y} = G_{\alpha_z}$ for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.*

Theorem 3.22 *If $(\eta, (Y, v))$ is a principal extension of (X, u) , then (Y, v) is RT_0 if and only if*

$$\forall y, z \in Y, T_{\alpha_y} = T_{\alpha_z} \text{ for some } \alpha \in (0, 1] \Rightarrow y = z.$$

Proof. Let (Y, v) be RT_0 . Let $y, z \in Y$ such that $T_{\alpha_y} = T_{\alpha_z}$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

Thus $G_{\alpha_y} = G_{\alpha_z}$ and hence $y = z$ (see Theorem 3.10).

Conversely suppose that the condition holds.

i.e., $\forall y, z \in Y, T_{\alpha_y} = T_{\alpha_z}$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ implies $y = z$.

Let $G_{\alpha_y} = G_{\alpha_z}$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. Therefore by the above corollary we have

$T_{\alpha_y} = T_{\alpha_z}$ and hence by the given condition we have $y = z$.

Hence (Y, v) is RT_0 (see Theorem 3.10).

4 Construction of RT_0 Principal Extension of an RT_0 Topological Space with the Given α -graded Trace System

In this section (X, u) will be an RT_0 topological space of fuzzy sets and for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, X_α^* be a collection of proper c-grills of fuzzy sets in (X, u) such that $G_{\alpha_x} \in X_\alpha^*, \forall x \in X$.

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. Define,

$$f_\alpha : X \rightarrow X_\alpha^* \text{ by } f_\alpha(x) = G_{\alpha_x}, \forall x \in X.$$

In view of Theorem 3.10, it follows that f_α is one-one.

$\forall \lambda \in I^X$, , define $\lambda_\alpha^c : X_\alpha^* \rightarrow I$ by the following :

$\lambda_\alpha^c(G_{\alpha_x}) = cl_u \lambda(x), \forall x \in X$
and for $G \in X_\alpha^* - \{G_{\alpha_x} : x \in X\}$,

$$\lambda_\alpha^c(G) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda \in G \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda \notin G. \end{cases}$$

Let $\lambda, \mu \in I^X$. $\forall x \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda \vee \mu)_\alpha^c(G_{\alpha_x}) &= cl_u(\lambda \vee \mu)(x) = (cl_u \lambda \vee cl_u \mu)(x) = cl_u \lambda(x) \vee cl_u \mu(x) \\ &= \lambda_\alpha^c(G_{\alpha_x}) \vee \mu_\alpha^c(G_{\alpha_x}) = (\lambda_\alpha^c \vee \mu_\alpha^c)(G_{\alpha_x}). \end{aligned}$$

Also for $G \in X_\alpha^* - \{G_{\alpha_x} : x \in X\}$,

$$(\lambda \vee \mu)_\alpha^c(G) = (\lambda_\alpha^c \vee \mu_\alpha^c)(G),$$

since $\lambda \vee \mu \in G$ if and only if $\lambda \in G$ or $\mu \in G$.

Thus $(\lambda \vee \mu)_\alpha^c = \lambda_\alpha^c \vee \mu_\alpha^c, \forall \lambda, \mu \in I^X$. Also $(\tilde{0}_X)_\alpha^c = \tilde{0}_{X_\alpha^*}$.

Thus $\{\lambda_\alpha^c : \lambda \in I^X\}$ is a base for the closed sets of a topology w_α (say) of fuzzy sets on X_α^* .

Theorem 4.1 *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $(X, u), (X_\alpha^*, w_\alpha)$ and the other symbols used below be same as above. Then*

- (i) $\forall \lambda, \mu \in I^X, \lambda \leq \mu \Rightarrow \lambda_\alpha^c \leq \mu_\alpha^c$.
- (ii) $\forall \lambda \in I^X, (cl_u \lambda)_\alpha^c = \lambda_\alpha^c$.
- (iii) $\forall \lambda, \mu \in I^X, f_\alpha(\lambda) \leq \mu_\alpha^c \Leftrightarrow cl_u \lambda \leq cl_u \mu$.
- (iv) $\forall \lambda \in I^X, cl_{w_\alpha} f_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda_\alpha^c$.
- (v) $cl_{w_\alpha} f_\alpha(\tilde{1}_X) = \tilde{1}_{X_\alpha^*}$.
- (vi) $\forall \lambda \in I^X, (cl_{w_\alpha} f_\alpha(\lambda)) \wedge f_\alpha(\tilde{1}_X) = f_\alpha(cl_u \lambda)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

(i) $\forall \lambda, \mu \in I^X$,

$$\lambda \leq \mu \Rightarrow \lambda_\alpha^c(G) \leq \mu_\alpha^c(G), \forall G \in X_\alpha^* \Rightarrow \lambda_\alpha^c \leq \mu_\alpha^c.$$

(ii) Let $\lambda \in I^X$. Then

$$(cl_u \lambda)_\alpha^c(G_{\alpha_x}) = cl_u((cl_u \lambda)(x)) = cl_u \lambda(x) = \lambda_\alpha^c(G_{\alpha_x}), \forall x \in X$$

and clearly

$$(cl_u \lambda)_\alpha^c(G) = \lambda_\alpha^c(G) \text{ if } G \in X_\alpha^* - \{G_{\alpha_x} : x \in X\},$$

since G is a c-grill of fuzzy sets in X .

Thus $(cl_u \lambda)_\alpha^c(G) = \lambda_\alpha^c(G), \forall G \in X_\alpha^*$.

Hence $(cl_u \lambda)_\alpha^c = \lambda_\alpha^c, \forall \lambda \in I^X$.

(iii) For $\lambda, \mu \in I^X$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_\alpha(\lambda) \leq \mu_\alpha^c &\Leftrightarrow f_\alpha(\lambda)(G) \leq \mu_\alpha^c(G), \forall G \in X_\alpha^* \\ &\Leftrightarrow f_\alpha(\lambda)(G_{\alpha_x}) \leq \mu_\alpha^c(G_{\alpha_x}), \forall x \in X \\ &\Leftrightarrow f_\alpha(\lambda)(f_\alpha(x)) \leq cl_u \mu(x), \forall x \in X \\ &\Leftrightarrow \lambda(x) \leq cl_u \mu(x), \forall x \in X, \text{ since } f_\alpha \text{ is one-one.} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \lambda \leq cl_u \mu \\ &\Leftrightarrow cl_u \lambda \leq cl_u \mu. \end{aligned}$$

(iv) $\forall \lambda \in I^X$,

$$\begin{aligned}
cl_{w_\alpha}f_\alpha(\lambda) &= \wedge \{ \mu_\alpha^c : \mu_\alpha^c \geq f_\alpha(\lambda), \mu \in I^X \}, \text{ since } \{ \mu_\alpha^c : \mu \in I^X \} \\
&\quad \text{is a base for the closed sets in } (X_\alpha^*, w_\alpha). \\
&= \wedge \{ \mu_\alpha^c : cl_u\lambda \leq cl_u\mu, \mu \in I^X \} \\
&= \wedge \{ (cl_u\mu)_\alpha^c : cl_u\lambda \leq cl_u\mu, \mu \in I^X \} \\
&= (cl_u\lambda)_\alpha^c \\
&= \lambda_\alpha^c.
\end{aligned}$$

(v) $cl_{w_\alpha}f_\alpha(\tilde{1}_X) = (\tilde{1}_X)_\alpha^c = \tilde{1}_{X_\alpha^*}$, since $(\tilde{1}_X)_\alpha^c(G) = 1 = \tilde{1}_{X_\alpha^*}(G) \forall G \in X_\alpha^*$.

(vi) Let $\lambda \in I^X$. Then $\forall x \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned}
((cl_{w_\alpha}f_\alpha(\lambda)) \wedge f_\alpha(\tilde{1}_X))(G_{\alpha_x}) &= (\lambda_\alpha^c \wedge f_\alpha(\tilde{1}_X))(G_{\alpha_x}) \\
&= cl_u\lambda(x) \wedge \tilde{1}_X(x) = cl_u\lambda(x) = f_\alpha(cl_u\lambda)(f_\alpha(x)) \text{ (since } f_\alpha \text{ is one-one)} \\
&= f_\alpha(cl_u\lambda)(G_{\alpha_x}).
\end{aligned}$$

Also if $G \in X_\alpha^* - \{G_{\alpha_x} : x \in X\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
((cl_{w_\alpha}f_\alpha(\lambda)) \wedge f_\alpha(\tilde{1}_X))(G) &= (\lambda_\alpha^c \wedge f_\alpha(\tilde{1}_X))(G) = \lambda_\alpha^c(G) \wedge 0 \\
&= 0 = f_\alpha(cl_u\lambda)(G).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $(cl_{w_\alpha}f_\alpha(\lambda)) \wedge f_\alpha(\tilde{1}_X) = f_\alpha(cl_u\lambda)$.

This completes the proof.

Remark 4.2 Since for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $f_\alpha : X \rightarrow X_\alpha^*$ is one-one and $\forall \lambda \in I^X, (cl_{w_\alpha}f_\alpha(\lambda)) \wedge f_\alpha(\tilde{1}_X) = f_\alpha(cl_u\lambda)$ and $cl_{w_\alpha}f_\alpha(\tilde{1}_X) = \tilde{1}_{X_\alpha^*}$, it follows that $(f_\alpha, (X_\alpha^*, w_\alpha))$ is an extension of (X, u) for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

Since for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $\{ \lambda_\alpha^c : \lambda \in I^X \}$ is a base for the closed sets of (X_α^*, w_α) and $cl_{w_\alpha}f_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda_\alpha^c, \forall \lambda \in I^X$, it follows that $(f_\alpha, (X_\alpha^*, w_\alpha))$ is a principal extension of (X, u) for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

Note that $\forall G_{\alpha_x} \in X_\alpha^*$,

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\alpha_{G_{\alpha_x}}} &= \{ \mu \in I^X : \alpha_{G_{\alpha_x}} \tilde{\in} cl_{w_\alpha}f_\alpha(\mu) \} \\
&= \{ \mu \in I^X : (cl_{w_\alpha}f_\alpha(\mu))(G_{\alpha_x}) \geq \alpha \} \\
&= \{ \mu \in I^X : \mu_\alpha^c(G_{\alpha_x}) \geq \alpha \} \\
&= \{ \mu \in I^X : cl_u\mu(x) \geq \alpha \} \\
&= \{ \mu \in I^X : \alpha_x \tilde{\in} cl_u\mu \} \\
&= G_{\alpha_x}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also if $G \in X_\alpha^* - \{G_{\alpha_x} : x \in X\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\alpha_G} &= \{ \mu \in I^X : \mu_\alpha^c(G) \geq \alpha \} \\
&= \{ \mu \in I^X : \mu_\alpha^c(G) = 1 \} \\
&= \{ \mu \in I^X : \mu \in G \} \\
&= G.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $T_{\alpha_G} = G, \forall G \in X_\alpha^*$.

Therefore X_α^* is the α -graded trace system of the extension $(f_\alpha, (X_\alpha^*, w_\alpha))$.

Also for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ we have,

$$\forall G_1, G_2 \in X_\alpha^*, T_{\alpha_{G_1}} = T_{\alpha_{G_2}} \Rightarrow G_1 = G_2,$$

and hence (X_α^*, w_α) is RT_0 for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

Thus $(f_\alpha, (X_\alpha^*, w_\alpha))$ is an RT_0 principal extension of (X, u) with the given α -graded trace system for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

Notation 4.3 The extension $(f_\alpha, (X_\alpha^*, w_\alpha))$ will be denoted by $E_\alpha(X_\alpha^*)$. Thus $X_\alpha^{E_\alpha(X_\alpha^*)} = X_\alpha^*$.

5 Future Work

In [6], we introduced T_0 principal extensions of a T_0 -topological spaces of fuzzy sets. In [8], we defined fuzzy conjoint compactness and fuzzy linkage compactness and established conditions on the trace systems which would ensure the fuzzy conjoint compactness and fuzzy linkage compactness of the T_0 principal fuzzy extensions. In [8], we also introduced basic fuzzy proximities, Lodato fuzzy proximities and eventually proved a theorem which establishes that there is a bijection between a class of Lodato fuzzy proximities compatible with a given strongly T_1 - topological space of fuzzy sets (X, c) and the class of strongly T_1 principal Type-II fuzzy linkage compactifications of (X, c) . Our aim is to achieve the similar result mentioned above in the RT_0 spaces.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

The present work is partially supported by Special Assistance Programme (SAP) of UGC, New Delhi, India.

References

- [1] K.K.Azad. 1981. Fuzzy grills and a characterization of fuzzy proximity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 79, 13-17.
- [2] B.Banaschewski. 1964. Extensions of topological spaces, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 7, 1-22.
- [3] E. Čech. 1966. Topological Spaces, *rev. ed. (Publ. House Czech. Acad. Sc. Prague, English transl. Wiley, New York)*.
- [4] C.L.Chang. 1968. Fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 24, 182-190.
- [5] K.C.Chattopadhyay, H.Hazra and S.K. Samanta. 2008. Extensions of strongly T_0 -topological spaces of fuzzy sets, *The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, Vol. 16, No. 1 ,143-155.
- [6] K.C.Chattopadhyay, H. Hazra and S.K. Samanta. 2008. Extensions of T_0 -topological spaces of fuzzy sets, *The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, Vol. 16, No. 4, 935-948.

- [7] K.C.Chattopadhyay, H. Hazra and S.K. Samanta. 2011. Relation between trace systems and compactnesses of T_0 principal fuzzy extensions of a T_0 space of fuzzy sets, *The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, Vol. 19, No. 3, 717-726.
- [8] K.C.Chattopadhyay, H. Hazra and S.K. Samanta.2012. A correspondence between Lodato fuzzy proximities and a class of principal Type-II fuzzy extensions, *The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, Vol. 20, No. 1, 29-46.
- [9] K.C.Chattopadhyay and W.J.Thron. 1977. Extensions of closure spaces, *Canad. J. Math.* Vol.29, 1277-1286.
- [10] Erdal Ekici.2005. Generalization of some fuzzy functions, *Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics Academia Sinica*, Vol. 33, No. 3.
- [11] David H. Foster. 1979. Fuzzy Topological Groups, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, Vol. 67, No. 2, 549-564.
- [12] M.S.Gagrat and W.J.Thron. 1975. Nearness structures and proximity extensions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 208, 103-125.
- [13] L.Gillman and M. Jerison, 'Rings of Continuous Functions', *Van Nostrand Reinhold company*.
- [14] R.Lowen and A.K.Srivastava.1989. FTS_0 : The epireflective hull of the Sierpinski object in FTS. , *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 29, 171-176.
- [15] M. Peiyuan and Z. Wuneng. 1994. L-fuzzy Wallman-type compactification, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 63, 99-110.
- [16] B.M.Pu and Y.M.Liu. 1980. Fuzzy topology I, Neighbourhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith Convergence, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 76, 571-599.
- [17] B.M.Pu and Y.M.Liu. 1980. Fuzzy topology II,Product and quotient Spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 77, 20-37.
- [18] A.P.Šostak. 1989. Two decades of fuzzy topology, Basic ideas, notions and results, *Russian Math. Surveys* 44, No. 6, 125-186.
- [19] W.J.Thron. 1966. Topological Structures, (*Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York*).
- [20] W.J.Thron and R.H.Warren. 1973. On the lattice of proximities of Čech compatible with a given closure space, *Pac. J. Math.* 49, 519-535.

- [21] G.J. Wang. 1983. A new fuzzy compactness defined by fuzzy nets, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 94, 59-67 .
- [22] C.K. Wong. 1974. Fuzzy points and local properties of fuzzy topology, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 46, 316-328.
- [23] X. Xianzhong and L. Wangjing. 1994. L-fuzzy Smirnov compactification, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 61, 97-105.
- [24] L. Ying-ming and L. Mao-Kang.1989. Fuzzy Stone- Čech-type compactification, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 33, 355-372.
- [25] Liu Ying-Ming and Luo Mao-Kang. 1997. Fuzzy Topology, *World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd.* .